2014 OCT 17 P 4: 14

KIM MORRISON CHELAN COUNTY CLERK

CHELAN COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT

** PREPARED **
10-09-14109:02

JUDICIAL CALENDAR 3 FRIDAY, OCTOBER 17, 2014 JUDGE T W SMALL/SB

14-2-00555-0

SMP RETAIL, LLC

BRICKEN, HILARY -P

VS

CITY OF WENATCHEE

SMITH, STEVE DOUGLAS –P EVEN, JEFFREY TODD -P

SUMMARY JUDGMENT 10:30

SMJHRG

Mr. Even spoke to Mr. Estes who advised he did not plan to appear. Court advised of documents It reviewed and noted page 3 of House Bill 2322 of the Amicas Brief was missing. Statements of counsel. Court recessed at 11:25 p.m. until 11:54 a.m.

Karen Komoto—court reporter present for decision

Court's Decision: Court thanked counsel for briefing and their professional conduct. Court found plaintiff had standing to bring this action, but noted It was limited to determining the validity of the ordinances at issue in this case. The Court would not rule further. Court found It must determine the constitutional validity of the ordinances according to the State Constitution, Article 11, Section 11. Court found the burden of proving unconstitutionality falls on plaintiff. The legal presumption was that all ordinances passed by cities are presumed to be constitutional. The plaintiff must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the ordinance was unconstitutional. The Court found It must follow statutes that grants municipal powers are to be liberally construed in favor of the City. With those rules in mind, Court reviewed Initiative 502.

Court found counsel agreed there was no express preemption. Court found implied preemption was not argued. Court addressed direct conflict. In reviewing 502, Court found it did not confer to adults over 21 the unfettered right to sell marijuana or possess or, or process it, or to produce it. The initiative was conservative and strict, giving detailed provisions for regulations through the State Liquor Control Board. Court reviewed the Cole memo issued by the United States Attorney General's Office, noting that due to the strict conservative nature of the initiative the fact that the federal government was not enforcing their federal laws. Court found that in fact there was much a person must proceed through to get a State license first. The Court did not find a conflict if the City exercised its authority to pass ordinances to promote the health, welfare and safety of its residence. There was no evidence the City's intent was to thwart 502. If the City chose to not receive that revenue, it can choose to. The Court declined to make such policy. The Court upheld the constitutionality of both ordinances passed by the

City of Wenatchee and granted the city's motion and denied the plaintiff's motion. Order entered.

Court recessed at 12:07 p.m.

KIM MORRISON CHELAN COUNTY CLERK

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

SMP RETAIL, LLC,

VS.

municipal corporation,

and

of the State of Washington,

Plaintiff,

CITY OF WENATCHEE, a Washington

Defendant,

Intervenor.

ROBERT W. FERGUSON, Attorney General

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Wenatchee;

23

24

ORDER GRANTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT Page 1

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CHELAN

NO. 14-2-00555-0

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

THIS MATTER came on for hearing in open Court on October 17, 2014. Appearances

for parties and Amici were as follows:

1. Hilary V. Bricken and Charles P. Moure for Plaintiff, SMP Retail, LLC;

2. Steve D. Smith (argued) and Danielle R. Marchant for Defendant, City of

> LAW OFFICES OF JOHNSON, GAUKROGER, SMITH & MARCHANT, P.S.

139 SOUTH WORTHEN P.O. BOX 19 WENATCHEE, WA 98807-0019 TELEPHONE (509) 663-0031

2	J
3	(
4	
5	(
6	
7	f
8	
9	a
10	
11	
12	1
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	I
20	
21	I
22	
23	

24

3.	Rob	ert W. F	erguson,	Attorney	Gene	eral, Noah (3. Purce	ll, S	olicitor Ger	neral, and
effrey T.	Even,	Deputy	Solicitor	General,	for	Intervenor	Robert	W.	Ferguson,	Attorney
General of	the Sta	ite of Wa	shington;	and						

4. Stewart A. Estes for Amici Curie Pierce County, Lewis County, City of Yakima, City of Fife, and Town of Wilbur.

The purpose of the hearing was to consider the Defendant City of Wenatchee's Motion for Summary Judgment and the Plaintiff SMP Retail, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment.

The Court considered the Motions for Summary Judgment identified above, the arguments of counsel, and the following records:

- 1. Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief;
- 2. Defendant City of Wenatchee's Answer and Affirmative Defenses to First Amended Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief;
 - 3. Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment;
 - 4. Defendant's Memorandum in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment;
 - 5. Declaration of Deanne McDaniel;
 - 6. Declaration of Frank Kuntz;
 - 7. Declaration of Danielle R. Marchant:
- 8. Amicus Curie Brief of Pierce County, Lewis County, City of Yakima, City of Fife, Town of Wilbur;
- 9. Attorney General's Answer to First Amended Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief;
 - 10. Attorney General's Memorandum in Support of Summary Judgment;

ORDER GRANTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT Page 2

LAW OFFICES OF JOHNSON, GAUKROGER, SMITH & MARCHANT, P.S. 139 SOUTH WORTHEN WENATCHEE, WA 98807-0019 TELEPHONE (509) 663-0031

23

24

- 11. Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment; Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment and Response in Opposition to 12. Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and Attorney General's Motion in Support Thereof; and Attorney General's Reply Memorandum in Support of Summary Judgment, au-13. NOW, THEREFORE, having considered the Motions, the arguments of counsel, and the papers, records and files in this matter, and the Court deeming itself fully advised in the premises, the Court orders as follows:
 - The Court finds that there is no issue of material fact: A.
- B. That the Defendant City of Wenatchee's Motion for Summary Judgment is granted as a matter of law;
- C. That the Plaintiff SMP Retail, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment is denied as a matter of law; and
- D. This case shall be and hereby is dismissed with prejudice and without an award of attorney's fees or costs.

DONE IN OPEN COURT this 17th day of October, 2014.

Superior Court Judge

ORDER GRANTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Page 3

LAW OFFICES OF JOHNSON, GAUKROGER, SMITH & MARCHANT, P.S. 139 SOUTH WORTHEN WENATCHEE, WA 98807-0019 TELEPHONE (509) 663-0031

1	Presented by:
2	JOHNSON, GAUKROGER, SMITH
3	& MARCHANT, P.S. Attorneys for Defendant City of Wenatchee
4	
5	Ву Ди
6	WSBA #16613
7	Approved as to form, notice of presentment
8	waived: HARRIS MOURE
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff
10	401/2
11	HILARY V. BRICKEN
12	WSBA #43000
13	Approved as to form; notice of presentment waived:
14	ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON
15	n. O et 7 Even
16	RØBERT W. FERGUSON, WSBA#
17	NOAH G. PURCELL, WSBA #43492 JEFFREY T. EVEN, WSBA #20367
18	Approved as to form; notice of presentment
19	waived: KEATING, BUCKLIN & McCORMACK, INC., P.S.
20	Attorneys for Amici
21	
22	STEWART A. ESTES
23	WSBA #15535 ORDER GRANTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT
24	Page 4 Fi/00 Active Clients/8000,00 to 8999,99/8039,95 City to SMP Retail/Order SJ.doc

LAW OFFICES OF
JOHNSON, GAUKROGER,
SMITH & MARCHANT, P.S.
139 SOUTH WORTHEN
P.O. BOX 19
WENATCHEE, WA 98807-0019
TELEPHONE (509) 663-0031