ORDINANCE No. 186736

Improve land use regulations related to accessory short-term rentals through the Regulatory Improvement Code Amendment Package 6 (RICAP 6) (Ordinance; Amend Title 33, Planning and Zoning, Title 3, Administration, and Title 6, Special Taxes)

The City of Portland Ordains:

Section 1. The Council finds:

General Findings

1. This project is part of the Regulatory Improvement Workplan, an ongoing program to improve City building and land use regulations and procedures. Each package of amendments is referred to as RICAP (Regulatory Improvement Code Amendment Package), followed by a number. This ordinance pertains to the amendment items addressing short-term rentals contained in RICAP 6 and identified as items 12-14.

2. During the spring and summer of 2013, staff from the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability (BPS) and the Bureau of Development Services (BDS) worked together to develop a draft work plan for RICAP 6. Potential code amendments were drawn from a database that contains regulatory improvement requests.

3. On July 25, 2013, notice was sent to all neighborhood associations, neighborhood coalitions, and business associations in the city of Portland, as well as other interested parties, to notify them of the Planning and Sustainability Commission hearing on the RICAP 6 Proposed Workplan.

4. On August 13, 2013 the Planning and Sustainability Commission held a hearing and adopted the RICAP 6 work plan. The adopted work plan included 42 potential code amendment items. Three items were added after August 13, 2013, for a total of 45 potential code amendment items.

5. During the summer and fall of 2013, BPS staff conducted research, met with neighborhood land use chairs, and worked with BDS staff and staff from other City agencies to develop a proposal for each of the 45 potential code amendments. Thirty-four of the initial 45 work plan items were determined to warrant an amendment to the City Code; 11 of the initial 45 were determined to not warrant an amendment to City Code. Thirty-one of the 34 proposed code amendment items relate to technical or minor policy issues, and three of the code amendment items relate to short-term rentals. This ordinance pertains to the three items related to short-term rentals (items 12-14); the remaining items are the subject of a separate ordinance.

6. On March 18, 2014 notice of the proposed action was mailed to the Department of Land Conservation and Development in compliance with the post-acknowledgement review process required by OAR 660-018-0020 and ORS 197.610.

7. On March 20, 2014 notice of the RICAP 6 proposal and the April 22, 2014 Planning and Sustainability Commission hearing on the proposal was mailed to all neighborhood associations, neighborhood coalitions, and business associations in the city of Portland, as well as other interested persons, as required by ORS 227.186 and PCC 33.740.
8. On April 22, 2014 the Planning and Sustainability Commission held a hearing on the *RICAP 6 Proposed Draft*. The Planning and Sustainability Commission made four amendments to the proposal, and then voted to recommend approval of the RICAP 6 proposed code amendment items and to forward them to City Council for adoption.

9. On May 13, 2014 notice of the June 4, 2014 City Council hearing on RICAP 6 was mailed to all who presented testimony orally or in writing to the Planning and Sustainability Commission and provided a name and address, those who asked for notice, and other interested parties.

**Findings on Statewide Planning Goals**

10. State planning statutes require cities to adopt and amend comprehensive plans and land use regulations in compliance with state land use goals. Only the stated goals addressed below apply.

11. **Goal 1, Citizen Involvement**, requires provision of opportunities for citizens to be involved in all phases of the planning process. The preparation of these amendments has provided several opportunities for public involvement. The amendments are supportive of this goal for the following reasons:

   a) Staff from the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability met with the Development Review Advisory Committee (DRAC) and the land use chairs of the city's neighborhood coalition offices on July 15, 2013, to review potential items for inclusion in the RICAP 6 work plan.

   b) The *Regulatory Improvement Code Amendment Package 6 (RICAP 6): Proposed Workplan* was made available to the public for review on July 24, 2013. The report was posted on the City's regulatory improvement program website and mailed to all who requested a copy.

   c) The Planning and Sustainability Commission held a public hearing on the RICAP 6 proposed work plan on August 13, 2013. Notice of the hearing was mailed to all neighborhood associations, neighborhood coalitions, business associations, and other interested parties on July 25, 2013.

   d) The *Regulatory Improvement Code Amendment Package 6 (RICAP 6): Discussion Draft* was made available to the public for review on January 6, 2014. The report was posted on the City's regulatory improvement program website, and mailed to all who requested a copy.

   e) Notice of the RICAP 6 discussion draft was also mailed on January 8, 2014 to over 750 recipients, including neighborhood associations, neighborhood coalitions, business associations, and other interested parties. The notice included the dates, times and locations of neighborhood association or neighborhood coalition meetings, and a BPS sponsored open house, during which project staff presented the draft for discussions and questions.

   f) Project staff attended six neighborhood coalition meetings, and two neighborhood association meetings between January 6, and February 21, 2014. The RICAP 6 discussion draft report was presented and discussed at each of these meetings.
g) Project staff presented the RICAP 6 discussion draft report at one Design Commission meeting, one Historic Landmarks Commission meeting, and one Planning & Sustainability Commission meeting.

h) Project staff were available to discuss the RICAP 6 discussion draft at an open house held on February 11, 2014 from 5:00 pm to 7:30 pm.

i) On March 18, 2014 notice of the proposed action was mailed to the Department of Land Conservation and Development in compliance with the post-acknowledgement review process required by OAR 660-018-0020 and ORS 197.610.

j) The Regulatory Improvement Code Amendment Package 6 (RICAP 6): Proposed Draft was made available to the public for review on March 21, 2014. The report was posted on the City’s regulatory improvement program website and mailed to all who requested a copy.

k) The Planning and Sustainability Commission held a public hearing on the RICAP 6 proposed draft on April 22, 2014. Notice of the hearing was mailed to the regional transit agency, Metro, the Oregon Department of Transportation, all neighborhood associations, neighborhood coalitions, business associations, affected bureaus, and other interested parties on March 20, 2014, as required by ORS 227.186 and PCC 33.740. The Planning and Sustainability Commission made four amendments to the proposed draft and voted to recommend the amendments be approved and forwarded to the city council for adoption.

l) The Regulatory Improvement Code Amendment Package 6 (RICAP 6): Recommended Draft was made available to the public for review on May 19, 2014. The report was posted on the City’s regulatory improvement program website and mailed to all who requested a copy.

m) City Council held a public hearing on the RICAP 6 recommended draft on June 4, 2014. Notice of the hearing was mailed on May 13, 2014 to all who testified orally or in writing at the Planning and Sustainability Commission hearing, and to other persons who requested such notice.

The findings addressing Portland Comprehensive Plan Goal 9, Citizen involvement, and its related policies also demonstrate consistency with this goal.

