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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2018 UPDATE

The 2018 update of the Everett Hazard Inventory and Vulnerability Analysis (HIVA) and Hazard Mitigation Plan (HMP) reflects the most recent data available and the priorities of Everett and its citizens. While most of the information in the 2011 version of the HIVA and HMP remains current, the 2018 update has made changes in several areas:

- Data related to property values and land uses were updated, with the exposure and vulnerability analysis updated accordingly.
- Maps were updated.
- Non-substantive changes were made to text and wording to improve readability and clarify concepts.

The hazards for the 2018 HMP are ranked as follows:

1. Earthquakes
2. Flooding
3. Severe Storms
4. Climate Change
5. Landslides
6. Hazardous Materials / Pandemics / Fire
7. Volcanic Eruptions
8. Cyber Incidents
9. Tsunami & Seiche

HAZARD RANKING IN THE 2011 PLAN

1. Earthquakes
2. Severe Storms
3. Pandemics
4. Climate Change
5. Fire
6. Flooding
7. Hazardous Materials
8. Landslides
9. Tsunami & Seiche
10. Volcanic Eruptions

RISKS TO BUILD UPON

VULNERABLE STRUCTURES (UNREINFORCED MASONRY AND OTHER PRE-CODE STRUCTURES)

The City has a large number of structures that were built before 1972, when earthquake building codes were first adopted. Unreinforced masonry structures and pre-code houses, especially those that are not secured to their foundations, represent the potential of building loss.

INDUSTRY DEPENDENCE

Since Everett is dependent on the manufacturing sector for many of its jobs and a large proportion of its tax base, there is an elevated risk of long-term economic harm if a major disaster adversely affects this sector. Manufacturing depends on strong transportation routes, including highway, railway, airport, and seaport facilities. Damage to these facilities would create long-term fiscal and economic challenges for the city as it attempts to recover from a disaster event.
**PORT EXPOSURE**
The areas bordering the Everett shore are at risk from multiple hazards, including earthquake-related ground shaking, liquefaction, and tsunamis. But since, historically these areas have suffered little damage and are planning for additional development, extra caution must be given to reducing associated risks. Coastal facilities could be isolated if bridges and roads are damaged in a disaster.

**ISOLATION**
Virtually every hazard has the potential of isolating Everett neighborhoods. Western neighborhoods are built on steep slopes with poor soils, they have few roads, and are serviced by bridges that are susceptible to failure. Fires or material spills can cause isolation by limiting road access. Similarly, the Burlington Northern and Santa Fe (BNSF) railroad is at risk of losing connectivity, should a landslide or bridge failure affect part of the line. The effects of isolation are compounded because, even if a disaster causes minimal damage to structures, people could be trapped within their neighborhoods without access to services. Businesses face similar risks from isolation. It is essential to limit this potential isolation to ensure the social and economic resiliency of Everett’s communities.

**OPPORTUNITIES TO BUILD UPON**

**STRONG AND TRUSTED GOVERNMENT**
Everett has a strong and trustworthy government. The Mayor’s Office, City Council, Public Works, Police, Fire, Office of Emergency Management, Office of Neighborhoods and other city departments consistently maintain a strong public presence through outreach and community events.

**STRONG ECONOMY AND FISCAL STABILITY**
Everett has a strong economic base. This strengthens Everett’s ability to prepare for and recover from hazardous events. The city’s fiscal situation has remained strong throughout the 2007-2009 economic downturn and recovery, ensuring that basic resources necessary for public safety and hazard mitigation, while not limitless, are not eliminated.

**COMMUNITY EMERGENCY RESPONSE SUPPORT**
The Community Emergency Response Team (CERT) program has successfully trained 700 graduates throughout the city, and CERT volunteers remain among the most active community members, conducting public outreach and education throughout the city.

**WELL-DEVELOPED NEIGHBORHOOD-BASED PUBLIC OUTREACH NETWORK**
Everett has been innovative in quickly and efficiently disseminating information across large segments of the city’s population. Through the commitment of the Office of the Mayor and its hiring public outreach staff for the Office of Emergency Management, and through the Office of Emergency Management’s subsequent development of a “network of networks,” Everett has improved its capacity to share information and educate the population. The city neighborhood organization and their efforts with “Map your Neighborhood” have also increased the cities outreach capabilities.

**STABLE TOPOGRAPHY**
Everett forms a peninsula of elevated stable ground surrounded on three sides by Puget Sound to the west and the Snohomish River to the north and east. The high ground is for the most part comprised of hardened soil compressed under a series of glaciers. The more vulnerable areas are the coastal floodplains, including a mix of landslide deposits and river outwash, where short drainages have cut through what residents call “hard pan.” These hard soils are evidence of earthquake resilient soils that are present high above the surrounding floodplain.
INTER-‘ISLAND’ CONNECTIONS
Earthquakes and winter storms can isolate neighborhoods, creating ‘islands’ within the city. Although Everett, as well as each of its neighborhoods, is susceptible to isolation caused by hazard events, the availability of many alternative forms of transportation strengthens the community’s resilience and provides connections among these islands. Port facilities, major roads, rails, and nearby airports have created redundancy in both facilities and transit modes.
INTRODUCTION

PURPOSE

This document is an update to the 2011 Hazard Inventory and Vulnerability Analysis (HIVA). Hazard inventory is the systematic use of available information to determine what types of disasters may affect a jurisdiction, how often these events are likely to occur, and the potential severity of their consequences. Vulnerability analysis refers to the process used to determine the impact these events and their collateral effects might have on the built and natural environments and systems.

This document provides information associated with the most probable disaster events that could impact Everett. The processes of hazard inventory and vulnerability analysis serve as a foundation for the development of mitigation strategies where appropriate; and for strategies to help prepare for disasters when mitigation is not possible. In cases where preparation is not possible, it shows response strategies for allocating resources and setting priorities to ensure the safety of the public.

The HIVA describes natural hazards that have the potential to impact the people, economy, environment, and property within Everett, Washington. It serves as a basis for citywide emergency management programs and assists local entities in the development of similar documents focused on local hazards. It is the foundation of effective emergency management, and identifies the hazards that local organizations must mitigate, prepare for, respond to, and recover from in order to minimize the effects of disasters.

The HIVA presents an overview of hazards that can cause disasters, as well as the potential vulnerabilities to these hazards. This document expands on information concerning significant hazards detailed in the Washington State HIVA and the Snohomish County HIVA.

In order of importance as set forth by the Everett Hazard Mitigation Steering Committee, these hazards include:

1. Earthquakes
2. Flooding
3. Severe Storms
4. Climate Change
5. Landslides
6. Hazardous Materials / Pandemics / Fire
7. Volcanic Eruptions
8. Cyber Incidents
9. Tsunami & Seiche

Terrorism and technological (human caused) disaster mitigation, with the exception of hazardous materials included here, will be addressed in the City of Everett’s Terrorism Annex of the Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan (CEMP) which is maintained as confidential information in accordance with the Revised Code of Washington (RCW) 42.56.420 (1) (a).
CRITERIA AND AUTHORITY

This document provides information associated with the most probable disaster events that could affect Everett. This plan meets the requirements of the DMA 2000 and the Washington Administrative Code (WAC 118-30-060 (1)) and will be the basis for City of Everett Hazard Mitigation Planning efforts. State law requires all political subdivisions to have an emergency management plan. Chapter 118-30 Washington Administrative Code requires that emergency management plans be based on a written assessment and listing of the hazards to which the political subdivision is vulnerable. This document fulfills the written assessment requirement and is the basis for the City of Everett Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan (CEMP).

SCOPE

This document addresses potential local disasters and their impacts. Within this scope the plan will:

- Identify probable hazards to which the city may be exposed
- Profile hazard events
- Assess impacts, determine exposure, identify assets, and analyze vulnerability
- Present potential mitigation measures and associated preparedness, response and recovery measures

This plan is applicable for all agencies, organizations, entities, and individuals within the boundaries of the city limits, including city departments and divisions.

