Capital Improvement Program Plan Policies

A number of important policy considerations are the basis for the Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Plan. These policies provide guidelines for all financial aspects of the CIP, and ultimately affect the project selection process.

A. Relationship of Long-Range Plans to the CIP:

The CIP will be updated annually as part of the City's budget process. The City Council may amend the CIP Plan at any time as required.

Virtually all of the projects included in the CIP are based upon formal long-range plans that have been adopted by the City Council. This ensures that the City's Capital Improvement Program, which is the embodiment of the recommendations of these individual planning studies, is responsive to the officially stated direction of the City Council as contained in the Comprehensive Plan, Council work goals, and supporting documents. Examples of these supporting documents: Pavement Management System Plan and the Parks and Open Space and Recreation Services Plan. There are exceptions, but they are relatively small when compared to the other major areas of expenditure noted above.

B. <u>CIP Coordination Team</u>:

A CIP Coordination Team is a cross-departmental team which participates in the review and recommendation of the CIP program to the City Manager. The Team will review proposed capital projects in regards to accurate costing (design, capital, and operating), congruence with City objectives, and prioritize projects by a set of deterministic criteria. The Administrative Services Director, or his/her designee, will serve as the lead for the team.

C. <u>Establishing CIP Priorities</u>:

The City uses the following basic CIP project prioritization and selection process:

- Each CIP program area establishes criteria to be used in the prioritization of specific projects submitted for funding. These specific criteria are developed by staff in conjunction with City Council priorities and input from citizens, associated City boards and commissions. The City has divided its CIP projects into the following program areas: General and Parks Capital Projects, Major Facilities Maintenance, Roads Capital Projects, and Surface Water Capital Projects.
- 2. Designated personnel within City departments recommend project expenditure plans to the Administrative Services Department. The project expenditure plans include all capital costs and any applicable maintenance and operation expenditures along with a recommended funding source.
- 3. The CIP Coordination Team evaluates the various CIP projects and selects those with the highest priority based on input from citizens, project stakeholders, appropriate advisory committees, and City Council goals.

- 4. A Preliminary CIP Plan is developed by the Administrative Services Department and is recommended to the City Council by the City Manager.
- 5. The City Council reviews the Preliminary CIP Plan, holds a public hearing(s) on the plan, makes their desired alterations, and then officially adopts the CIP and establishes related appropriations as a part of the City's budget.
- 6. Within the available funding, the highest priority projects are then selected and funded in the CIP.

D. Types of Projects Included in the CIP Plan:

The CIP Plan will display, to the maximum extent possible, all major capital projects in which the City is involved. It is difficult to define precisely what characteristics a project should have before it is included in the CIP Plan for the public's and City Council's review and approval. While the following criteria may be used as a general guide to distinguish among projects which should be included or excluded from the CIP Plan, there are always exceptions which require management's judgment. Therefore, the City Manager has the administrative authority to determine which projects should be included in the CIP Plan and which projects are more appropriately contained in the City's operating budget.

For purposes of the CIP Plan, a CIP project is generally defined to be any project that possesses all of the following characteristics:

- 1. Exceeds an estimated cost of \$10,000;
- 2. Involves totally new physical construction, reconstruction designed to gradually and systematically replace an existing system on a piecemeal basis, replacement of a major component of an existing facility, or acquisition of land or structures:
- 3. Involves City funding in whole or in part, or involves no City funds but is the City's responsibility for implementing, such as a 100% grant-funded project or 100% Local Improvement District funded project; and,
- 4. Involves skills and construction needs beyond those needed for a general repair and maintenance project.

These should be considered general guidelines. Any project in excess of \$25,000 meeting the criteria of (2), (3) and (4) above, or various miscellaneous improvements of a like nature whose cumulative total exceeds \$25,000 (i.e., street overlays) should be considered as part of the CIP process.

Program area managers are responsible for the cost estimates of their proposed programs, including future maintenance and operations costs related to the implementation of completed projects.

E. Scoping and Costing Based on Pre-design Study:

For some projects it is difficult to develop accurate project scopes, cost estimates, and schedules on which no preliminary engineering or community contact work has been done. To address this problem, some projects are initially proposed and funded only

for preliminary engineering and planning work. This funding will not provide any monies to develop final plans, specifications, and estimates to purchase rights-of-way or to construct the projects. Future project costs are refined through the pre-design study process.