12. **Goal 2, Land Use Planning**, requires the development of a process and policy framework that acts as a basis for all land use decisions and assures that decisions and actions are based on an understanding of the facts relevant to the decision. The process for identifying and adopting the RICAP 6 accessory short-term rental amendments (RICAP items 12-14) supports this goal for the following reasons:

- The process followed all procedures required by Title 33.740, Legislative Procedures, OAR 660-018-0020, ORS 227.186 and ORS 197.610;
- The amendments meet relevant goals and policies of the City’s adopted Comprehensive Plan as described in the findings for Portland’s Comprehensive Plan Goals and Policies;
- The amendments are based on relevant facts in the record including an estimate of the number of accessory short-term rentals being operated in the city; the number of complaints received about unauthorized accessory short-term rentals; a review of policies and regulations related to accessory short-term rentals being implemented in New York City, NY, San Francisco, CA, Cannon Beach, OR, Ashland, OR, Austin, TX, and Amsterdam, The Netherlands; a survey of accessory short-term rental operators in Portland conducted by Airbnb; a review of several accessory short-term rental websites; a review of relevant City and State regulations pertaining to lodgings and lodging taxation; and testimony in the record.
See also findings addressing Portland Comprehensive Plan Goal 1, Metropolitan Coordination, and its related policies and objectives.

13. **Goal 5, Open Space, Scenic and Historic Areas, and Natural Resources**, requires the conservation of open space and the protection of natural, historic and scenic resources. These amendments are consistent with this goal because they eliminate the need for a conditional use review for accessory short-term rental facilities that rent up to two bedrooms to overnight guests. By eliminating the cost and time associated with a conditional use review, the amendments facilitate use of unused bedrooms in a historic home as an accessory short-term rental, and the income generated from the accessory use may allow the owner to invest in maintaining, and therefore protecting, the historic home. The findings for Portland Comprehensive Plan Policy 2.21, Existing Housing Stock, also demonstrate that the amendments are consistent with this goal.

14. **Goal 9, Economic Development**, requires provision of adequate opportunities for a variety of economic activities vital to public health, welfare, and prosperity. These amendments are consistent with this goal by removing a cost barrier to establishing a Type A accessory short-term rental in a house, attached house, accessory dwelling unit, duplex, or manufactured home on its own lot, thereby facilitating the use of these types of dwelling units for economic activity. The findings for Portland Comprehensive Plan Goal 5, Economic Development also demonstrate that the amendments are consistent with this goal.

15. **Goal 10, Housing**, requires provision for the housing needs of citizens of the state. The findings for Portland Comprehensive Plan Goal 4, Housing, and relevant sub-policies and objectives, demonstrate that the amendments are consistent with Goal 10.

16. **Goal 12, Transportation**, requires provision of a safe, convenient, and economic transportation system. The findings for Portland Comprehensive Plan Goal 6, Transportation, and relevant sub-policies demonstrate that the amendments are consistent with Goal 12.

17. **The Oregon Transportation Planning Rule (TPR)** was adopted in 1991 and amended in 1996, 2005 and 2012 to implement State Goal 12. The TPR requires certain findings if a proposed Comprehensive Plan Map amendment, zone change, or regulation will significantly affect an existing or planned transportation facility.

These amendments will not have a significant effect on existing or planned transportation facilities because, as demonstrated in the findings for Portland Comprehensive Plan Goal 6 and related sub-policies, the amendments ensure that the number of trips generated by a household living use with an accessory short-term rental use will not exceed the number of trips generated by a household living use without an accessory short-term rental.

18. **Goal 14, Urbanization**, requires provision of an orderly and efficient transition of rural lands to urban use, the efficient use of land, and the provision of livable communities. The findings for Portland Comprehensive Plan Goal 2, Urban Development, Goal 3, Neighborhoods, and their relevant sub-policies demonstrates that these amendments provide for livable communities and are therefore consistent with this goal.

**Findings on Metro Urban Growth Management Functional Plan**

19. The following elements of the Metro Urban Growth Management Functional Plan are relevant and applicable to the accessory short-term rental amendments.
20. **Title 1, Housing Capacity**, requires cities and or counties to maintain or increase its housing capacity. This requirement is to be generally implemented through city-wide analysis based on calculated capacities from land use designations. These amendments are consistent with this title because they do not allow conversion of a housing unit in a residential zone to a motel or other commercial use as some testimony suggests. The amendments ensure that the accessory short-term rental use remains accessory to the primary household living use on a site. The findings for Portland Comprehensive Plan Goal 4, Housing, and relevant sub-policies also demonstrate that these amendments are consistent with this title.

21. **Title 4, Industrial and other Employment Areas**, seeks to provide and protect a supply of sites for employment by limiting the types and scale of non-industrial uses in Regionally Significant Industrial Areas (RSIAs), Industrial and Employment Areas. Title 4 also seeks to provide the benefits of "clustering" to those industries that operate more productively and efficiently in proximity to one another than in dispersed locations. These amendments do not increase the type or scale of non-industrial uses allowed in RSIAs, Industrial or General Employment zones for the reasons stated below:

- The amendments do not allow establishment of a primary household living use in the Industrial or Employment zones. The amendments only allow establishment of an accessory short-term rental in a house, attached house, accessory dwelling unit, duplex, or manufactured home on its own lot in the Industrial and Employment zones in cases where the dwelling also has a legally established, non-conforming primary household living use. The amendments also contain provisions that limit the scale of the non-industrial activity including a limit on the total number of guests and residents occupying a dwelling unit to no more than the number allowed for a household, and a limit on the number of bedrooms that can be rented to overnight guests;

- A short-term rental (temporary lodging) can also be considered a Retail Sales and Service use, and Retail Sales and Service uses are currently allowed outright in an Industrial or General Employment zone. Up to one Retail Sales and Service use, with maximum of 3,000 square feet, is allowed in an IG1 zone, up to four Retail Sales and Service uses, with a maximum of 3,000 square feet each, are allowed in the IG2 and IH zones, and up to 60,000 square feet of Retail Sales and Service use, or up to an FAR of 1:1, is allowed in the EG zones.

For these reasons, the amendments do not conflict with Title 4. The findings addressing Portland Comprehensive Plan Goal 6, Transportation, also demonstrate that the amendments do not conflict with Title 4.

22. **Title 7, Housing Choice**, ensures opportunities for affordable housing at all income levels, and calls for a choice of housing types. The findings for Portland Comprehensive Plan Goal 4, Housing, Policy 4.2, Maintain Housing Potential, Policy 4.8, Regional Housing Opportunities, Policy 4.9, Fair Housing, Policy 4.10, Housing Diversity, and 4.11, Affordable Housing demonstrate that the amendments are consistent with this title.

23. **Title 12, Protection of Residential Neighborhoods**, calls for protecting the region’s existing residential neighborhoods from air and water pollution, noise and crime, and providing adequate levels of public services. Testimony in the record asserts that the amendments will introduce "'stranger danger'..." into single-dwelling neighborhoods and render Neighborhood Watch programs ineffective. No evidence was provided to demonstrate that allowing Type A accessory short-term rentals without a conditional use review will reduce the safety of, or increase crime in, residential neighborhoods. On the contrary, the City of Portland Crime Prevention Program Manager provided written testimony stating the following: "The community member who has stated that short term rentals would destroy her Neighborhood Watch because it would bring strangers to her street misses
the point of our instructions to get to know one’s neighbors. The point of knowing one’s neighbors is not to exclude everyone else, but to have a network. A neighborhood network can thrive whether or not guests or strangers are present. In short, I do not agree with the argument that short term rentals would nullify existing Neighborhood Watches.” The Council finds the Program Manager's testimony is credible and persuasive that the amendments are consistent with Title 12. The findings for Portland Comprehensive Plan Goal 8, Environment demonstrate that the amendments will limit potential impacts from noise.

