City of Poulsbo Debt Policy

SECTION I – INTRODUCTION & GUIDING PRINCIPLES

Purpose and Overview
The Debt Policy for the City of Poulsbo is established to help ensure that all debt is issued both prudently and cost effectively. The Debt Policy sets forth comprehensive guidelines for the issuance and management of all financings of the City Council. Adherence to the policy is essential to ensure that the Council maintains a sound debt position and protects the credit quality of its obligations.

Capital Planning:
The City shall integrate its debt issuance with its Capital Improvement Program (CIP) spending to ensure that planned financing conforms to policy targets regarding the level and composition of outstanding debt. This planning considers the long-term horizon, paying particular attention to financing priorities, capital outlays and competing projects. Long term borrowing shall be confined to the acquisition and/or construction of capital improvements and shall not be used to fund operating or maintenance costs. For all capital projects under consideration, the City shall set aside sufficient revenue from operations to fund ongoing normal maintenance needs and to provide reserves for periodic replacement and renewal. The issuance of debt to fund operating deficits is not permitted.

Legal Governing Principles
In the issuance and management of debt, the City shall comply with the state constitution and with all other legal requirements imposed by federal, state, and local rules and regulations, as applicable.

- State Statutes – The City may contract indebtedness as provided for by RCW 35A.40.090. General Obligation indebtedness is subject to the limitations on indebtedness provided for in RCW 39.36.020(2)(b) and Article VIII of the Washington State Constitution. Bonds evidencing such indebtedness shall be issued and sold in accordance with chapter 39.46.
- Federal Rules and Regulations – The City shall issue and manage debt in accordance with the limitations and constraints imposed by federal rules and regulations including the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended; the Treasury Department regulations there under; and the Securities Acts of 1933 and 1934.
- Local Rules and Regulations – The City shall issue and manage debt in accordance with the limitations and constraints imposed by local rules and regulations.

Roles & Responsibilities
The City Council shall:

- Approve indebtedness;
- Approve appointment of independent financial advisor and bond counsel;
- Approve the Debt Policy;
- Approve budgets sufficient to provide for the timely payment of principal and interest on all debt; and
In consultation with the City’s General Counsel, financial advisor, and bond counsel, shall determine the most appropriate instrument for a proposed bond sale.

The Finance Director in consultation with the Finance Committee, the Mayor and full Council shall:

- Assume primary responsibility for debt management
- Provide for the issuance of debt at the lowest possible cost and risk;
- Determine the available debt capacity;
- Provide for the issuance of debt at appropriate intervals and in reasonable amounts as required to fund approved capital expenditures;
- Recommend to the City Council the manner of sale of debt;
- Monitor opportunities to refund debt and recommend such refunding as appropriate.
- Comply with all Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Securities and Exchange (SEC), and Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (MSRB) rules and regulations governing the issuance of debt.
- Provide for the timely payment of principal and interest on all debt and ensure that the fiscal agent receives funds for payment of debt service on or before the payment date;
- Provide for and participate in the preparation and review of offering documents;
- Comply with all terms, conditions and disclosure required by the legal documents governing the debt issued;
- Submit to the City Council all recommendations to issue debt;
- Distribute to appropriate repositories information regarding financial condition and affairs at such times and in the form required by law, regulation and general practice, including Rule 15c2-12 regarding continuing disclosure;
- Provide for the distribution of pertinent information to rating agencies; and
- Apply and promote prudent fiscal practices.

**Ethical Standards Governing Conduct**
The members of the City staff, the Mayor and the City Council will adhere to the standards of conduct as stipulated by the Public Disclosure Act, RCW 42.17 and Ethics in Public Service, RCW 42.52.

**SECTION II – PROFESSIONAL SERVICES**

**Professional Services**
The City’s Finance Department shall be responsible for the solicitation and selection of professional services that are required to administer the City’s debt.

- **Bond Counsel** – With the exception of debt issued by the State, all debt issued by the City will include a written opinion by bond counsel affirming that the City is authorized to issue the proposed debt. The opinion shall include confirmation that the City has met all city and state constitutional and statutory requirements necessary for issuance, a determination of the proposed debt’s federal income tax status and any other components necessary for the proposed debt.