F. Required Project Features and Financial Responsibility:

If a proposed project will cause a direct impact on other publicly-owned facilities, an equitable shared and funded cost plan must be coordinated between the affected program areas.

G. Predictability of Project Timing, Cost and Scope:

The predictability of timing and costs of projects is important to specific private developments, such as the provision of street improvements or the extension of major sewer lines or water supply, without which development could not occur. These projects generally involve significant financial contributions from such private development through developer extension agreements, LIDs, and other means. Once a project has been approved by the City Council in the CIP, project scheduling is a priority to maintain.

The City Council authorizes the City Manager to administratively approve the acceleration of projects schedules so long as they can be accomplished within budgeted and any allowable contingency expenditures, with the understanding that all controversial issues will be brought before the City Council. All project additions or deletions must be approved by the City Council.

H. CIP Maintenance and Operating Costs:

CIP projects, as approved by the City Council, shall have a funding plan for maintenance and operating costs identified in the project description. These costs will be included in the City's long-term financial planning.

I. Local Improvement Districts (LID):

Examples of when future LIDs may be formed are as follows: 1) where old agreements exist, committing property owners to LID participation on future projects; 2) when a group of property owners wish to accelerate development of a certain improvement; 3) when a group of property owners desire a higher standard of improvement than the City's project contemplates; or 4) when a group of property owners request City assistance in LID formation to fund internal neighborhood transportation facilities improvements, which may or may not have City funding involved. If City funding is proposed by the project sponsors (property owners), they shall so request of the City Council (through the City Clerk) in writing before any LID promotion activity begins. The City Manager shall analyze such request and report his/her conclusions and recommendation to Council for their consideration. The Council shall by motion affirm or deny the recommendation. The Council's affirmative motion to financially participate shall expire in 180 days, unless the project sponsors have submitted a sufficient LID petition by that time.

In the event that the request is for street resurfacing in advance of the City's normal street resurfacing cycle, the City's contribution, if any, will be determined based on a recommendation from the Public Work's Department and a financial analysis of the impact of completing the project prior to the City's original timeline.

On capital projects whose financing depends in part on an LID, interim financing will be issued to support the LID's portion of the project budget at the same time or in close proximity to the issuance of the construction contract. The amount of the interim financing shall be the current estimate of the final assessment roll as determined by the administering department.

In the event that the project is 100% LID funded, interim financing shall be issued either in phases (i.e., design phase and construction phase) or up front in the amount of the entire estimated final assessment roll, whichever means is estimated to provide the lowest overall cost to the project as determined by the Administrative Services Department.

The City will recapture direct administrative costs incurred by the City for the LID project by including these in the preliminary and final assessment roles.

J. <u>Preserve Existing Capital Infrastructure Before Building New Facilities</u>:

The City's policy is to ensure that adequate resources are allocated to preserve the City's existing infrastructure before targeting resources toward building new facilities that also have maintenance obligations. This policy addresses the need to protect the City's historical investment in capital facilities and to avoid embarking on a facility enhancement program which, together with the existing facilities, the City cannot afford to adequately maintain.

K. New Facilities Should Be of High Quality, Low Maintenance, Least Cost:

The intent of this policy is to guide the development and execution of the CIP Plan through an emphasis on lowest life-cycle cost. Projects should only be built if the necessary funding to operate them is provided. Also, priority is given to new facilities that have minimal ongoing maintenance costs so as to limit the impact upon both the CIP and the operating budget.

L. Public Input at All Phases of Projects:

The City is committed to public involvement. The City's long-range plans are developed through an extensive citizen involvement program.

M. <u>Basis for Project Appropriations</u>:

During the City Council's CIP Plan review, the City Council will appropriate the full estimated project cost for all projects in the CIP Plan. Subsequent adjustments to appropriation levels for amendments to the CIP Plan may be made by the City Council at any time.

N. Balanced CIP Plan:

The CIP Plan is a balanced six-year plan. This means that for the entire six-year period, revenues will be equal to project expenditures in the plan. It is anticipated that the plan will have more expenditures than revenues in single years of the plan, but this imbalance will be corrected through the use of interim financing, if actually needed. Over the life of the six-year plan, however, all planned interim debt will be repaid and all plan expenditures, including interest costs on interim debt will be provided for with identified revenues. Any project funding plan, in which debt is <u>not</u> retired within the current six-year plan, must have specific City Council approval.