Findings on Portland's Comprehensive Plan Goals

24. The following goals, policies, and objectives of the Portland Comprehensive Plan are relevant and applicable to the accessory short-term rentals amendments.

**GOAL 1, METROPOLITAN COORDINATION**

25. **Goal 1, Metropolitan Coordination**, calls for the Comprehensive Plan to be coordinated with federal and state law and to support regional goals, objectives and plans. The amendments are consistent with this goal because notification of the proposal, and an opportunity to provide comment at a public hearing before the Planning and Sustainability Commission, was provided to the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development per ORS 197.610, and to Metro, Tri-Met, and the Oregon Department of Transportation per 33.740.020. In addition, nothing within these amendments changes or affects the Urban Growth Boundary, Urban Planning Area Boundary, or Urban Services Boundary.

**GOAL 2, URBAN DEVELOPMENT**

26. **Goal 2, Urban Development, and Policy 2.2, Urban Diversity** call for maintaining Portland's role as the major regional employment and population center through public policies that encourage expanded opportunity for housing and jobs, while retaining the character of established residential neighborhoods and business centers. The amendments are consistent with this goal because they encourage expanded opportunity for home-based jobs, while retaining the character of existing residential neighborhoods. An accessory short-term rental is where a long-term resident rents bedrooms in their dwelling to overnight guests. Accessory short-term rentals are currently allowed in houses in residential zones as a conditional use. Approval of a facility currently requires a quasi-judicial conditional use review. These amendments establish Type A (one or two bedrooms) and Type B (three to five bedrooms) accessory short-term rentals, and eliminate the need for a conditional use review for facilities that rent up to two bedrooms to overnight guests. Operating an accessory short-term rental is a home-based occupation and eliminating the need for a $4,130 conditional use review (CU fee as of May 2014) in order to establish a Type A accessory short-term rental will encourage this type of home-based occupation. In addition to encouraging home-based jobs, the amendments include provisions that address several common elements of neighborhood character such as use, intensity, and accessory home occupations:

- **Use.** The amendments do not allow a home in a neighborhood to be converted to a full-time short-term rental. The amendments ensure that the accessory short-term rental remains an accessory use to a primary household living use on a site. This is accomplished by requiring that a long-term resident live in the dwelling unit in which the rooms will be rented. On sites that have an accessory dwelling unit (ADU), the resident may reside in the primary dwelling and rent rooms in the ADU or vice versa;
- Intensity. The amendments limit a Type A accessory short-term rental to offering no more than two bedrooms to overnight guests, and require a conditional use review, which includes notice and a public hearing, for facilities that rent more than two bedrooms per night;
- Intensity. The amendments limit the total number of guests and residents occupying a dwelling with an accessory short-term rental to no more than the number allowed for a household (per 33.910.030; one or more persons related by blood, marriage, legal adoption, guardianship, or domestic partnership plus not more than 5 other persons). This provision ensures that the number of residents and guests coming and going from the home with bedrooms rented on a short-term basis does not exceed the number of residents allowed to come and go from other homes in the neighborhood without an accessory short-term rental;
- Accessory home occupation. The amendments prohibit the establishment of a Type B accessory home occupation in a dwelling unit with an accessory short-term rental, and prohibiting non-resident employees and commercial meetings in a Type A accessory short-term rental. A Type B accessory home occupation is one in which the resident uses their home as place of work, and has one employee or up to eight customers per day. This provision is intended to limit potential impacts that additional trips to and from a dwelling with an accessory short-term rental may have on the surrounding neighborhood;

Finally, the amendments allow the Type A accessory short-term rental permit to be revoked for failure to comply with the regulations of 33.207.

27. **Policy 2.9, Residential Neighborhoods**, calls for allowing for a range of housing types to accommodate increased population growth while improving and protecting the city’s residential neighborhoods. The amendments are consistent with this policy because they continue to allow a range of housing types in the city, and they include provisions that protect the integrity of the city’s residential neighborhoods as described in the findings for Goal 2, Urban Development. Testimony in the record asserts that the amendments do not protect residential neighborhoods because they do not limit accessory short-term rentals to owner-occupied dwelling units. The amendments will allow either the property owner or a long-term renter to rent bedrooms to overnight guests as long the dwelling unit has a primary resident (defined as someone who occupies the dwelling unit for at least 270 days per year), and, except in the case of an ADU, the primary resident lives in the dwelling unit in which the rooms will be rented. However, there is no evidence in the record to support the claim that allowing a long-term renter to establish an accessory short-term rental will compromise neighborhood safety, destabilize residential neighborhoods, or otherwise fail to protect the city’s residential neighborhoods. On the contrary, the Planning Commission received testimony from 36 people who rent bedrooms to overnight guests, and 14 of the 36 testified that the additional income has allowed them to stay in their homes, which arguably contributes to neighborhood stability. This issue was discussed extensively at the Planning and Sustainability Commission hearing, and the Commissioners could find no rational basis to treat long-term renters differently than resident owners.

28. **Policy 2.14, Industrial Sanctuaries**, calls for providing industrial sanctuaries and encouraging the growth of industrial activities by preserving industrial land primarily for manufacturing purposes. For the reasons stated below, these amendments are consistent with this policy because they do not increase the type or scale of non-industrial uses allowed in the Industrial Sanctuaries:
- The amendments do not allow establishment of a primary household living use in the Industrial or Employment zones. The amendments only allow establishment of an accessory short-term rental in a house, attached house, accessory dwelling unit, duplex, or manufactured home in the Industrial and Employment zones in cases where the dwelling also has a legally established, non-conforming primary household living use. The amendments also contain provisions that limit the scale of the non-industrial activity including a limit on the total number of guests and
residents occupying a dwelling unit to no more than the number allowed for a household, and a limit on the number of bedrooms that can be rented to overnight guests;

- A short-term rental (temporary lodging) is currently allowed outright in the city’s Industrial zones. Up one Retail Sales and Service use, with maximum of 3,000 square feet, is allowed in an IG1 zone, and up to four Retail Sales and Service uses, with a maximum of 3,000 square feet each, are allowed in the IG2 and IH zones.

The findings for Title 4 and Goal 6, Transportation, also demonstrate that the amendments are consistent with this policy.