Some hazards require in-depth scientific and quantifiable analysis to justify expenditure of money and personnel resources. As an example, floodplain studies may require:

- Mitigation, including building of dikes, dredging of river channels, or removing people and structures from harm’s way and allowing for open space
- Preparedness, including public education and sandbag storage
- Response, including evacuation and sheltering of people and pets
- Recovery, including flood debris cleanup and repairs of damaged structures

Some detailed hazard analyses are contained within this plan; other specific hazard analyses may be located elsewhere in reports, programs, and plans (e.g. the Public Works Emergency Response Program and the Jackson Hydroelectric Project Emergency Action Plan). The 2018 Hazard Mitigation Plan, which was updated in tandem with the HIVA, details specific mitigation strategies and plans.
DEFINITIONS

Hazard: Any large-scale event, either natural or human-caused, that has the potential to damage property or endanger human life.

Mitigation: Defined by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) as “actions that reduce or eliminate the long-term risk to people and property from the effects of hazards.”¹ Examples can be structural or non-structural, including municipal or county code that requires earthquake retrofitting or requires higher regulatory standards for new development in floodplains. Mitigation can also include coalition building among organizations to improve their ability to educate the public about risk.

Emergency Preparedness: The steps taken to continuously prepare for human needs during or after an event. Examples of preparedness measures include having enough water and food on hand, or having a plan to reconnect with family members should a disaster occur.

Vulnerability: Any structures and systems in the path of a hazard.

Risk: A function of population or property exposure and vulnerability to a hazard and the frequency with which that hazard occurs.

Disaster: A realized risk.

Opportunity: A positive outcome from the combined interactions of a change event (such as a natural hazard), vulnerability (such as a residential unit), and capabilities (such as mitigation grants).

Benefit: A realized opportunity.

Critical Infrastructure: Systems, facilities, and functions that are critical to the health and welfare of the population. It also includes the functions, facilities, and physical structures that support the infrastructure.

Geographic Information System (GIS): A computer software application that relates physical features on the earth to a database. It is mainly used for mapping and analysis. This plan used GIS analysis extensively.

Project Planning Team: The researchers and coordinators from the Institute for Hazard Mitigation Planning and Research at the University of Washington who completed this plan.

Community Profile

History of the City

Originally called Port Gardner Bay by European settlers, the peninsula now known as Everett, Washington had previously been the home of the Snohomish Tribe. (The tribe was later “restructured” as part of the Tulalip Tribe Confederation with their reservation located north of the city.) In the late 18th and early 19th centuries, with government-granted homesteads and the lure of the region’s vast natural resources, European and American settlers began immigrating here from the east.

Named after the son of investor Charles Colby, Everett incorporated as a city in 1893. It began its industrial growth during the late 1890s, containing amongst other industry, a paper mill, smelters, and a nail factory. By the 1900s, the city began growing exponentially. New expansion coincided with the arrival of immigrants, and the city’s population tripled over the next decade, from around 8,000 in 1900 to 24,000 in 1910.

By design, Everett set aside most of its waterfront for industry that, by then, included lumber and shingle mills, wood products manufacturers, iron works, shipbuilders, fisheries, and canneries. By 1920, the city had established itself as an international port dominated by the lumber-shingle trade. The lumber-shingle predominance eventually gave way to the papermaking of Weyerhaeuser, Scott (later Kimberly Clark), and the Lowell Paper Mill. Then, as the timber economy began to wane regionally, the city welcomed a shift to aerospace with the arrival of Boeing in the 1960s.

Today Everett enjoys a diverse economy that still has strong roots in its industrial past. In the 1990s, the location of the Navy on Everett’s waterfront helped, along with the aerospace, technology, manufacturing and government business, to make Everett a prominent city in the Puget Sound.

History of Disasters
The city of Everett has historically suffered from several different disasters. The Hazard Profile and Vulnerability Assessment section of this document details hazard-specific historic events in Everett.

Geographical Setting

Geography
Everett is the seat of Snohomish County and is its largest city. The city is located at the delta of the Snohomish River in the west central portion of Snohomish County. It borders Port Gardner Bay and includes approximately 15% tidal water within its area of 47.7 square miles.

Rivers and Streams
The city of Everett is located at the delta of the Snohomish River, which flows from the east and is fed by the Snoqualmie and Skykomish Rivers. Everett is predominantly located within Water Resource Inventory Area (WRIA) 07, otherwise referred to as Snohomish. Most of Everett south of Casino Road is in Lake Washington Water Resource Inventory Area (WRIA) 08.

Mountains and Volcanoes
The Cascade Mountains border the eastern portion of Snohomish County. The nearest volcanically active mountain is Glacier Peak, fifty miles to the east and slightly north.

Forest Resources
The city of Everett has forest resource lands within its watershed in the Sultan River Basin. Much of the county agricultural and forestlands are located in the central and eastern portions of the county.
SOILS AND GEOLOGY
The area surrounding Everett, in the southwest portion of Snohomish County is rich in alluvial and glacial deposits. The physiography of the county includes:

- Nearly level alluvial deposits along the major river valleys
- Glacial till plains, outwash plains, and terraces in the middle of the county
- Mountainous areas in the eastern part of the county

The basic drainage flow is from the Cascade Mountains in the east to the Puget Sound in the west. The North Fork of the Stillaguamish River, along the northern edge of the county, begins at the town of Darrington and drains into the Puget Sound. The South Fork, which is in the center of the county, begins at Granite Falls and joins the North Fork at the town of Arlington. The Skykomish River begins at the town of Index in the southern part of the county, flows westerly through the towns of Sultan and Monroe, and joins the Snoqualmie River near the town of Snohomish to form the Snohomish River. The Snohomish River flows northwesterly through Everett to the Puget Sound.

The types of soils found in western Snohomish County and under Everett are primarily Alderwood-Urban Land Complex Soils, at 2%-8% slopes. Alderwood Gravelly Sandy Loam Soils at 15%-25% slopes makes up the second most common soil type in the area. Other soils present in and around Everett include Indianola loamy sand, Kitsap silt loam, Norma loam, and Tokuls gravelly loam at various slopes.

Figure 1 provides a contextual map for Everett and Snohomish County.

---

**CLIMATE**
The annual precipitation around Everett averages 36.1 inches per year. November, December and January are generally the rainiest months of the year while July and August are the driest. Snowfall, which is a rare occurrence, is generally heaviest in the months of December, January, and February. The average temperature in Everett is 51.15 degrees Fahrenheit. Annual high temperature is 57.6 degrees Fahrenheit and annual low temperature is 44.7 degrees Fahrenheit. Average monthly highs in the summer reach low seventies and average monthly lows in the winter reach mid-thirties but Everett may experience even greater extremes in severe weather incidents.4

**DEMOGRAPHICS**

**WHY CONSIDER DEMOGRAPHICS IN HAZARD MITIGATION PLANS?**
Effective disaster plans must have a full understanding of all potentially affected population. Certain populations experience a greater risk from disasters. The elderly are more likely to be injured during a disaster, and more likely to need additional assistance after the event. Households and individuals living at or below the poverty line may lack the financial and educational resources to prepare their own survival strategies. At varying levels women, children, and the disabled suffer more from disaster than others in the general population.

The remainder of this section will detail the potentially vulnerable populations residing in Everett.

The city will continue to experience growth because of its location and economic opportunity. Growth will bring an increasing number of potentially vulnerable populations, including:

- Elderly residents (65 and older) in assisted living facilities and living on fixed incomes
- Residents with special needs
- Children

The data for this section is primarily from the United States Census’ American Community Survey 2006-2008 Population Survey Estimates. This data is the most precise available with the smallest incidence of error. Additional data was taken from the City of Everett’s business information website.

