



# TELECOMMUNICATIONS SPECIAL USE WIRELESS

6100 219<sup>th</sup> Street SW, Suite 200  
Mountlake Terrace, WA 98043  
Phone: 425.744.6267 Fax: 425.775.0420  
[PermitSpecialist@ci.mlt.wa.us](mailto:PermitSpecialist@ci.mlt.wa.us)  
[www.cityofmlt.com](http://www.cityofmlt.com)

**Permit**

- New Monopole       Existing Monopole       Free-standing<sup>1</sup>
- Attached to Other Structure<sup>2</sup>     Co-location       Public Right-Of-Way<sup>3</sup>
- City Property<sup>3</sup>       Private Property

<b>Official Use Only</b>
Fee: _____
Receipt
#. _____

### SITE LOCATION<sup>4</sup>

Address \_\_\_\_\_

Tax Parcel Number \_\_\_\_\_

Zoning District \_\_\_\_\_ Distance from I-5 Corridor \_\_\_\_\_ ft.

<sup>1</sup> Requires a conditional use permit (additional application required)  
<sup>2</sup> Requires a conditional use permit if located in Community Business, Downtown Community Business, Single-household Residential, Multi-household Residential Medium Density, Recreation and Park, Special Development Commercial/Residential, or Mobile Home Park zoning district. (Additional application required)  
<sup>3</sup> Requires a Master Right-of-way Use Authorization/Franchise. (Additional application required)  
<sup>4</sup> If located within public right-of-way, provide address and parcel number of abutting site

<b>APPLICANT/CONTACT PERSON</b>
Name _____ _____
Business/Entity _____

<b>OWNER(S)</b>
Name _____ _____
Business/Entity _____
Address _____

### APPLICANT'S STATEMENT

I \_\_\_\_\_(name) hereby affirm, under penalty of perjury, that I am the applicant of this proposal and that the property(ies) affected by this application, including any easements, is (are) under my exclusive ownership, or that this application has been submitted with the consent of all owners of the affected property(ies), including any easements or lease agreements.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**Telecommunications Special Use Wireless Application**

**OWNER OR OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE STATEMENT**

I (We) \_\_\_\_\_ (name(s)) hereby affirm, under penalty of perjury, that I(we) am(are) the exclusive owner(s) of the property(ies) affected by this application, including any easements, or that I(we) am(are) the authorized representative(s) of the owner(s).

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**LOCATIONAL HIERARCHY**

Please describe your efforts to locate according to the following site priorities as described in MTMC 19.137.040 and why any higher priority areas above that which you have selected could not be obtained:

First Priority: Within the Public Facilities and Services zoning district, within areas of the General Commercial zoning district that are within 150 feet of the I-5 corridor, and in any zoning district, the co-location of an additional WCF upon an existing freestanding WCF. Efforts made include: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Second Priority: Within the General Commercial zoning district not within 150 feet of the I-5 corridor, within the Light Industrial/Office Park zoning district, or within the Freeway/Tourist zoning district. Efforts made include: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Third Priority: Within the Community Business zoning district, within the Special Development Commercial/Residential zoning district, or within the Recreation and Park zoning district. Efforts made include: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Fourth Priority: Within the Community Business Downtown zoning district, within the Multi-household Residential, zoning district, within the Special Development Residential zoning district, or within the Mobile Home Park zoning districts. Efforts made include: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**Telecommunications Special Use Wireless Application**

Fifth Priority: Within the Single-household Residential zoning district. Reasons for selecting this lowest priority district include: \_\_\_\_\_

---

---

---

**GAPS IN SERVICE BASED ON LOCATIONAL HIERARCHY**

Please describe any engineering data certified by a Washington-licensed engineer that demonstrates how strict adherence to the location hierarchy results in a significant gap in service coverage and how it justifies the location next lowest on the hierarchy referenced in MTMC 19.137.040. (Attach additional pages if needed.)

---

---

---

**STRUCTURAL HIERARCHY**

Please describe your efforts to locate according to the following structural priorities as described in MTMC 19.137.050 and why any higher priority locations above that which you have selected are not possible:

First Priority: WCF's attached to existing buildings. Efforts made include: \_\_\_\_\_

---

---

---

Second Priority: WCF's attached to existing structures other than buildings, where the existing structure already supports another WCF (i.e., co-location). Effort made include: \_\_\_\_\_

---

---

---

Third Priority: WCF's attached to existing structures other than buildings, where the existing structure does not already support another WCF (i.e., no co-location). Effort made include: \_\_\_\_\_

---

---

---

Fourth Priority: Freestanding WCF's. Reasons for selecting this lowest priority include: \_\_\_\_\_

---

---

---

**Telecommunications Special Use Wireless Application**

**GAPS IN SERVICE BASED ON STRUCTURAL HIERARCHY**

Please describe any engineering data certified by a Washington-licensed engineer that demonstrates how strict adherence to the structural hierarchy results in a significant gap in service coverage and how it justifies the location next lowest on the hierarchy referenced in MTMC 19.137.050. (Attach additional pages if needed.)

---

---

---

**HEIGHT OF WCF (See MTMC 19.137.060(A)(1))**

Is WCF on a *new* free-standing monopole?  Yes  No

If yes, what is the height of WCF above finished grade directly below the monopole?