29. **Policy 2.15, Living Closer to Work**, calls for locating greater residential densities near major employment centers, locating affordable housing close to employment centers, and encouraging home-based work where the nature of the work is not disruptive to the neighborhood. As described in the findings for Goal 2, Urban Development, the amendments encourage home-based work, and include provisions that will protect neighborhoods. Accessory short-term rentals are currently allowed as a conditional use in houses in residential zones. These amendments establish Type A and Type B accessory short-term rentals, and eliminate the need for a conditional use review in order to establish a Type A accessory short-term rental in a house, attached house, accessory dwelling unit, duplex, or manufactured home on its own lot. A Type A accessory short-term rental is one where no more than two bedrooms are rented on a short-term basis. A Type B accessory short-term rental allows renting up to 5 bedrooms to overnight guests, and will continue to require a conditional use review. Operating an accessory short-term rental is a home-based occupation, and the elimination of the conditional use review fee ($4130 application fee as of May 2014) will encourage this type of job. The amendments also include provisions that limit disruption in the neighborhood:

- The amendments ensure that the short-term rental remains an accessory use to the primary household living use on the site by limiting the number of bedrooms rented, requiring that long-term primary resident live in the dwelling unit in which the rooms will be rented, and requiring that the dwelling unit be the long-term resident’s primary residence;

- The amendments limit the total number of guests and residents occupying a dwelling unit to no more than the number allowed for a household. A household is defined as one or more persons related by blood, marriage, legal adoption, guardianship, or domestic partnership plus not more than 5 other persons (per 33.910.030). This limitation ensures that the number of residents and guests coming and going from a dwelling in which bedrooms are rented on a short-term basis does not exceed the number of residents allowed to come and go from other homes in the neighborhood that do not have an accessory short-term rental;

- The amendments include a requirement that the operator of the accessory short term rental notify neighbors and the property owner of the accessory use. The notice must describe the operation and the number of bedrooms to be rented to overnight guests, provide contact information, and describe how the regulations of 33.207 will be met. In addition, the notification must be updated every two years;

- The amendments prohibit the establishment of a Type B accessory home occupation in a dwelling unit with an accessory short-term rental. This will limit the impacts that more than one home-based accessory occupations could have on a neighborhood. A Type B accessory home occupation is one in which the resident uses their home as place of work, and has one employee or up to eight customers per day. Type A accessory home occupations where a resident uses their home as a home office but does not have employees or customers will continue to be allowed;

- The amendments prohibit non-resident employees and commercial meetings in a Type A accessory short-term rental; and

- The amendments allow a Type A accessory short-term rental permit to be revoked for failure to comply with the regulations of 33.207.
Testimony in the record asserts that the amendments do not support this policy because they will reduce the availability of long-term, affordable rental housing. The testimony is not relevant to Policy 2.15, which is focused on promoting, as its title states, living close to work. Policy 2.15 is not an affordable housing policy. In addition, no evidence was provided that demonstrates a relationship between allowing the type of short-term rentals the amendments will permit and the loss of long-term housing. The amendments do not allow a dwelling unit in a residential zone to be converted from a household living use to a commercial use; they only allow bedrooms in a home with a primary household living use to be rented on a short-term basis. The amendments require that a long-term resident live in the dwelling unit, limit the number of bedrooms that can be rented, and do not allow accessory short-term rentals in multi-dwelling structures (structures with 3 or more units). These provisions are intended to ensure that housing units, including long-term rental housing units, are not removed from the market.

30. **Policy 2.21 Existing Housing Stock**, calls for providing full utilization of larger single-family homes with conditions that preserve the character of the neighborhood and prevent speculation. The amendments are consistent with this policy. Accessory short-term rentals are currently allowed in houses in residential zones as a conditional use. Approval of a facility requires a quasi-judicial conditional use review. These amendments eliminate the need for a conditional use review for facilities that rent up to two bedrooms to overnight guests. By eliminating the cost and time associated with a conditional use review, the amendments facilitate the full utilization of bedrooms in larger single-family homes. These amendments also preserve the character of the neighborhood, thus preventing speculation, because the amendments include provisions that ensure the short-term rental remains an accessory use to the primary household living use on a site. This is accomplished by:

- Ensuring that a long-term primary resident continue to live in the dwelling unit in which the accessory short-term rental will be established. The long-term resident must occupy the dwelling for at least 270 days per calendar year;
- Limiting Type A accessory short-term rentals to offering no more than two bedrooms to overnight guests, and requiring a conditional use review, which includes notice and a public hearing, for facilities that rent more than two bedrooms per night;
- Limiting the total number of guests and residents occupying a dwelling unit to no more than the number allowed for a household (per 33.910.030: one or more persons related by blood, marriage, legal adoption, guardianship, or domestic partnership plus not more than 5 other persons). This provision ensures that the number of residents and guests coming and going from a dwelling with an accessory short-term rental does not exceed the number of residents allowed to come and go from other homes in the neighborhood that do not have an accessory short-term rental;
- Requiring that the operator of the accessory short term rental notify neighbors and the property owner of the accessory use. The notification must describe the operation and the number of bedrooms to be rented to overnight guests, provide contact information, and describe how the requirements of 33.207 will be met. In addition, the notification must be updated every two years;
- Limiting the impacts from more than one home-based accessory occupations. The amendments prohibit the establishment of a Type B accessory home occupation in a dwelling unit with an accessory short-term rental. A Type B accessory home occupation is one in which the resident uses their home as place of work and has one employee or up to eight customers per day;
- Prohibiting non-resident employees and commercial meetings in a Type A accessory short-term rental; and
- Allowing the Type A accessory short-term rental permit to be revoked for failure to comply with the regulations of 33.207.
Testimony in the record states that allowing short-term rentals in single-dwelling neighborhoods will increase speculation. The testimony does not provide evidence to support the conclusion. The testimony posits that the “active turnover of users” will contribute to speculation. However, as stated above, the amendments limit the total number of residents and guests occupying the dwelling unit to the same number allowed to occupy a dwelling without an accessory short-term rental. Thus, the number of “users” coming and going from the dwelling can be no more than the number that can come and go from neighboring dwellings, and there is no evidence in the record to suggest that the number of trips made to and from a dwelling with an accessory short-term rental will be more than the number of trips made to and from a dwelling without an accessory short-term rental. In addition, as mentioned above, the amendments prohibit the establishment of a Type B accessory home occupation in a dwelling with an accessory short-term rental. A Type B accessory home occupation allows up to eight customers coming and going per day. Eliminating this allowance further reduces the number of potential trips that a dwelling with an accessory short-term rental could generate.

GOAL 3, NEIGHBORHOODS

31. **Goal 3, Neighborhoods**, calls for preserving and reinforcing the stability and diversity of the city’s neighborhoods while allowing for increased density in order to attract and retain long-term residents and businesses and insure the city’s residential quality and economic vitality. The amendments are consistent with this goal and preserve the stability of the city’s neighborhoods for the reasons stated in the findings for Goal 2, Urban Development, the Goal 2 sub-policies, and the findings below.

32. **Policy 3.2, Social Conditions**, call for providing and coordinating programs to promote neighborhood interest, concern and security and to minimize the social impact of land use decisions. The amendments promote neighborhood interest and concern because they require the operator to notify neighbors before the accessory short-term rental is approved. The notification must include a description of the operation and the number of rooms to be rented to overnight guests. The notification must also include contact information for the operator and describe how the standards of the Title 33.207 will be met. This notification must be updated every two years. The amendments also address neighborhood concern and security because they include an amendment to Title 3.30.040.B.5 allowing the Type A accessory short-term rental permit to be revoked for failure to comply with the regulations of Title 33.207. If the permit is revoked, a new permit will not be issued to the operator at that residence for two years. Testimony in the record asserts that the amendments conflict with this policy because they do not provide full disclosure of the impacts of the use, make rental properties available mainly to “well-to-do Caucasian ambulatory tourists and visitors”, promote discrimination, and displace residents. No evidence was provided to support these conclusions. Additionally, the issues raised in the testimony are not relevant to the policy in question. Policy 3.2 is aimed at City programs that promote neighborhood interest, and is not aimed at discrimination or displacement.