**INCOME**
Low-income people experience greater impacts from disasters compared to other members of the general population. Individual households are expected to use private resources to prepare for and recover from disasters. This expectation means that households living in poverty are at a disadvantage when confronting hazards. Additionally, the impoverished are more likely to occupy poorly-built and inadequately-maintained housing. Mobile or modular homes are more susceptible to damage in hurricanes, tornadoes and floods than other types of housing. The impoverished are more likely to be impacted by disaster, and less likely to fully recover, because of lower-quality housing, less education, and scarcer resources necessary for applying disaster mitigation and recovery strategies.

Compared with the rest of Snohomish County, Everett has a lower median household income by over 32%. Fifteen percent of the population is below the poverty level. Table I shows a comparison of median household income in Everett, Snohomish County and Washington State.

---
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TABLE 1: COMPARISON OF MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Median Household Income</th>
<th>Population below poverty level</th>
<th>Children below poverty level</th>
<th>Elderly below poverty level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City of Everett</td>
<td>$51,755</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>19.4%</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snohomish County</td>
<td>$76,251</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington State</td>
<td>$64,129</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AGE DISTRIBUTION
The vulnerability of elderly populations can vary quite significantly based on health and economic security. As a demographic, the elderly are more likely than other populations to lack the physical and economic resources necessary for response, to suffer health-related consequences, and to be slower to recover from a major hazard incident. They are more likely to bevision, hearing, and/or mobility impaired, or to experience some form of mental impairment. Furthermore, some of the elderly live in assisted-living facilities, where emergency preparedness requires special considerations. The specific planning attention required for the elderly is becoming increasingly important with the aging of the general American population.

The city of Everett has a younger median age than that of Snohomish County: 35.2 years of age versus 37.6 years of age for the county. Approximately 60% of the population is under 45 years of age, with the largest proportion of residents falling between 25 and 34 years of age (15.7%).

Table 2 shows the age distribution for the city and the county.

TABLE 2: AGE DISTRIBUTION IN EVERETT AND SNOHOMISH COUNTY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Snohomish County Population</th>
<th>Snohomish County %</th>
<th>Everett Population</th>
<th>Everett %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 to 4</td>
<td>47,895</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>5,293</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 9</td>
<td>47,123</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>5,185</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 to 14</td>
<td>52,530</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>6,913</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 to 19</td>
<td>47,123</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>7,453</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 24</td>
<td>50,213</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>8,317</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 to 34</td>
<td>110,468</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>16,958</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 to 44</td>
<td>105,060</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
<td>15,338</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 to 54</td>
<td>113,558</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
<td>14,798</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 to 59</td>
<td>54,075</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>6,913</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 to 64</td>
<td>48,668</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>6,265</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 to 74</td>
<td>57,938</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>8,641</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 to 84</td>
<td>27,038</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>4,212</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85 +</td>
<td>10,815</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>1,728</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>772,501</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>108,012</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 United States Census, American Community Survey, 2015 1-Year.
7 United States Census, American Community Survey, 2015 1-Year
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Access and Functional Needs
Access and functional needs include physical and mental impairments that limit major life activities. This definition does not include the ever-growing group of senior citizens who have a greater number of special needs, including dementia and Alzheimer’s Disease. The Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know Act (EPCRA) and the American with Disabilities Act (ADA) impact local planning decisions.

Race, Ethnicity, and Language
Many researchers have focused on the increased disaster vulnerability that ethnic minorities experience in the United States. Research shows that minorities are less likely to be involved in pre-disaster planning and experience higher mortality rates during a disaster. Furthermore, because higher a proportion of minorities live below the poverty line than the majority population, poverty compounds their vulnerability.

The population of Everett has been generally homogeneous, but is growing more diverse. According to the most recent American Community Survey one-year data (2015), 74.6% of Everett identifies themselves as white alone. About 74.8% of the population is estimated in the survey to speak only English, while about 25.2% reported speaking a language other than English, and 42.3% of this population reported that they speak English “less than very well.” This indicates that linguistic isolation may represent a major percentage of the city’s population; appropriate consideration of language options should be included during plan development to offset the vulnerability of diverse populations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Population in City of Everett</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Population</td>
<td>108,012</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>71,216</td>
<td>65.93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American</td>
<td>3,809</td>
<td>3.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian and Alaska Native</td>
<td>978</td>
<td>0.91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>8,039</td>
<td>7.44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander</td>
<td>1,987</td>
<td>1.84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some other race</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two or more races</td>
<td>6,029</td>
<td>5.58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino (of any race)*</td>
<td>15,889</td>
<td>22.31%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Economy
Historically, Everett has been an industrial and manufacturing town. The city has many large employers including, but not limited to, Boeing, the Port of Everett, and various service and retail businesses. Everett’s manufacturing sector provides the most jobs in the city at 17.0%; educational services and healthcare employ 15.5% of the city; while professional, scientific, management, administrative, and waste management services, at 12.8%, is the third largest employment sector in the city. In total, Everett has an estimated 53,804 jobs.

In Everett, approximately 68.2% of all workers over the age of sixteen commuted to work alone, while a significantly smaller amount, 8.4% used mass transportation.

---

8 United States Census, American Community Survey, 2015 1-Year.
9 United States Census, American Community Survey, 2015 1-Year
10 United States Census, American Community Survey, 2015 1-Year
HOUSING
Everett has 44,510 occupied housing units.\textsuperscript{11} Table 4 details the distribution of these units between renters and owners. With the identification of renters in the city, the agencies responsible for emergency management are better able to plan for these populations.

\begin{table}
\centering
\caption{Housing Tenure in Everett}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
Housing Units & Total & Percentage (\%) \\
\hline
Occupied housing units & 44,510 & 100\% \\
Owner-occupied housing units & 20,200 & 45.38\% \\
Renter-occupied housing units & 24,310 & 54.62\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

Table 5 details the household types in Everett.\textsuperscript{12}

\begin{table}
\centering
\caption{Household Types in Everett}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
Households by Type & Total & Percentage (\%) \\
\hline
Total households & 44,510 & 100.0\% \\
Family households (families) & 24,161 & 54.3\% \\
With own children under 18 years & 10,602 & 23.8\% \\
Married couple family & 16,269 & 36.6\% \\
With own children under 18 years & 6,104 & 13.7\% \\
Female householder, no husband present & 5,471 & 12.3\% \\
With own children under 18 years & 3,042 & 6.8\% \\
Non-family households & 20,349 & 45.7\% \\
Householder living alone & 15,356 & 34.5\% \\
Householder 65 years and over & 5,297 & 11.9\% \\
Households with individuals under 18 years & 11,306 & 25.4\% \\
Households with individuals 60 years and over & 15,044 & 33.8\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

LAW

FEDERAL

\textbf{Disaster Mitigation Act (DMA 2000)}
The Disaster Management Act of 2000 (DMA 2000) is the latest legislation to improve the hazard mitigation planning process. It reinforces the importance of mitigation planning and emphasizes planning for disasters before they occur. It specifically addresses planning at the local level, requiring plans to be in place before Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP) funds are available to communities. This plan meets the requirements of DMA 2000, improving the City of Everett’s eligibility for future mitigation funds.

\textsuperscript{11} United States Census, American Community Survey, 2015 1-Year
\textsuperscript{12} United States Census, American Community Survey, 2015 1-Year
ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT (ESA)
The Endangered Species Act (ESA) was enacted in 1973 to conserve any species, and the ecosystems that support them, that are facing depletion or extinction. The act sets forth a process for determining which species are threatened and endangered, and requires the conservation of the critical habitat in which those species live.