- **Financial Advisor** – A Financial Advisor may be used to assist in the issuance of the City’s debt. The Financial Advisor will provide the City with the objective advice
and analysis on debt issuance. This includes, but is not limited to, monitoring of market opportunities, structuring and pricing of debt, and preparing official statements of disclosure.

- **Underwriters** – An Underwriter will be used for all debt issued in a negotiated sale method. The Underwriter is responsible for purchasing negotiated debt and reselling the debt to investors.
- **Fiscal Agent** – A fiscal agent will be used to provide accurate and timely securities processing and timely payment to bondholders. As provided under RCW 43.80, the City will use the Fiscal Agent that is determined by the State.
- **Professional Service providers** may be selected through a competitive selection process conducted by the Finance Director in consultation with the Finance Committee and Legal Counsel; the City Council shall approve the most qualified financial advisor/underwriter and bond counsel.
- These services shall be regularly monitored by the Finance Director.

### SECTION III – DEBT STRUCTURE

**Types of Debt Instruments:**

The City may utilize several types of municipal debt obligations to finance long-term capital projects. Subject to the approval of City Council, the City is authorized to sell:

- **Unlimited Tax General Obligation Bonds** – The City shall use Unlimited Tax General Obligation Bonds, also known as “Voted General Obligation Bonds” as permitted under [RCW 35A.40.090](#) for the purpose of general purpose, open space and parks, and utility infrastructure. Voted issues are limited to capital purposes only. Every project proposed for financing through general obligation debt should be accompanied by a full analysis of the future operating and maintenance costs associated with the project. UTGO Bonds are payable from excess tax levies and are subject to the assent of 60% of the voters at an election to be held for that purpose.

- **Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds** – A Limited-Tax General Obligation debt (LTGO), also known as “Non-Voted General Obligation Debt”, requires the City to levy a property tax sufficient to meet its debt service obligations but only up to a statutory limit. The City shall use Limited Tax General Obligation (LTGO) Bonds as permitted under [RCW 35A.40.090](#) for general capital purposes only. General Obligation debt is backed by the full faith and credit of the City and is payable from General Fund reserves and taxes collected by the City. LTGO Bonds will only be issued if:
  - A project requires funding not available from alternative sources;
  - Matching fund monies are available which may be lost if not applied for in a timely manner; or,
  - Emergency conditions exist.

- **Revenue Bonds** – The City shall use Revenue Bonds as permitted under [RCW 35A.40.090](#) for the purpose of financing construction or improvements to facilities of enterprise systems operated by the City in accordance with the Capital Improvement Plan. No taxing power or general fund pledge is provided as security.

- **Special Assessment/Local Improvement District Bonds** – The City shall use Special Assessment Bonds as permitted under [RCW 35A.40.090](#) for the purpose of...
assuring the greatest degree of public equity in place of general obligation bond where possible. Local Improvement District (LID) Bonds represent debt that is repaid by the property owners who specifically benefit from the capital improvements through annual assessments paid to the City. LID’s are formed by the City Council after a majority of property owners agree to the assessment. No taxing power or general fund pledge is provided as security, and LID Bonds are not subject to statutory debt limitations. The debt is backed by the value of the property within the district and an LID Guaranty Fund, as required by State Law.

- **Short Term Debt** – The City shall use short term debt as permitted under RCW 39.50, for the purpose of meeting any lawful purpose of the municipal corporation, including the immediate financing needs of a project for which long term funding has been secured but not yet received. The City may use inter-fund loans rather than outside debt instruments to meet short-term cash flow needs for the project. Inter-fund loans will be permitted only if an analysis of the affected fund indicates excess funds are available and the use of the funds will not impact the fund’s current operations. All inter-fund loans will be subject to Council approval and will bear interest at prevailing rates.

- **Leases** – The City is authorized to enter into capital leases under 35A.40.090 RCW, subject to the approval of City Council.