33. **Policy 3.3 Neighborhood Diversity**, calls for promoting neighborhood diversity and security by encouraging a diversity in age, income, race and ethnic background within the City’s neighborhoods. The amendments are consistent with this policy. The amendments eliminate the need for a conditional use review in order to establish a Type A accessory short-term rental. By eliminating the cost and time associated with a conditional use review, the amendments reduce barriers to establishing this type of home-based occupation. The income generated by the accessory short-term rental may help owners and renters reduce household expenses, including costs associated with rent or a mortgage. According to a survey of accessory short-term rental operators in Portland, the typical host earns an average of $6,860 per year. Fourteen of the 36 operators of accessory short-term rental facilities who testified at the Planning and Sustainability Commission hearing stated that the income generated from the short-term rental allowed them to remain in their home during times of financial hardship.
Other testimony in the record suggests that the amendments conflict with this policy because they do not provide full disclosure of the impacts of the use, they make rental properties available mainly to “well-to-do Caucasian ambulatory tourists and visitors”, they promote discrimination, and displace residents. No evidence was provided to support these conclusions. As mention in the findings for Policy 3.2, Social Conditions, the amendments promote neighborhood interest and concern by requiring notification to neighbors, and local neighborhood and business associations, and by allowing a Type A accessory short-term rental permit to be revoked if the regulations of Title 33.207 are not met. Additionally, the findings for Policy 4.9, Fair Housing, demonstrate that the amendments do not promote discrimination. The amendments are intended to discourage unintended displacement of long-term residents because they do not allow a dwelling to be converted to a full time, short-term rental, they ensure that the short-term rental use remains accessory to a primary household living use on the site, and they ensure that only homes with long-term residents are allowed to accommodate overnight guests. The regulations specifically limit the number of bedrooms that can be rented on a short-term basis, and require that the a long-term resident occupy the dwelling where the rooms will be rented (except that on a site with an ADU, the resident may live in the primary dwelling and rent rooms in the ADU or vice versa).

34. **Policy 3.5, Neighborhood Involvement**, provides for the active involvement of neighborhood residents and businesses in decisions affecting their neighborhood, and calls for providing information to neighborhood and business associations which allows them to monitor the impacts of the Comprehensive Plan. The amendments support this policy because they require that neighborhood associations and business associations be notified when a Type A accessory short-term rental is established within their boundaries. The notification will provide the associations with contact information for the operator, a description of the operation and the number of rooms to be rented to overnight guests, and a description of how the operation meets the other standards of Title 33.207.

**GOAL 4, HOUSING**

35. **Goal 4, Housing**, calls for enhancing Portland’s vitality as a community at the center of the region’s housing market by providing housing of different types, density, sizes, costs and locations that accommodates the needs, preferences, and financial capabilities of current and future households. The amendments are consistent with this policy because they include provisions aimed at preserving housing, and the amendments accommodate the needs and preferences of current households. The amendments preserve housing by prohibiting the conversion of an entire housing unit in a residential zone to a motel or other commercial use as some testimony suggests. In all cases, an accessory short-term rental must be an accessory use to a primary household living use on a site. The regulations:

- Require that a long-term resident live in the dwelling unit in which the rooms will be rented. On sites that have an accessory dwelling unit (ADU), the resident may reside in the primary residence and rent bedrooms in the ADU or vice versa;
- Require that the dwelling unit be the long-term resident’s primary residence;
- Limit the number of bedrooms being rented to overnight guests to not more than two, and require a conditional use review for facilities that rent more than two bedrooms per night.

The amendments also accommodate the needs and preference of current households as expressed by people who provided testimony on the amendments to the Planning and Sustainability Commission. The Planning and Sustainability Commission received testimony from 36 people who rent a bedroom in their homes to overnight guests. Many of the 36 testified that renting a room to guests has allowed them to increase their income, and 14 of the 36 testified that the additional income has allowed them to stay in their homes.
36. **Policy 4.2, Maintain Housing Potential**, calls for retaining housing potential by requiring no net loss of land reserved for, or committed to, residential, or mixed-use. This policy is implemented by an approval criterion applied to quasi-judicial Comprehensive Plan Map Amendments that requires no net loss of potential housing units when a requested amendment is from a residential or the urban commercial Comprehensive Plan Map designation to a non-residential map designation (33.810.050.A.2). These amendments do not conflict with this policy because they do not amend, change, or undermine this approval criterion. Testimony in the record argues that the amendments conflict with this policy because they “effectively permit commercial uses in direct conflict with Housing Policy 4.2.” No evidence was provided to support this conclusion. As stated in the findings for Goal 4, Housing, the amendments do not allow housing units in residential zones to be converted from residential to commercial use. The amendments specifically require that the short-term rental be accessory to a primary household living use on a site and, as a result, help to maintain the residential character of existing neighborhoods. The regulations:

- Require that a long-term resident live in the dwelling unit in which the rooms will be rented. On sites that have an accessory dwelling unit (ADU), the resident may reside in the primary residence and rent bedrooms in the ADU or vice versa;
- Require that the dwelling unit have a primary, long-term resident (the long-term resident must occupy the dwelling for at least 270 days per calendar year);
- Limit Type A accessory short-term rentals to renting no more than two bedrooms to overnight guests, and requiring a conditional use review for facilities that rent more than two bedrooms per night.

37. **Policy 4.4 Housing Safety**, calls for ensuring a safe and healthy built environment and assisting in the preservation of sound existing housing and the improvement of neighborhoods. These amendments support this policy because they require the operator of an accessory short-term rental to verify that the bedrooms to be rented met the building code requirements for sleeping rooms at the time they were created or converted, that each floor on which a room with be rented has at least one carbon monoxide alarm, and each bedroom has a smoke detector that is interconnected with smoke detectors in an adjacent hallway. These requirements are intended to ensure that guest can exit the dwelling rapidly and safely in the event of a fire or other emergency.

These amendments also support the preservation of sound housing because they make it easier for a long-term resident to establish a Type A accessory short-term rental in the house, attached house, accessory dwelling unit, duplex, or manufactured home in which they live. A Type A accessory short-term rental is a home-based occupation that will generate income for the resident, increasing the chances that the resident will invest in improvements that keep the home sound. Testimony in the record argues that the amendments conflict with this policy because they will establish “commercial uses as a ‘by-right’ in all Single Dwelling residential zones...”, because they do not allow neighbors the opportunity to comment, and because they conflict with Neighborhood Watch activities promoted by the Office of Neighborhood Involvement. As stated in the findings for Policy 4.2, Maintain Housing Potential, these amendments do not allow housing units in a residential zones to be converted from residential to commercial use. As stated in the findings for Policy 3.2, Social Conditions and Policy 3.5, Neighborhood Involvement, the establishment of an accessory short-term rental requires notification to neighbors, neighborhood associations, and business associations. Issues raised in the testimony regarding Neighborhood Watch activities are not relevant to this policy, as Policy 4.4 is directed at the safety of the housing structure.

38. **Policy 4.5, Housing Conservation**, calls for restoring, rehabilitating, and conserving existing sound housing as one method of maintaining housing as a physical asset that contributes to an area’s desired character. These amendments are consistent with this policy because they eliminate a $4,130 cost barrier (current cost of a Type II conditional use review) to establishing a Type A accessory short-
term rental. The absence of the fee may encourage more homeowners to take advantage of this type of home-based occupation, and increase their income by an average of $6,860 per year (Airbnb survey, 2014). The income generated from the accessory short-term rental may allow the homeowner to restore or rehabilitate their home, thereby conserving existing sound housing.