O. Use of Debt in the CIP:

The CIP is viewed as a long-term program that will continually address capital requirements far into the future. As such, the use of long-term debt should be minimized, allowing the City to put money into actual projects that benefit Shoreline residents and businesses rather than into interest payments to financial institutions. There may be exceptions to this policy for extraordinary circumstances, where voted or non-voted long-term debt must be issued to achieve major City goals that otherwise could not be achieved, or would have to wait an unacceptably long time. Issuance of long-term debt must receive City Council authorization.

Staff monitors CIP cash flow regularly and utilizes fund balances to minimize the amount of borrowing required. Funds borrowed for cash flow purposes are limited to short-term obligations. Projected financing costs are included within a project in the administrative program area.

P. Administrative Services Director's Authority to Borrow:

The Administrative Services Director is authorized to initiate interim and long-term borrowing measures, as they become necessary, as identified in the CIP Plan and approved by the City Council.

Q. <u>CIP Plan Update and Amendment</u>:

The CIP Plan will be updated at least annually. The City Council may amend the CIP Plan at any time if a decision must be made and action must be taken before the next CIP review period. All project additions or deletions must be approved by the City Council.

R. <u>Formalization of Monetary Agreements</u>:

All agreements between the City and outside jurisdictions, where resources are exchanged shall be in writing specifying the financial terms of the agreement, the length of the agreement, and the timing of any required payments (i.e., Joint CIP projects where the City is the lead agency, grant funded projects, etc.). Formalization of these agreements will protect the City's interests. Program areas shall make every effort to promptly request any reimbursements that are due the City. Where revenues from outside jurisdictions are ongoing, these requests shall be made at least quarterly, unless alternative arrangements are approved by the City Manager or City Council.

S. <u>Applicable Project Charges</u>:

CIP projects should reflect all costs that can be clearly shown to be necessary and applicable. Staff charges to CIP projects will be limited to time spent actually working on those projects and shall include an overhead factor to cover the applicable portion of that person's operating cost.

Steps in the Capital Improvement Process

The capital improvement process is built around the following eight steps:

- 1. Establishment of the administrative and policy framework for capital programming and budgeting. The first step in implementing an effective capital improvement planning and budget process is to establish the underlying organizational and policy framework within which the process operates.
- 2. **Prepare inventory of existing facilities.** Each governmental unit should compile an inventory of its own physical plant. This will help to indicate the eventual need for renewal, replacement, expansion or retirement of some of the physical plant. This can be accomplished through a master plan process.
- 3. **Determine by review the status of previously approved projects.** Prepare a report showing the status of previously approved programs. The estimated costs of these projects should be reviewed to ensure accuracy and the funding sources which would be needed to finance the completion of the project. This status review also allows the legislative body the opportunity to stay informed of projects approved in previous years.
- 4. Perform financial analysis and financial programming. Financial analysis involves the determination of the City of Shoreline's financial capability for major expenditures by examining past, present and future revenue, expenditures and municipal debt. The selection and scheduling of funding sources of these major expenditures is known as financial programming. Some of the important objectives of financial programming include:
 - Smoothing out the tax rate
 - Maintaining a preferred balance of debt service and current expenditures
 - Determination of debt capacity and appropriate debt service levels
 - Maximizing intergovernmental aid relative to local expenditures

The intent is to come up with a level of capital expenditures by fund, which the municipality can safely afford over the next several years while maintaining a minimal impact of the property tax rate and other municipal revenues.

- 5. Compile and evaluate project requests. Once the Administrative Services Department has completed reviewing and summarizing the CIP requests, the CIP Review Committee will review and prioritize each project based on the criteria contained in the Capital Project Criteria Section. A draft CIP is then developed and submitted to the City Manager for review. The City Council then reviews, modifies and adopts the CIP.
- 6. **Adoption of the capital program and budget.** The City Council, which has been involved in the CIP process from the beginning by establishing policy guidelines under which the CIP was developed, has the final responsibility to adopt the CIP.
- 7. **Monitoring the CIP.** Lead departments are responsible to monitor the actual development of a project against the approved budget to complete the project.
- 8. **Modifications.** Significant changes in project scope, time or costs, requires a CIP amendment by the City Council.