Within Everett, there are endangered or threatened species that require protective measures, including orcas and several species of salmon. This affects the hazard mitigation planning process in several ways. In Everett, floods can sometimes negatively affect salmon habitat by damaging riparian vegetation. The ESA does not require flood control, but its emphasis on habitat does make flood control more important. The ESA may also eliminate some of the structural options sometimes used for flood control, such as dams, dikes, and dredging, which can adversely affect critical fish habitat. Additionally, riparian zone development is sometimes limited because of ESA regulations. Reduced or more environmentally conscious development can reduce flood risk.

NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM (NFIP)
Established in 1968 by the National Flood Insurance Act, FEMA’s Flood Insurance and Mitigation Administration runs the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). It includes a flood insurance program, directs floodplain management, and maps flood hazards. Community participation in the NFIP is voluntary, and contingent upon adaptation of a floodplain management strategy. Everett has been a participant in the NFIP since 1978. FEMA has recently created new floodplain maps for Everett, but is re-examining those maps to determine if they correctly incorporate tidal influences.

In 2008, the National Marine Fisheries Service issued a Biological Opinion in response to a lawsuit against FEMA and the NFIP filed by the National Wildlife Federation. The lawsuit claimed that implementation of the NFIP was responsible for damaging endangered species habitats, including salmon habitats, in the Puget Sound. In July 2011, Everett submitted information describing how it planned to comply with the Opinion through implementation of our existing regulations.

STATE

GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT (GMA)
In 1990, the Washington State Legislature adopted the Growth Management Act (Chapter 36.70A RCW). The Growth Management Act (GMA) mandates that local jurisdictions adopt ordinances that classify, designate, and regulate land use in order to protect critical areas. According to the code, “critical areas” include the following areas and ecosystems: (a) wetlands; (b) areas with a critical recharging effect on aquifers used for potable water; (c) fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas; (d) frequently flooded areas; and (e) geologically hazardous areas (RCW 36.70A.030).

In relation to this plan, Everett’s critical areas include the 100-year floodplain, streams and wetland areas, hillside development and geologically hazardous areas, and fish and wildlife habitat. The state GMA regulates development in these areas and, therefore, has the potential to affect hazard vulnerability and exposure at the local level.

SHORELINE MANAGEMENT ACT (SMA)
The Shoreline Management Act (RCW 90.58) of 1971 is meant to manage and protect the shorelines of the state by regulating development in the shoreline area. A major goal of the act is “to prevent the inherent harm in an uncoordinated and piecemeal development of the state’s shorelines.”

The SMA is important to this plan because some of the areas it governs are floodplains. The SMA regulates development in these areas, which furthers the goal of limiting exposure to flood risk. In Everett, this area includes the floodplains of the Snohomish River, the marine shoreline along Port Gardner Bay, and Silver Lake.
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**STATE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (SEPA)**

The Washington State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) provides a way to identify environmental impacts that could result from governmental decisions in Washington jurisdictions. These decisions could affect the issuing of permits for private projects, the construction of public facilities, or the adoption of regulations, policies or plans.

Information provided during the SEPA review process helps agency decision-makers, applicants, and the public understand how a proposal will affect the environment. This information can change a proposal to reduce likely impacts, or to deny a proposal when adverse environmental impacts are identified.

**City**

**THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN**

The Comprehensive Plan (Comp Plan), first adopted in 1994, with its most recent update in 2015, is the policy document that guides the growth of Everett until 2035. It includes the required elements on Land Use, Housing, Capital Facilities, Utilities, Transportation, and Shoreline Master Program, plus additional elements on Urban Design, Historic Preservation, Parks and Recreation, and Economic Development. Everett also updated the Comp Plan to respond to the Vision 2040 Regional Growth Strategy adopted in 2008. Updates are required every seven years. The Comp Plan guides city growth by defining the:

- Desired type, level and spatial distribution of population and job growth
- Transportation, utilities and public facilities necessary to serve this population and employment
- Methods of paying for this infrastructure
- Housing requirements for the community
- Desired physical character of city growth

**CITY OF Everett MUNICIPAL CODE**

The Zoning Code defines the uses and densities for certain zones and the standards required for development, such as lot size, setbacks, height, parking, and landscaping. Sections of the City of Everett Municipal Code that directly address hazard mitigation (hazards covered are in parentheses) are:

- Title 8: Health & Safety (Wildfire & Severe Weather)
- Title 14: Water & Sewers (Hazardous Materials & Floods)
- Title 16: Buildings & Construction (Seismic & Urban Fire)
- Title 19: Zoning (Floods, Landslide, Seismic, & Hazardous Materials)
- Title 20: Environment (Hazardous Material, Seismic, & Landslide)
HAZARD PROFILE & VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT

ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

The planning team used the most common methodology for assessing hazards and their potential effects on Everett. First, the team identified and profiled the hazards. Then they determined the exposure to those hazards and the vulnerability of what was exposed. Finally, they weighted those factors to measure the combined risk each hazard poses to the city.

IDENTIFYING AND PROFILING HAZARDS

This assessment includes the following information for each hazard:

- Geographic areas most affected
- Event frequency estimates
- Severity
- Warning time likely for response
- The identification of associated secondary hazard events

DETERMINING EXPOSURE

Exposure was determined by overlaying hazards with an inventory of potentially vulnerable structures, facilities, and systems to determine which of them are vulnerable to each hazard. The City of Everett and Snohomish County’s GIS database contains extensive coverage of city resources that include infrastructure, homes, industry, roads, bridges, pipelines, hazardous material storage sites, and water mains. Exposed resources are those that could be affected or influenced by a hazardous event or condition.

ASSESSING VULNERABILITY

Vulnerability of the exposed structures and infrastructure was determined by interpreting the potential weaknesses and problems associated with any particular resource. For example, a structure built before the adoption of the Uniform Building Code (UBC) in 1972, may be more vulnerable to a seismic event than a structure built later.

DETERMINING RISK

Risk was determined by first describing a most probable hazard scenario or impact that might affect Everett. Using this scenario, the project team estimated future expected losses from hazard events. The last section of the risk assessment summarizes the overall risk by assigning each hazard a risk rating. Risk, in short, is the intersection of a hazard event, an exposed resource, and a vulnerable population or condition. As an example, a rotting tree branch only poses a risk in severe winds if there is a potential exposed population or critical infrastructure that is located below the tree.

DATA SOURCES

This HMP gathered information from a variety of sources. Frequency and severity indicators include past events and the expert opinions of geologists, emergency management specialists, and others. To the extent possible, the project team mapped each hazard location using ArcGIS 10.3.1. The primary data source was the City of Everett and Snohomish County’s GIS database, in addition to other sources. For hazards not mentioned below, the general data sources previously described were employed.

2018 UPDATE
This section has been updated to include declarations after 2011.
HAZARD-SPECIFIC DATA SOURCES

Earthquake
The City of Everett provided earthquake maps with information about known faults, soil types and liquefaction zones, the combination of which defines what areas are most susceptible to shaking during a quake. The Everett project team also used HAZUS MH 4.0, a GIS-based loss estimation tool developed by FEMA, to model hazards in the region.

Flood
Flood maps were primarily drawn from Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) files, which define the FEMA mapped floodplain and floodway. Current DFIRM map adoption is on hold while FEMA resolves recently identified mapping issues.