39. **Policy 4.7, Balanced Communities**, call for livable mixed-income neighborhoods throughout Portland that collectively reflect the diversity of housing types, tenures (rental and ownership) and income levels of the region. Testimony in the record asserts that the amendments conflict with this policy and policy objective B, which calls for maintaining income diversity within neighborhoods by allowing a mix of housing types and tenures, and ensuring that income diversity is maintained over the long-term. The testimony posits that these amendments will “eviscerate the number of long-term rentals in these areas...”. No evidence was presented to support this conclusion. As stated in the findings for Goal 4, Housing, and Policy 4.2, Maintain Housing Potential, these amendments do not allow housing units in residential zones to be converted from residential use to commercial use, therefore these amendments do not conflict with this policy.

40. **Policy 4.8, Regional Housing Opportunities**, ensures opportunities for economic and racial integration throughout the region by advocating for the development of a range of housing options affordable to all income levels throughout the region. Policy 4.8, Objective A calls for advocating for a regional “fair share” strategy for meeting the housing needs of low, moderate, and higher-income households and people in protected classes in cities and counties throughout the region, and Policy 4.8 Objective B calls for supporting regulations and incentives that encourage the production and preservation of housing that is affordable throughout the region. Testimony in the record asserts that these amendments conflict with the policy and objectives because they will result in the “loss of long-term rental housing”. The testifier provided evidence for this conclusion by describing an Oregonian article (no date or title of the article was provided in the testimony) in which one accessory short-term rental operator stated that she would go back to renting her unused bedroom to a long-term renter if the short-term rental option is not allowed. On the contrary, at least one person who testified at the Planning and Sustainability Commission hearing stated that she preferred the flexibility and that comes with renting her bedroom on a short-term basis, and would not rent her room to a long-term renter. In addition, as stated in the findings for Goal 4, Housing, and Policy 4.2, Maintain Housing Potential, these amendments will not encourage the loss of long-term rentals because they do not allow the conversion of housing units in residential zones from a primary residential use to a primary commercial use.

41. **Policy 4.9, Fair Housing**, calls for freedom of choice in housing type, tenure, and neighborhood for all, regardless of race, color, age, gender, familial status, sexual orientation, religion, national origin, source of income or disability. Testimony in the record asserts that these amendments conflict with this policy and its objectives because “Short Term Rentals... become an unregulated market for discriminatory rental practices”. City Code Title 23.01 and Oregon Revised Statute 659A.403 prohibit discrimination based on race, religion, color, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, national origin, marital status, familial status, age if over 18, or disability in places of public accommodation, such as lodgings, and in the selling, leasing or renting of real property. This ordinance does not reduce or nullify in any way the prohibition of discrimination contained in City Code Title 23.01 or ORS 659A.

42. **Policy 4.10, Housing Diversity**, promotes the creation of a range of housing types, prices, and rents to 1) create culturally and economically diverse neighborhoods; and 2) allow those whose housing needs change to find housing that meets their needs within their existing community. Testimony in the record posits that the amendments conflict with this policy and its objectives because they will cause housing types such as accessory dwelling units, manufactured homes, and individual bedrooms to be displaced. Title 33.910 defines residential structure types as the following: accessory dwelling...
unit, attached duplex, attached house, duplex, group living structure, house, houseboat, manufactured dwelling, multi-dwelling development, multi-dwelling structure, single-room occupancy housing, and triplex. An individual room within a dwelling unit is not identified as a housing type. These amendments allow a long-term resident living in a house, attached house, accessory dwelling unit, duplex, or manufactured home on its own lot to rent rooms within the home to overnight guests. In the case of a site with accessory dwelling unit (ADU), the amendments allow the resident to live in the house, attached house, or manufactured home on its own lot and rent rooms in the ADU or vice versa (and ADU is not allowed with a duplex). In either case, the number of bedrooms that can be rented on a short-term basis and the number of total residents and guest on the site is limited. Furthermore, as stated in the findings for Goal 4, Housing, and Policy 4.2, Maintain Housing Potential, these amendments will not cause housing types to be displaced because they do not allow housing units in residential zones to be converted from a primary residential use to a primary commercial use.

43. **Policy 4.11 Affordability**, promotes the development and preservation of quality housing that is affordable across the full spectrum of household incomes. The amendments are consistent with this policy because the change to the accessory short-term rental regulations will not affect the supply of needed affordable housing for the following reasons:

- The regulations do not allow conversions of needed housing in residential zones from a residential use to a commercial use. The amendments ensure that the primary use of the dwelling remains household living. The regulations allow a long-term resident to rent unused bedrooms within their primary residence to overnight guests, and the regulations limit the number of bedrooms that can be rented on a short-term basis.
- In many cases a conditional use review will no longer be required, and the absence of a quasi-judicial application fee may well increase the number of householders establishing accessory short-term rentals. Testimony in the record asserts that the ability to receive income from overnight guests may increase the ability of long-term residents to afford the cost of maintaining their homes or remain in their home during times of financial struggle.
- Any economic effects of the amended regulations are limited to lowering the entrance costs to short-term rentals by eliminating the cost of a conditional use review ($4,130 application fee). The amended regulations will require a permit fee and the installation of interconnected smoke detectors plus a carbon monoxide detector, costs that are together unlikely to exceed $1,000. Assuming that all the reduced entrance costs fully translate to a corresponding increase in the value of residential property, this increase is limited to less than $4,130. The median home price in Portland is $285,000, while the mean home price in Portland is $448,778 (“Portland Market Trends” 2014). A $4,000 increase in price is a 1.4 percent increase in the median price, and a .08 percent increase in the mean price, not enough to render affordable housing unaffordable.
- Home Forward (formerly Housing Authority of Portland) is a government agency that owns homes and makes them available to rent by households earning less than sixty-percent of median metropolitan statistical area income. Rental agreements for these homes always contain a prohibition on subletting that will prohibit accessory short-term rentals. The amendments will therefore not affect the affordability of this publicly owned housing. In addition, they will not affect affordable housing obtained through the Housing Choice Voucher Program funded by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development and administered by Home Forward. This program is commonly referred to as Section 8, and it provides rent assistance to low-income residents. The assistance voucher can be used for renting an apartment or a house, but it cannot be used to rent a room in a house.
Testimony in the record asserts that the amendments conflict with Policy 4.11 because they permit owners to raise rents astronomically, and encourage the reduction of available housing. No evidence was provided to support this conclusion. Nothing in the existing zoning regulations addresses rental rates or prevents owners from raising rent. Rental rates are driven by conditions in the marketplace; many factors affect these conditions and therefore rental rates. The amendments eliminate the need for a conditional use review in order establish a Type A accessory short-term rental. The cost of a conditional use review is currently $4,130 dollars. There is no evidence in the record to support the assumption that by removing the requirement for a conditional use review, rental rates will go up citywide. However, if rental rates were to increase commensurate with the decrease in cost for a conditional use review ($4,130), the amount a resident could generate from an accessory short-term rental ($6,860; Airbnb survey 2014) exceeds the increase in rent. Finally, the income generated by the accessory short-term rental may help reduce household expenses, including costs associated with rent or a mortgage, thereby helping to make the housing more affordable.