- **Public Works Trust Fund Loans** – The City shall use Public Works Trust Fund Loans as provided under RCW 43.155 for the purpose of repairing, replacing or creating domestic water systems, sanitary sewer systems, storm sewer systems, roads, streets, solid waste/recycling facilities and bridges.

- **Local Option Capital Asset Lending (LOCAL) Program Debt** – The City is authorized to enter into a financing contract with the Office of the State Treasurer under RCW 39.94, for the purpose of financing equipment and capital needs through the State Treasurer’s Office subject to existing debt limitations and financing considerations. The LOCAL Program is an expanded version of the state agency lease/purchase program that allows the pooling of funding into larger offerings of securities.

**SECTION IV – TRANSACTION SPECIFIC POLICIES**

**Method of Sale** - The City shall evaluate the best method of sale for each proposed bond issue.

1. **Competitive Bid Method** – Any competitive sale of the City’s debt will require the approval of City Council. City debt issued on a competitive bid basis will be sold to the bidder proposing the lowest true interest cost to the City.

2. **Negotiated Bid Method** – When a negotiated sale is deemed advisable (in consultation with the Mayor and City Council) the Finance Director shall negotiate the most competitive pricing on debt issues and broker commissions in order to ensure the best value to the City.
   - If debt is sold on a negotiated basis, the negotiations of terms and conditions shall include, but not be limited to, prices, interest rates, underwriting or remarketing fees and commissions.
   - The City, with the assistance of its Financial Advisor, shall evaluate the terms offered by the underwriting team. Evaluations of prices, interest rates, fees and commissions
shall include prevailing terms and conditions in the marketplace for comparable issuers.
- No debt issue will be sold on a negotiated basis without an independent financial advisor.

3. The City shall use refunding bonds in accordance with the Refunding Bond Act, RCW 39.53. Unless otherwise justified, the City will refinance debt to achieve true savings as market opportunities arise. Refunding debt shall never be used for the purpose of avoiding debt service obligations. A target 5% cost savings (discounted to its present value) over the remainder of the debt must be demonstrated for any “advance refunding”, unless otherwise justified. The City, in consultation with its Financial Advisor, may approve a “current refunding” transaction of an existing debt issue if the refunding demonstrates a positive present value savings over the remaining life of the debt.

4. With Council approval, interim financing of capital projects may be secured from the debt financing marketplace or from other funds through an inter-fund loan as appropriate in the circumstances.

5. When issuing debt, the City shall strive to use special assessment, revenue or other self supporting bonds in lieu of general obligation bonds.

**Limitations on Debt Issuance**

1. The City shall remain in compliance with all debt limitations. As part of the annual budgeting process, a current summary of outstanding debt and compliance targets is prepared. The City shall observe the following limitations on debt issuance:
   - **General Obligation** – 2.5% of Assessed Value (RCW 39.36.020(2)(b))
     - Non-Voted: 1.5%
     - Voted: 2.5%
   - **Open Space and Park Facilities** – 2.5% of Assessed Value (RCW 39.36.020(4))

2. Debt payments shall not extend beyond the estimated useful life of the project being financed. The City shall keep the average maturity of general obligations bonds at or below 20 years, unless special circumstances arise warranting the need to extend the debt schedule.

3. Debt Limit Target: The City shall not exceed 90% of the legal debt limits from above.

**Debt Structuring Practices**

The following terms shall be applied to the City’s debt transactions, as appropriate. Individual terms may change as dictated by the marketplace or the unique qualities of the transaction.

- **Maturity** – The City shall issue debt with an average life less than or equal to the average life of the assets being financed. Unless otherwise stated in law, the final maturity of the debt shall be no longer than 40 years (RCW 39.46.110).
- **Debt Service Structure** – Unless otherwise justified, debt service should be structured on a level basis. Refunding bonds should be structured to produce equal savings by fiscal year. Unless otherwise justified, debt shall not have capitalized interest. If appropriate, debt service reserve funds may be used for revenue bonds.
- **Price Structure** – The City’s long-term debt may include par, discount, and premium bonds. Discount and premium bonds must be demonstrated to be advantageous relative to par bond structures, given market conditions.
Call Provisions – For each transaction, the City shall evaluate the costs and benefits of call provisions. In general, the City shall opt for the shortest possible optional call consistent with optimal pricing.