Hazardous Materials
The Hazardous Materials Inventory, published by the Washington State Department of Ecology (WSDOE) provided much of the data for this section. The Washington State Department of Health (WSDOH) provided health and injury information.
PRESIDENTIAL DISASTER DECLARATIONS

Presidentially declared disasters are typically events that exceed the capability of local and state government response. The financial burden of response and damages must be documented by the State and submitted via the Governor to the President. Once a particular dollar amount (adjusted for inflation) per capita is reached, it triggers federal assistance programs. A Presidential Major Disaster Declaration puts long-term federal recovery programs into motion, some of which can be matched by state programs that are designed to help disaster victims, businesses and public entities. Table 6 lists all presidentially declared disasters in Snohomish County; those directly affecting Everett are in bold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disaster</th>
<th>Type of Event</th>
<th>Date of Declaration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>Severe Storms</td>
<td>10/20/1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>185</td>
<td>Heavy Rains and Flooding</td>
<td>12/29/1964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td>Earthquake</td>
<td>5/11/1965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>492</td>
<td>Severe Storms, Flooding</td>
<td>12/13/1975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545</td>
<td>Severe Storms, Mudslides, Flooding</td>
<td>12/10/1977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>612</td>
<td>Storms, High Tides, Mudslides, Flooding</td>
<td>12/31/1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>784</td>
<td>Severe Storms, Flooding</td>
<td>12/15/1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>852</td>
<td>Flooding, Severe Storms</td>
<td>1/18/1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>883</td>
<td>Flooding, Severe Storms</td>
<td>11/26/1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>896</td>
<td>High Tides, Severe Storms</td>
<td>3/8/1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>981</td>
<td>Severe Storms, High Winds</td>
<td>3/4/1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100</td>
<td>Severe Storms, Flooding</td>
<td>2/9/1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1159</td>
<td>Severe Winter Storms, Flooding</td>
<td>1/17/1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1172</td>
<td>Severe Storms, Flooding, Landslides, Mudslides</td>
<td>4/2/1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1361</td>
<td>Earthquake</td>
<td>3/1/2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1499</td>
<td>Severe Storms, Flooding</td>
<td>11/7/2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1641</td>
<td>Severe Storms, Flooding, Tidal Surge, Landslides, Mudslides</td>
<td>5/17/2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1671</td>
<td>Severe Storms, Flooding, Landslides, Mudslides</td>
<td>12/12/2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1682</td>
<td>Severe Winter Storms, Landslides, Mudslides</td>
<td>2/14/2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1734</td>
<td>Severe Storms, Flooding, Landslides, Mudslides</td>
<td>12/8/2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1817</td>
<td>Severe Winter Storms, Landslides, Mudslides, Flooding</td>
<td>1/30/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1825</td>
<td>Severe Winter Storms, Record and Near Record Snow</td>
<td>3/2/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4056</td>
<td>Severe Winter Storms, Flooding, Landslides, Mudslides</td>
<td>3/5/2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4168</td>
<td>Flooding, Mudslides</td>
<td>4/2/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4242</td>
<td>Severe Windstorm</td>
<td>10/15/2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4249</td>
<td>Severe Storms, Straight-Line Winds, Flooding, Landslides, Mudslides</td>
<td>1/15/2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE

Critical Infrastructure is defined as systems, facilities, and functions that are critical to the health and welfare of the population. It also includes the functions, facilities, and physical structures that support the infrastructure. Critical infrastructure for this plan includes:

- Government facilities including police stations, fire stations, vehicle and equipment storage facilities, emergency operation centers, and facilities that house critical information technology and communications infrastructure.
- Hospitals, nursing homes, and housing likely to serve people who may not be sufficiently mobile to avoid death or injury during a hazard event.
- Public and private utilities, facilities, and infrastructure that are vital to maintaining or restoring normal services to areas damaged by hazard events.
- Educations facilities, including K-12, universities, and community colleges.
- Public gathering places that could be used as relief or recovery centers during large-scale disasters.
- Structures or facilities that produce, use, or store highly volatile, flammable, explosive, toxic and/or water reactive materials.

A database of critical facilities within the planning area was created for vulnerability analysis in this plan. Due to the sensitivity of this information, a detailed list of facilities will only be available to planning partners.

GOVERNMENT, EMERGENCY SERVICES, PUBLIC HEALTH, AND EDUCATION

The main offices for the City of Everett are largely located in downtown Everett, in the Wall Street Building on 2930 Wetmore Avenue. Due to the diverse nature of managing a medium to large city, administrative offices in Everett are also located in various other locations. Detailed below are some of the critical facilities and infrastructure in Everett, with a partial list of their functions. The list and map is not exhaustive, but rather provides examples of what types of facilities are critical to city operations and management. This section also considers limitations in data and the potential changes to facility location and systems after the publication of this plan.

The Police Administration Office and North Headquarters of the Everett Police Department (EPD) are located at 3002 Wetmore Avenue in downtown Everett. The EPD divides its service into a north and south patrol, with the latter opening a south precinct in 1999 to better serve the Everett community. The Crime Prevention Program of the Everett Police Department provides citizens with education and outreach, including a Neighborhood Watch program.

The South Everett Police Headquarters is located at 1121 SE Everett Mall Way. This facility, purchased in 1999, houses the Everett Police Department on the ground floor and Snohomish County Police Staff and Auxiliary Services Center (SNOPAC) Public Safety Answering Point. Everett’s Office of Emergency Management maintains the City of Everett Emergency Operations Center (EOC) at the South Precinct and responds to crisis using the National Incident Management System (NIMS).

The City of Everett Fire Department (EFD) serves the city via six (6) fire stations and a central administrative complex, located on the seventh floor of City Hall. The EFD staffs seven (7) fire engines, two (2) ladder trucks, three (3)
Advanced Life Support (ALS) Paramedic units, Hazardous Materials and Technical Rescue teams, and one (1) Basic Life Support (BLS) unit, available twenty-four hours a day, seven days a week.14

While there are many health care facilities in Everett, there are two major hospitals: Providence Regional Medical Center Everett Colby Campus, located on 1321 Colby Avenue, and its Pacific Campus, located on 916 Pacific Avenue. In 2013, Providence received its adult Level II Trauma Center designation and is the only Level II Trauma Center in Snohomish County.15

There are many educational centers in Everett. While children are especially vulnerable during a hazard event, the Everett Public Schools has paid considerable attention to disaster preparation. Where required, they have retrofitted school buildings with earthquake stability measures. Everett Community College located in north Everett had 19,610 students in the 2015-2016 school year. They have recently completed several expansion projects including a new educational programs and facilities and student housing.16

FIGURE 2: CRITICAL FACILITIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE

CRITICAL UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION

UTILITIES

Everett’s water distribution system relies primarily on gravity. The Water Filtration Plant, located at a higher elevation and east of the city, conveys water via three forty-eight-inch and one fifty-one-inch transmission lines to Everett and most of Snohomish County.\(^{17}\) Sections of two of the forty-eight-inch lines were replaced in 2007-2012. The city’s potable water is treated and stored in large closed reservoirs and pumped to other water districts for distribution; this system provides water to 80% of Snohomish County.\(^{18}\) The source of water is the Sultan Basin Watershed in the Cascade Mountains. The water collected in Spada Lake Reservoir (50 billion gallons) is then diverted to the Lake Chaplin Reservoir (5.2 billion gallons), where it is treated in the Water Filtration Plant at the base of the fifty-foot earthen dam that defines the south-end of the reservoir. Public access is restricted at the Spada Lake Reservoir and other important locations along Everett’s water treatment system.

The Water Pollution Control Facility, located on Smith Island in north Everett, handles wastewater for 160,000 people through 33 lift stations and 334 miles of sewer mains located throughout the city.\(^{19}\) The treatment facility consists of 157 acres of non-aerated ponds, 30 acres of aerated ponds, and a mechanical treatment unit that serves Everett and a small portion of the following:

- Alderwood Water and Wastewater District
- Silver Lake Water and Sewer District
- Mukilteo Water and Wastewater District

Everett has two wastewater collection systems, with one serving the northern third of the city and one serving the southern two-thirds. The northern system combines both storm sewer drain and sanitary sewer and routes them to the facility. The southern system is designed to collect only sanitary sewage.

The city’s wastewater system also requires that specific industries pre-treat their effluent before it enters the system. In 1987, the Everett Industrial Pretreatment (IPT) Program was started to help companies find ways to remove pollutants from their own wastewater. The IPT program monitors industries to help keep pollutants such as acids, solvents and heavy metals from entering the city’s sanitary sewer lines.