44. **Policy 4.14 Neighborhood Stability**, calls for neighborhood stability by promoting: 1) a variety of homeownership and rental housing options; 2) security of housing tenure; and 3) opportunities for community interaction. As detailed in the findings for Goal 2, Urban Development, Policy 2.15, Living Close to Work, Policy 2.21, Existing Housing Stock, Policy 3.2 Social Conditions, Policy 3.5, Neighborhood Involvement, and Goal 4, Housing, the amendments promote neighborhood stability by ensuring that the short-term rental use remains accessory to a primary household living use on a site, preventing the conversion of a dwelling in a residential zone to a full-time, short-term rental, requiring notification of the establishment of an accessory short-term rental to neighbors and local neighborhood and business associations, and allow the accessory short-term rental permit to be revoked for failure to comply with the regulations of Title 33.207. Policy 4.14, Objective H calls for enabling people who are elderly to remain in their own neighborhoods as their needs change. These amendments support that objective because they remove a cost barrier to establishing a Type A accessory short-term rental. The reduced cost may allow elderly people to more easily enter into the accessory short-term rental market, and the income generated from the short-term rental may allow the elderly person to maintain their home and/or remain in their home.

Testimony in the record asserts that the amendments conflict with this policy and its objectives because they will replace long-term rentals, make Neighborhood Watch programs ineffectual, and destroy a sense of safety. No evidence was provided to support these conclusions. As demonstrated above and in the findings for Title 12, Protection of Residential Neighborhoods, Policy 3.2, Social Conditions, Policy 3.5, Neighborhood Involvement, Goal 4, Housing, Policy 4.2, Maintain Housing Potential, Policy 4.10, Housing Diversity, and Policy 4.11, Affordability, these amendments do not encourage the loss of long-term rentals, and they do facilitate communication between the operator of an accessory short-term rental and neighbors, and they protect residential neighborhoods.

**GOAL 5, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT**

45. **Goal 5, Economic Development**, calls for fostering a strong and diverse economy which provides a full range of employment and economic choices for individuals and families in all parts of the city. As described in the findings for Goal 2, Urban Development, and Policy 2.15, Living Close to Work, the amendments are consistent with this goal because they encourage expanded opportunity for home-based jobs. An accessory short-term rental is where a long-term resident rents bedrooms in the dwelling in which they reside to overnight guests. An accessory short-term rental use is currently allowed in residential zones as a conditional use, and approval of an accessory short-term rental facility requires a quasi-judicial conditional use review. These amendments establish Type A (one or two bedrooms) and a Type B (three to five bedrooms) accessory short-term rental types, and eliminate the need for a conditional use review in order to establish a Type A facility in a house,
attached house, accessory dwelling unit, duplex, or manufactured home on its own lot. A Type B facility will continue to require a conditional use review. Operating an accessory short-term rental is a home-based occupation, and the elimination of the $4,130 conditional use review application fee (as of May 2014) will make entry into this type of work less costly, and therefore encourage this type of home-based job. According to a survey of accessory short-term rental hosts in Portland who use the Airbnb website, the average annual income generated from an accessory short-term rental is $6,860 (Airbnb survey 2014).

GOAL 6, TRANSPORTATION

46. **Goal 6, Transportation**, calls for developing a balanced, equitable, and efficient transportation system that provides a range of transportation choices; reinforces the livability of neighborhoods; supports a strong and diverse economy; reduces air, noise, and water pollution; and lessens reliance on the automobile while maintaining accessibility. These amendments are consistent with this goal because they ensure that the potential number of trips generated by an accessory short-term rental will not exceed the number of trips that could be generated by a household living use without an accessory short-term rental. The amendments include a provision that limits the total number of guests and residents staying in a home with an accessory short-term rental to no more than the number of residents allowed to reside in a home without an accessory short-term rental. In addition, the amendments potentially reduce the number of trips that could be generated by an home with an accessory short-term rental because they prohibit the resident in a home with a Type A accessory short-term rental from also operating a Type B accessory home occupation. A Type B accessory home occupation is one in which the operator has one employee or up to eight customers per day. By prohibiting a Type B accessory home occupation, the potential number of trips to and from a home in a residential zone is reduced.

Testimony at the Planning and Sustainability Commission hearing raised concerns about parking associated with an accessory short-term rental. As already mentioned, an accessory short-term rental can have no more people staying in the home than can reside or stay in a home without an accessory short-term rental, therefore the number of cars being parked in association with a home that operates an accessory short-term rental will be no more than should be expected for a home without an accessory short-term rental. In addition, several operators of accessory short-term rentals who testified at the Planning and Sustainability Commission hearing suggested that many of their guests do not have a car. One operator said that fewer than one-half of their guest have had a car, while another operator stated that more than 80 percent of their guests did not have a car.

47. **Policy 6.12, Regional and City Travel Patterns**, calls for supporting the use of the street system consistent with its state, regional, and city classifications and its classification descriptions. Objective B calls for minimizing the impacts of interregional and long intraregional trips on Portland neighborhood and commercial areas, while supporting the travel needs of the community. These amendments are consistent with this policy because, as stated in the findings for Goal 6, Transportation, they ensure that the number of trips generated by a home with an accessory short-term rental will be no more than, and could potentially be fewer than, the number of trips generated by home without an accessory short-term rental.

48. **Policy 6.26, On-Street Parking Management**, calls for managing the supply, operations, and demand for parking and loading in the public right-of-way to encourage economic vitality, safety for all modes, and livability of residential areas. As stated in the findings for Goal 6, Transportation, these amendments are consistent with this policy because they will not increase the demand for parking in residential areas, and will in some cases reduce the potential demand for parking in residential areas.
GOAL 8, ENVIRONMENT

49. **Goal 8, Environment**, calls for maintaining and improving the quality of Portland’s air, water and land resources and protect neighborhoods and business centers from detrimental noise pollution. These amendments will limit potential impacts from noise because they include provisions intended to keep the activities occurring in association with an accessory short-term rental at the same level of activity expected from home without an accessory short-term rental. The amendments accomplish this by:

- Ensuring that the number of guests and residents staying in a home with an accessory short-term rental does not exceed the number of residents of a home without an accessory short-term rental;
- Prohibiting establishment of a Type B accessory home occupation in a home with an accessory short-term rental. A Type B accessory home occupation can have up to eight customers arriving and leaving from the home each day;
- Prohibiting commercial meetings (including weddings and banquets) in a home with a Type A accessory short-term rental; and
- Allowing the accessory short-term rental permit to be revoked for failure to comply with the requirements of Title 33.207.

For these reasons, the amendments are consistent with this goal.