\_\_\_\_\_ feet, \_\_\_\_\_ inches

Is WCF on an *existing* free-standing monopole?  Yes  No

If yes, what is the height of the existing monopole as measured from finished grade at the base of the monopole?

\_\_\_\_\_ feet, \_\_\_\_\_ inches

If yes, what is the height of WCF as measured from finished grade at the base of the monopole?

\_\_\_\_\_ feet, \_\_\_\_\_ inches

If yes, does the existing monopole need to be extended to support the WCF?  Yes  No

Is WCF on an existing building or structure?  Yes  No

If yes, what is the height of the existing structure above *grade point* as defined in MTMC 19.15.080(G)?

\_\_\_\_\_ feet, \_\_\_\_\_ inches

If yes, what is the height of WCF above *grade point* as defined in MTMC 19.15.080(G)?

\_\_\_\_\_ feet, \_\_\_\_\_ inches

If yes, does the existing structure need to be extended to support the WCF?  Yes  No

**SETBACK OF WCF (See MTMC 19.137.060(A)(2))**

Please describe the setbacks of the WCF as measured from the following lot lines<sup>5</sup>:

Front lot line: \_\_\_\_\_ feet, \_\_\_\_\_ inches      Side lot lines: \_\_\_\_\_ feet, \_\_\_\_\_ inches

Side lot lines: \_\_\_\_\_ feet, \_\_\_\_\_ inches      Rear lot line: \_\_\_\_\_ feet, \_\_\_\_\_ inches

<sup>5</sup> Note: Lot lines are defined in MTMC 19.15.130, except that the front lot line for corner lots in the RS zoning district is defined in MTMC 19.30.130(5)

**Telecommunications Special Use Wireless Application**

**ANTENNAE DESIGN** (See MTMC 19.137.060(A)(3))

The WCF antennae is: (check appropriate box)

- Whip antenna attached to a building;

If a whip antennae, what is the color of the antennae? \_\_\_\_\_

- Flush mounted to a utility support structure

If flush mounted, how close is the WCF to the utility support structure? \_\_\_\_\_ inches.

- Flush mounted to face of a building, mechanical equipment screening, or antenna support structure.

If flush mounted, what is the color of the antennae? \_\_\_\_\_

If flush mounted, what is the color of the building or structure to which it attaches? \_\_\_\_\_

**UTILITY SUPPORT STRUCTURES** (See MTMC 19.137.060(A)(4))

Is the WCF on a utility support structure as defined in MTMC 19.137.020(I)?  Yes  No

If yes, will all transmission and power cables and any other conduit associated with the WCF be contained within the support structure or placed underground?  Yes  No

If yes, will the existing utility support structure be removed or replaced with a new structure?  Yes  No

If yes, please specify the height of the existing and replaced utility support structures, as follows:

Existing structure: \_\_\_\_\_ feet, \_\_\_\_\_ inches    Replaced structure: \_\_\_\_\_ feet, \_\_\_\_\_ inches

**SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN** (See MTMC 19.137.060(A)(6))

Fully dimensioned site development plan is required for a complete application. Is a dimensioned site development plan with your application?  Yes  No

Please check to verify that the site development plan includes the following information:

- Location of WCF's on the site or, if in right-of-way, in relation to abutting site.
- Dimensions of all setbacks of WCF's and associated equipment from all property lines.
- Proposed methods of screening or blending WCF equipment into surroundings.
- Landscaping plan for base of WCF and its associated structures/equipment, including location, number and species of all plantings.
- Design details of all WCF structures and enclosures, including fences. Details to include full scaled elevation drawings of all structures and/or buildings to which WCF's are attached, and details of all antennae, whips, canisters and/or arrays, including materials and colors.

**Telecommunications Special Use Wireless Application**

**RF EMISSION STANDARDS** (See MTMC 19.137.060(A)(7))

Please describe how the WCF complies with the radio frequency emission standards adopted by the Federal Communications Commission. \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**EQUIPMENT ENCLOSURES** (See MTMC 19.137.080)

Does the proposal include installation of any equipment enclosures as defined in MTMC 19.137.020?

Yes  No

If yes, is the equipment enclosure located within a building or placed underground?

Yes  No

If no, Please describe why it is not feasible to locate equipment in buildings or underground. \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

If an equipment enclosure is not located in a building or placed underground, does the equipment enclosure exceed 6 feet in any dimension?

N/A  Yes  No

**GAPS IN SERVICE BASED ON EQUIPMENT ENCLOSURE SIZE LIMITS**

If the equipment enclosure exceeds 6 feet in any dimension, please describe any engineering data certified by a Washington-licensed engineer that demonstrates how strict adherence to the size limits of equipment enclosures would result in a significant gap in service coverage and how it affirms that the proposed equipment size is the minimum size necessary to support operation of the WCF, as specified in MTMC 19.137.080(A)(1). (Attach additional pages if needed.) \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**APPLICANT'S SIGNATURE**

I certify to the best of my knowledge that the information provided on this application, including applicable supplemental pages, is true and correct.

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Signature**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Please Print Name**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Date**