The Snohomish County Public Utility District (PUD) No. 1 offices are located on 2320 California Street. The largest municipal corporation in the state has been providing electricity to Snohomish County and Camano Island since 1949. The service area of the Snohomish County PUD covers 2,200 square miles and maintains over 6,388 miles of distribution lines. The PUD serves 309,761 residential customers, 31,046 commercial customers, and 77 industrial customers.\(^{20}\)

Puget Sound Energy (PSE), based out of Bellevue, Washington, provides natural gas service to Everett. In Snohomish County, PSE provides for 126,634 customers through 1,975 miles of pipeline.

TRANSPORTATION

The major north-south route serving Everett, Interstate 5 (I-5), crosses over the Snohomish River floodplain at the northeast corner of the city. State Route 529, formerly the old Highway 99, also connects Everett to Marysville,

---


\(^{18}\) Ibid.

\(^{19}\) Ibid.
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providing a secondary north-south route across the Snohomish River delta. The major east-west route for Everett is State Route 2. US Highway 2 also crosses over the Snohomish River as it heads east. This highway parallels the Burlington Northern/Santa Fe (BNSF) railroad route that travels east towards the cities of Snohomish and Sultan and the Cascade Mountains. Other major routes directly serving Everett include Highway 99 to the north and south, and State Route 526, serving the southern areas of the city.

Snow routes along the interstate and major highways that serve Everett are primarily the responsibility of the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) and their highway maintenance division. While the service crews maintain the roads to provide a clear route, during periods of severe snow or ice storms, these routes may experience periods of closure.

The Burlington Northern/Santa Fe (BNSF) railroad travels along two separate routes through Everett. One line travels east-west through downtown Everett, traveling through the BNSF tunnel between California Street & Hewitt Avenue emerging to the west along Everett’s waterfront. The second line travels along the Snohomish River north, “around the horn,” and splits, with one line going north to Canada, and the other continuing south to Seattle. This second line intersects the first line at the Everett waterfront and then follows the Puget Sound shoreline to Seattle. The Seattle Sounder uses the BNSF line through an agreement among Burlington Northern Railroad, Amtrak, Snohomish County, and Sound Transit. The Everett Station is located at 3201 Smith Avenue, just southwest of the BNSF tunnel. Everett Station is an intermodal transportation center that serves as a hub for commuter and long-distance rail and bus service, and houses some City of Everett offices.

There are two major rail yards in Everett. Both the Delta Yard and West Yard, along Everett’s waterfront, handle mixed traffic.

The Port of Everett, created in 1918, is situated on Port Gardner Bay at the mouth of the Snohomish River. The Port operates piers, wharfs, warehouses, and cold storage plants, together with rail, water and terminal facilities. The Port District encompasses major areas of western Snohomish County that include Everett. The Port operates eight berths on approximately one hundred acres of land. The three terminals (Hewitt, Pacific, and South) handle approximately one million tons of cargo per year and are served by the BNSF rail line. The terminals are concrete decks and piers and include a refrigerated warehouse, a log yard, an intermodal container facility and a 55,000-ton concrete storage dome. The Port also has a marina that provides moorage to approximately 2,000 vessels. While predominately open to the public, the marina provides moorage to commercial fishing vessels as well.

Jetty Island, owned by the Port of Everett since 1929, has provided a protected harbor and navigation channel since the late 1800s. The 2500-foot long, 15-foot Mean Lower Low Water (MLLW) high sand berm created from newly dredged material from the harbor bottom has provided a benefit to both the port activities and the environment by providing habitat for salmon as well as various birds, including bald eagles.

The Port of Everett’s Waterfront Place Central development project is meant to unify the marina and surrounding property as one economic unit to create a sustainable and unique commercial, recreational and residential community. This new community will be pedestrian-oriented and takes full advantage of its attractive waterfront and recreational boating setting. Development is planning to include a new 142-room hotel.

The city of Everett is serviced by one airport certified for carrier operations. Located just outside southern Everett, in Snohomish County, Paine Field is the largest nearby airfield outside of King County. The airport is home to 650 aircraft, services over 500 aircraft per day and has a portion of its flight path located over Everett. Paine Field also provides all

flight services for the Everett Boeing wide-body airplane factory, located just north of the airport. Paine Field is planning to have commercial flights available beginning in 2018.

**TRENDS IN DEVELOPMENT**

According to the Snohomish County Buildable Lands Report, the Buildable Lands program monitors the amount and density of residential, commercial, and industrial development that has occurred in Snohomish County and its cities since the adoption of each jurisdiction’s GMA comprehensive plan. Under the GMA (RCW 36.70A.215), the county and cities are required to measure actual densities, and determine whether there is enough remaining residential, commercial, and industrial land supply within the designated Urban Growth Areas (UGA) to accommodate future growth.

In analyzing Everett’s UGA targets, the planning team considered only the residential population targets contained in the Buildable Lands Report. For parcels exposed to natural hazards that were identified as buildable lands, planning could consider hazard mitigation as one of the goals for future decisions. Table 7 below details the parcels exposed to natural hazards within Everett. This table has been updated to reflect data changes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Natural Hazard Exposure</th>
<th>Number of Parcels</th>
<th>Percentage of Total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parcels</td>
<td>32,212</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earthquake:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEHRP D Soils</td>
<td>5,448</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEHRP E Soils</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEHRP F Soils</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazardous Material:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tier II Facility &amp; Rail (within 1/4 mile)</td>
<td>6,703</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate 5 (within 1/4 mile)</td>
<td>4,663</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landslide</td>
<td>4,670</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>36.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe Weather</td>
<td>32,212</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volcano</td>
<td>32,212</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EARTHQUAKES

DEFINITIONS

Earthquake: The shaking of the ground caused by an abrupt shift of rock along a fracture in the earth, such as a fault or a contact zone between tectonic plates. Earthquakes are measured in both magnitude and intensity.

Magnitude: The measure of the strength of an earthquake. As an estimate of energy, each whole number step in the magnitude scale corresponds to the release of about 31 times more energy than the amount associated with the preceding whole number value.

Intensity: A measure of the effects of an earthquake based on the Modified Mercalli (MM) scale and is expressed in Roman numerals.

Peak Ground Acceleration (PGA): A measure of the highest amplitude of ground shaking that accompanies an earthquake, based on a percentage of the force of gravity.

Subduction Zone Earthquake: A type of earthquake that occurs along two converging plates, attached to one another along their interface. When the interface between these two plates slips, a sudden, dramatic release of energy results that is propagated along the entire fault line.

Crustal Earthquake: A type of earthquake that occurs at a depth of five to ten miles beneath the earth’s surface and is associated with fault movement within a surface plate.

Benioff Earthquake: Sometimes called “deep quakes,” a type of earthquake that occurs in the Pacific Northwest when the Juan de Fuca plate breaks up underneath the continental plate, approximately thirty miles beneath the earth’s surface.

Liquefaction: The complete failure of soils, occurring when soils lose shear strength and flow horizontally. It is most likely to occur in saturated fine grain sands and silts, which behave like viscous fluids when liquefaction occurs. This situation is extremely hazardous to real estate development on the soils that liquefy, and generally results in extreme property damage and threats to life and safety.

Ground Rupture: A surface failure or deformation caused by earthquake-induced strong motion. Generally these occur above or near surface faults.

Un-Reinforced Masonry: Structures typified by load bearing walls or posts with no metal reinforcement. Elements, such as the wall, floor, and roof are rarely connected. These structures are extremely vulnerable to any situation that transfers energy in any direction except with the force of gravity (down).