GOAL 9, CITIZEN INVOLVEMENT

50. **Goal 9, Citizen Involvement**, calls for improving the methods for citizen involvement in the ongoing land use decision-making process, and providing opportunities for citizen participation in the implementation, review, and amendment of the Comprehensive Plan. Policy 9.1 calls for encouraging citizen involvement in land use planning projects by actively coordinating the planning process with relevant community organizations, through the reasonable availability of planning reports to city residents and businesses, and notice of official public hearings to neighborhood associations, business groups, affected individuals and the general public. The preparation of these amendments provided multiple opportunities for citizen involvement, in accordance with the legislative procedure requirements of Title 33.740, Legislative Procedure. The development of these amendments included the following notifications and opportunities for citizen involvement:

a) Staff from the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability met with the Development Review Advisory Committee (DRAC) on July 15, 2013, and with the land use chairs of the city’s neighborhood coalition offices on July 25, 2013 to review potential items for inclusion in the RICAP 6 work plan.

b) The **Regulatory Improvement Code Amendment Package 6 (RICAP 6): Proposed Workplan** was made available to the public for review on July 24, 2013. The report was posted on the City’s regulatory improvement program website and mailed to all who requested a copy.

c) The Planning and Sustainability Commission held a public hearing on the RICAP 6 proposed work plan on August 13, 2013. Notice of the August 13 hearing was mailed to all neighborhood associations, neighborhood coalitions, business associations, and other interested parties on July 25, 2013.
d) The Regulatory Improvement Code Amendment Package 6 (RICAP 6): Discussion Draft was made available to the public for review on January 6, 2014. The report was posted on the City’s regulatory improvement program website, and mailed to all who requested a copy.

e) Notice of the RICAP 6 discussion draft was mailed January 8, 2014 to over 750 recipients, including neighborhood associations, neighborhood coalitions, business associations, and other interested parties. The notice included the dates, times and locations of neighborhood association or neighborhood coalition meetings, and a BPS sponsored open house, during which project staff presented the draft for discussion and questions.

f) Project staff attended six neighborhood coalition meetings, and two neighborhood association meetings between January 6, and February 21, 2014. The RICAP 6 discussion draft report was presented and discussed at each of these meetings.

g) Project staff presented the RICAP 6 discussion draft report at one Design Commission meeting, one Historic Landmarks Commission meeting, and one Planning & Sustainability Commission meeting(s).

h) Project staff were available to discuss the RICAP 6 discussion draft at an open house held on February 11, 2014 from 5:00 pm to 7:30 pm.

i) On March 18, 2014 notice of the proposed action was mailed to the Department of Land Conservation and Development in compliance with the post-acknowledgement review process required by OAR 660-018-0020 and ORS 197.610.

j) The Regulatory Improvement Code Amendment Package 6 (RICAP 6): Proposed Draft was made available to the public for review on March 21, 2014. The report was posted on the City’s regulatory improvement program website and mailed to all who requested a copy.

k) The Planning and Sustainability Commission held a public hearing on the RICAP 6 proposed draft on April 22, 2014. Notice of the hearing was mailed to the regional transit agency, Metro, the Oregon Department of Transportation, all neighborhood associations, neighborhood coalitions, business associations, affected bureaus, and other interested parties on March 20, 2014, as required by ORS 227.186 and PCC 33.740. The Planning and Sustainability Commission made four amendments to the proposed draft.

l) The Regulatory Improvement Code Amendment Package 6 (RICAP 6): Recommended Draft was made available to the public for review on May 19, 2014. The report was posted on the City’s regulatory improvement program website and mailed to all who requested a copy.

m) City Council held a public hearing on the RICAP 6 recommended draft on June 4, 2014. Notice of the hearing was mailed to all those who testified orally or in writing at the Planning and Sustainability Commission hearing, and to other persons who requested such notice, on May 13, 2014.

The findings for Statewide Planning Goal 1, Citizen Involvement also demonstrate compliance with this goal and policy.

Testimony in the record asserts that these amendments fail to satisfy this goal and policy. As described above, the process to adopt these amendments followed all requirements of Title 33.740, Legislative Procedures, therefore Goal 9 and Policy 9.1 are satisfied.
GOAL 10, PLAN REVIEW AND IMPLEMENTATION

51. **Policy 10.10, Amendments to the Zoning and Subdivision Regulations**, requires amendments to the zoning and subdivision regulations to be clear, concise, and applicable to the broad range of development situations faced by a growing urban city. Objective A calls for promoting good planning by effectively and efficiently implementing the Comprehensive Plan, addressing present and future land use problems, balancing the benefits of regulations against the cost of implementation and compliance, and assuring that Portland remains competitive with other jurisdictions as a location in which to live, invest and do business. The amendments are consistent with this policy and its objectives for the following reasons:

- They address a development situation that has been growing in Portland. The number of short-term rentals occurring in Portland has increased substantially. In May 2014, Bureau of Planning and Sustainability found over 1,600 short-term rental listings on one website that facilitates peer-to-peer short-term rentals, up from 107 in January 2011;

- They address a present land use problem. Accessory short-term rentals are currently allowed in residential zones in Portland as a conditional use. Twenty-four have been approved through a conditional use review since 2004 date. With only 24 having been approved, it is likely that the majority of short-term rentals operating in Portland have not received proper approvals. In 2013, the Bureau of Development Service’s Code Compliance unit received 38 complaints regarding unauthorized accessory short-term rentals—38 out of a total 1083 complaints. The number of short-term rental listings in Portland on one short-term rental website was over 1,600 in May 2014—a number that far exceeds the total number of complaints investigated by the staff of the Code Compliance unit. Therefore, the total number of accessory short-term rentals operating without approval in Portland presents a present land use problem that needs to be remedied;

- They clarify and streamline regulations that have not been updated since 2004; and

- They address the cost of implementation and compliance by eliminating the need for a conditional use review for a Type A accessory short-term rental.
NOW, THEREFORE, the Council directs:


b. Adopt the commentary for items #12-14 (Short-Term Rental/Bed and Breakfast amendments) in Exhibit A, *Regulatory Improvement Code Amendment package 6 (RICAP 6): Recommended Draft*, dated July 2014 as legislative intent and further findings.

c. Amend Title 33, Planning and Zoning, as shown in items #12-14 (Short-Term Rental/Bed and Breakfast amendments) in Exhibit A, *Regulatory Improvement Code Amendment package 6 (RICAP 6): Recommended Draft*, dated July 2014.


f. Direct the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability to return to City Council with a proposal for accessory short-term rentals in multi-dwelling structures within 90 days.

g. Direct the Bureau of Planning and Sustainability to monitor the effects of the amendments as part of their overall monitoring program, and provide a report on the effects to City Council by September, 2016.

Section 2. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, diagram, designation, or drawing contained in this Ordinance, or the plan, map or code it adopts or amends, is held to be deficient, invalid or unconstitutional, that shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions. The Council declares that it would have adopted the plan, map, or code and each section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, diagram, designation, and drawing thereof, regardless of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, sentences, clauses, phrases, diagrams, designations, or drawings contained in this Ordinance, may be found to be deficient, invalid or unconstitutional.

Passed by the Council: JUL 30 2014

Mayor Charles Hales
Prepared by: Shannon Buono
Date Prepared: July 16, 2014

LaVonne Griffin-Valade
Auditor of the City of Portland
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Improve land use regulations related to accessory short-term rentals through the Regulatory Improvement Code Amendment Package 6 (RICAP 6) (Ordinance Amend Title 33, Planning and Zoning, Title 3, Administration, and Title 6, Special Taxes)