Bond Insurance – For each transaction, the City shall evaluate the costs and benefits of bond insurance or other credit enhancements. Any credit enhancement purchases by the City shall be competitively priced.

Tax-exemption – Unless otherwise justified and deemed necessary, the City shall issue its debt on a tax-exempt basis.

Reimbursement resolution – Must be adopted by City Council if the project hard costs are advanced prior to the bond sale.

SECTION V – COMMUNICATION

It is the policy of the City to remain as transparent as possible. The City shall manage relationships with the rating analysts assigned to the City’s credit, using both informal and formal methods to disseminate information.

The City’s Comprehensive Financial Report (CAFR) shall be the primary vehicle for compliance with continuing disclosure requirements. The CAFR may be supplemented with additional documentation as required. Each year included in the CAFR, the City will report its compliance with debt targets and the goals of this Debt Management Policy.

The City will issue a material event notice in accordance with provisions of SEC Rule 15c2-12. Prior to issuance of any material event, the Finance Director will discuss the materiality of any event with the Mayor, City Attorney and designated Council members, to ensure equal, timely and appropriate disclosure to the marketplace.

The City shall seek to maintain and improve its current bond rating.

SECTION VI - COMPLIANCE

Investment of Proceeds
The City shall comply with all applicable Federal, State and contractual restrictions regarding the investment of bond proceeds. This includes compliance with restrictions on the types of investment securities allowed, restrictions on the allowable yield of invested funds as well as restrictions on the time period over which some of the proceeds may be invested.

Arbitrage Liability Management
Due to the complexity of arbitrage rebate regulations and the severity of non-compliance penalties, the City shall solicit the advice of bond counsel and other qualified experts about arbitrage rebate calculations. The City shall, when deemed necessary or required, contract with a third party for preparation of the arbitrage rebate calculation.

The City shall maintain an internal system for tracking expenditure of bond proceeds and investment earnings by opening a separate account in the state pool. The expenditure of bond proceeds shall be tracked in the financial system by issue. Investments may be pooled for
financial accounting purposes and for investment purposes. When investment of bond proceeds are co-mingled with other investments, the City shall adhere to IRS rules on accounting allocations.

**Bond Users Clearinghouse**
The City shall ensure that the Bond Users Clearinghouse receives municipal bond information for all debt sold as provided by RCW 39.44.200 – 39.44.240 and WAC 365-130.

**Legal Covenants**
The City shall comply with all covenants and conditions contained in governing law and any legal documents entered into at the time of a bond offering.

**Periodic Policy Review**
At a minimum, the debt policy will be reviewed and updated every five years.
RESOLUTION 2010-16

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF POULSBO, WASHINGTON, ADOPTING A DEBT POLICY GOVERNING THE ISSUANCE AND ADMINISTRATION OF ALL DEBT ISSUED BY THE CITY.

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Poulsbo deems to have its debt issued and administered in compliance with all applicable Federal and RCW requirements, and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Poulsbo desires to maintain a debt policy to guide the issuance and administration of its debt, and

WHEREAS, the City’s Finance Director has conducted a thorough review of its current debt practices in effort to develop this policy for the issuance and administration of City debt, and

WHEREAS, the City of Poulsbo’s debt policy has been written in accordance with the Washington Municipal Treasurer’s Association Model Debt Policy,

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF POULSBO, WASHINGTON RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Debt Policy Adopted. The policy for investment of City funds set forth in document entitled “City of Poulsbo Debt Policy” dated August 1, 2010 which is attached hereto as Exhibit “A” and incorporated herein by reference as if set forth in full is hereby adopted as official policy for issuance and administration of City debt.

RESOLVED this 1st day of September, 2010.

APPROVED:

[Signature]
MAYOR, REBECCA ERICKSON

ATTEST.AUTHENTICATED

[Signature]
CITY CLERK, JILL A. BOLTZ

FILED WITH THE CITY CLERK: 08/25/2010
PASSED BY THE CITY COUNCIL: 09/01/2010
RESOLUTION NO. 2010-16