Tilt-Up Building: A building constructed in a two-step process: First, slabs of concrete, which will become the walls, are cast horizontally on or off site. Then, after curing, they are lifted (tilted) with a crane and set on prepared foundations to form the exterior walls. The walls are then “tied” with rebar or other means to form economical means of constructing a building.
GENERAL BACKGROUND

Earthquakes are naturally occurring ground shaking events caused by the sliding of rock within the earth’s crust. The earth’s crust is divided into eight major pieces, or plates, and many minor plates. These plates are constantly moving, very slowly, over the surface of the globe. As these plates move, stresses are built up in areas where the plates come into contact with each other. Within seconds, an earthquake releases stress that has slowly accumulated within the rock, in some instances over thousands of years. Sometimes the release occurs near the surface, and sometimes it comes from deep within the crust.

The impact of any earthquake event is largely a function of ground shaking, liquefaction, and distance from the source of the quake. Liquefaction occurs in softer, unconsolidated soils. A program called the National Earthquake Hazard Reduction Program (NEHRP) creates maps based on soil characteristics to identify locations potentially subject to liquefaction. Table 8 provides a description of the NEHRP soil classification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NEHRP Soil Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Mean Shear Velocity to 30 m (m/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Hard Rock</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Firm to Hard Rock</td>
<td>1500-760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Dense soil, soft rock</td>
<td>760-360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Stiff Soil</td>
<td>360-180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Soft clays</td>
<td>180-&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Special study soils (liquefiable soils, sensitive clays, organic soils, soft clays 36 &lt; m thick)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The NEHRP classification system was used for this earthquake analysis. The majority of Everett sits on NEHRP soil class C, which is relatively stable in the event of an earthquake. In Everett, the areas that will be most affected by ground shaking are located in NEHRP soil classes D and E. There are some small areas of F soils located in Everett, generally along the Snohomish River delta, around the Port of Everett and in the waterfront along the Puget Sound. There are very few structures on F soils.

The degree of or damage caused by an earthquake is often assigned a numerical value from Roman numeral I to XII on the Modified Mercalli (MM) Scale. This helps assess and understand the physical effects of the earthquake.
Table 9 provides a comparison of peak ground acceleration to the MM intensity scale.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MM Intensity</th>
<th>Peak Ground Acceleration</th>
<th>Description of Intensity Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>Not felt except by a very few under especially favorable circumstances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>Felt only by a few persons at rest, especially on upper floors of buildings. Delicately suspended objects may swing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>Felt quite noticeably by persons indoors, especially on upper floors of buildings. Many people do not recognize it as an earthquake. Standing motorcars may rock slightly. Vibration similar to the passing of a truck. Duration estimated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>Felt indoors by many, outdoors by few during the day. At night, some awakened. Dishes, windows, doors disturbed; walls make cracking sound. Sensation like heavy truck striking building. Standing motorcars rocked noticeably.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>Felt by nearly everyone; many awakened. Some dishes, windows broken. Unstable objects overturned. Pendulum clocks may stop.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>Felt by all; many frightened. Some heavy furniture moved; a few instances of fallen plaster. Damage slight.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>Damage negligible in building of good design and construction; slight to moderate in well-built ordinary structures; considerable damage in poorly built or badly designed structures; some chimneys broken. Noticed by persons driving motorcars.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>Damage slight in specially designed structures, considerable in ordinary, substantial buildings with partial collapse. Damage great in poorly built structures. Fall of chimneys, factory stacks, columns, monuments, and walls. Heavy furniture overturned.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>Damage considerable in specially designed structures; well-designed frame structures thrown out of plumb. Damage great in substantial buildings, with partial collapse. Buildings shifted off foundations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>Some well-built wooden structures destroyed; most masonry and frame structures destroyed with foundations. Rails bent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XI</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>Few, if any (masonry) structures remain standing. Bridges destroyed. Rails bent greatly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XII</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>Damage total. Lines of sight and level distorted. Objects thrown into the air.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Peak Ground Acceleration over .7 is generally not differentiated due to the high level of associated damage.

**LOCATION**

In Western Washington, the primary plates of interest are the Juan De Fuca and North American plates. The Juan De Fuca plate moves northeastward with respect to the North American plate at a rate of about four centimeters per year. The boundary where these two plates converge, the Cascadia Subduction Zone, lies approximately fifty miles offshore of the west coastline and extends from the middle of Vancouver Island in British Columbia to northern California. As it collides with the North American plate, the Juan De Fuca plate slides (or subducts) beneath the continent and sinks into the earth’s mantle. The collision of the Juan De Fuca and North America plates produces three types of earthquakes: subduction zone, Benioff (deep) zone, and crustal zone. Figure 3 details the earthquake types affecting our region.
**FIGURE 3: EARTHQUAKE TYPES IN WESTERN WASHINGTON**

**SUBDUCTION ZONE**

Subduction zone earthquakes occur along the Cascadia subduction fault, as a direct result of the convergence of these two plates. Although no large earthquakes have occurred along the Cascadia Subduction Zone since historic records began in 1790, similar subduction zones worldwide do produce “great” earthquakes – meaning a magnitude of 8 or larger. However, paleoseismic evidence suggests that there may have been as many as five of these devastating energy releases in the past 2000 years, with a very irregular recurrence interval of 150 to 1100 years. Written tsunami records from Japan, correlated with studies of partially submerged forests in coastal Washington and Oregon, give a probable date for the most recent of these huge quakes as January 26, 1700.23

A subduction zone earthquake would be centered off the coast of Washington or Oregon where the plates converge and would typically have a minute or more of strong ground shaking. Usually measured up to magnitude 8.0-9.5, damaging tsunamis and numerous large aftershocks immediately follow this type of earthquake. The world’s greatest earthquakes are observed at subduction zone boundaries.

**BENIOFF (DEEP) ZONE**

As the Juan de Fuca plate subducts beneath North America, it becomes denser than the surrounding mantle rocks and breaks apart under its own weight, causing Benioff zone earthquakes. Beneath Puget Sound the Juan de Fuca plate reaches a depth of twenty-five to thirty-seven miles and begins to bend even more steeply downward, forming a “knee.” It is at this knee where the largest Benioff zone earthquakes occur. Both the 1949 event near Olympia (southwest of Tacoma) and the 1965 event near the Seattle-Tacoma International Airport occurred at the knee. The 2001 Nisqually earthquake was the most recent Benioff quake.

**CRUSTAL ZONE**

The third source zone is the crust of the North American plate. Of the three source zones, this is the least understood. The structure of the crust in the Puget Sound area is complex, with large sedimentary rock-filled basins beneath Tacoma, Seattle and Everett. Several sources of evidence lead to the conclusion that the Puget Lowland area is currently shortening north-south at a rate of about half a centimeter (one-fifth of an inch) per year.

Shallow earthquakes of magnitude up to 7.0+ can happen anywhere in the Puget Sound region. Crustal earthquakes are the least predictable of Puget Sound’s seismic threats, and also are the most likely to be followed by significant

---

aftershocks. Following a great (7.0+) crustal quake, one of the greatest dangers to human life is that buildings or other structures damaged in the initial shock, but still in use and believed to be safe, could collapse in a strong aftershock.

The South Whidbey Island Fault (SWIF) which runs in a north-westward direction from Woodinville to near Port Townsend and through the southwest portion of Everett is a potential source of earthquakes in the area. It is concluded to be capable of producing a 6.5 to 7.4 magnitude earthquake. An earthquake generated from the SWIF has the potential to cause VIII to IX intensity shaking on the Modified Mercalli scale.24

The Seattle fault forms the south margin of the Seattle basin. Other active faults may be present in the greater Seattle area, but geologists have only documented young (in the last 14,000 years) motion on the Seattle fault. Currently the Seattle fault zone can be mapped from Dyes Inlet to Lake Washington, a distance of approximately forty kilometers. Historical events associated with this fault includes events that occurred at Point Robinson on January 29, 199525 with a magnitude 5.0 and at the southwestern end of Bainbridge Island on June 23, 1997 with a magnitude of 4.9.

Figure 4 shows the potentially active faults in the Puget Sound that could affect Everett.

---

**Figure 4: Potentially Active Crustal Faults in the Puget Sound**

---

**The Everett Basin**

Another important factor when considering seismic activity and hazards in Everett is the “Everett Basin”. Tacoma, Seattle and Everett are each situated above sedimentary basins, which affect the impacts that earthquakes have in each city. A basin is a deep, bowl-shaped geologic feature that is filled with softer soils and contained by bedrock and other harder materials. These basins can amplify the effects of seismic waves on the surface soils above the basin. Fortunately
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for Everett, this influence on seismic activity is not as strong as in Seattle and Tacoma. According to the U.S. Geological
Survey (USGS), “the amplitudes of the resonance peaks increase southward, with the amplification in the Everett basin
being the lowest and the amplification in the Tacoma basin is the highest.”\textsuperscript{26} The USGS only speculates as to the
differences attributed to the low amplification around Everett, but they suspect that higher compaction of soils during
the last glaciation period is at least partially responsible, with receding glaciers exposing areas of southern Puget Sound
for longer periods of time. Figure 5 shows some of the basins in the Puget Sound region.

\textbf{FIGURE 5: ISOSTATIC RESIDUAL GRAVITY IN THE EVERETT BASIN}

\begin{center}
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{isostatic_residual_gravity.png}
\end{center}

\section*{Frequency}
The USGS has created a probabilistic map based on peak ground acceleration that takes into account new information
about the Seattle fault zone. The Seattle area, which includes Everett, is in a higher risk area, with a 2% probability of
exceedance in a 50-year period of seeing ground shaking at 70% of gravity from a Subduction Zone event. In other
words, there is a 2% chance that a major earthquake will occur in Washington in the next fifty years that will create
significant ground shaking in Everett and Seattle. Figure 6 displays the expected peak horizontal ground motions for this
probability.

SEVERITY
A subduction zone earthquake could produce an earthquake with a magnitude as large as a 9.0 located on the Pacific Coast of Washington. Benioff zone earthquakes as large as magnitude 7.5 are expected everywhere west of the eastern shores of Puget Sound. A crustal zone earthquake could produce a 7.4 magnitude earthquake affecting Everett. The city of Everett has the potential to be affected by a subduction, Benioff, or crustal zone earthquake, but historically has been spared their most damaging effects.

WARNING TIME
There is a large amount of information about possible earthquake locations; however there is no current reliable way to predict when an earthquake will occur at any given location. The USGS along with a coalition of State and university partners is developing and testing an earthquake early warning system for the west coast of the United States. These potential warning systems could give up to a couple minutes of notice that major shaking is about to occur. This could

be enough time for people to get under a desk, or for employees to step away from the hazardous material they are working with or to shut down a computer system.

**Past Events**

Recently, there has been a study of earthquake activity in the Snohomish Delta region, which includes Everett. In particular, scientists have found two crustal events, one occurring around 900-950 AD and another sometime between 1450 and 1620 AD. The study took soil samples from the delta and found evidence of liquefaction through upward thrusts of sand and woody debris.\(^{30}\) These seismic events occurred at regular intervals from 130 AD to 1640 AD.

The February 28th, 2001 Nisqually Earthquake with a magnitude of 6.8 is a recent example of a Benioff zone earthquake. The last Cascadia Subduction Zone event occurred on January 26th, 1700 and was catastrophic. Table 10 is a summary of large earthquakes that have occurred in the Puget Sound Region.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Magnitude</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1872</td>
<td>North Cascades</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>Crustal Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1882</td>
<td>Olympic Area</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Benioff Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1909</td>
<td>Puget Sound</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Benioff Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1915</td>
<td>North Cascades</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1918</td>
<td>Vancouver Island</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1920</td>
<td>Puget Sound</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1932</td>
<td>Central Cascades</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Crustal Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1939</td>
<td>Puget Sound</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>Benioff Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1945</td>
<td>North Bend</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Crustal Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1946</td>
<td>Puget Sound</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>Benioff Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1946</td>
<td>Vancouver Island</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>Benioff Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1949</td>
<td>Olympia</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>Benioff Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>Puget Sound</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>Benioff Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>Mount St. Helens</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Crustal Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>NW Cascades</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Crustal Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Robinson Point</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Crustal Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Duvall</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Nisqually\Puget Sound</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>Benioff Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Olympic Peninsula</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Benioff Zone</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Past Events in Everett and Snohomish County**

The following are the largest earthquakes that have occurred in historic time in Puget Sound:\(^{31}\)

- **1872**: 7.4 (estimated) magnitude—shallow origin—approximately seventy-five miles northeast of Everett near Mount Baker and just east of the Cascade crest (largest recorded earthquake in Washington). No record of any fatalities in Snohomish County.
- **1949**: 7.1 magnitude—deep origin—Nisqually Delta area north of Olympia. No Snohomish County fatalities.

---
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• 1965: 6.5 magnitude—deep origin—near Renton. No Snohomish County fatalities.


The largest earthquake to occur in western Washington during the modern era took place on April 13, 1949. The magnitude of the earthquake was measured at magnitude 7.1; it had a maximum intensity of VIII-IX on the MM Scale, based upon damage to the human-built environment. The epicenter was located between Tacoma and Olympia. Strong shaking during the Olympia earthquake lasted about twenty seconds.

During the 1965 earthquake, two of three 48-inch water supply lines were broken in Everett where the trestle carrying them crossed an area of seismically vulnerable soil.32

The second largest and most recent earthquake within Puget Sound occurred February 28, 2001 with an epicenter again located north of Olympia in the vicinity of the Nisqually River Delta. This earthquake measured magnitude 6.8 and caused damage throughout the state from Bellingham to Vancouver between the Olympics and eastern Washington. Twenty-two of the state's counties were included in the federal disaster declaration for the quake. Snohomish County's damages were relatively light (between $2 and $3 million for public and private sector combined) and casualties were exceptionally light (thirteen injuries, all minor). A few older, un-reinforced masonry structures suffered significant damage, but there were no building collapses in the county.

The greatest shaking and highest percentage of damaged structures were in the main stem river valleys and the cities or towns built along the rivers: Darrington, Sultan, Monroe, and Snohomish.

Earthquakes have also affected the Puget Sound area with epicenters located outside of the region. An example is the large (7.4R) earthquake that occurred August 22, 1949 near the Queen Charlotte Islands. The quake’s epicenter was located 600 miles north of Seattle.

SECONDARY HAZARDS
Secondary hazards from an earthquake event are numerous and could potentially have an even greater impact than the actual seismic event. One major concern is liquefaction in areas designated by the USGS as ‘high risk’. Other significant secondary effects of an earthquake, such as landslides, urban fire conflagrations, wildland fires, and hazardous materials releases, may also affect Everett and surrounding Snohomish County.

Landslides do not always occur in the first few minutes following an earthquake. It is possible that they can happen days later. There were numerous landslides in the Puget Sound Region during and after the 1949 and 1965 earthquakes; many roads were closed and sections of railroad track were swept into Puget Sound as a result.

Brush or wildfires can be caused by downed power lines or ruptured gas lines. An earthquake during the summer may cause a wildland fire due to drier conditions. Also, urban fires may occur due to gas line leaks or breaks and broken water heaters.

Hazardous materials can be spilled from ruptured containers. In addition, traffic accidents can occur during ground shaking, as well as possible train derailment from buckling tracks or landslides caused by an earthquake.

A major, but rare, secondary hazard from earthquakes are tsunamis, or waves produced by earth shaking. Landslides in Everett or surrounding shorelines abutting the Puget Sound could cause tsunamis of the greatest magnitude or severity. Additionally, they can occur with large, subduction zone earthquakes in the area. There is historical evidence to suggest

that one such event occurred off of Camano Head on Whidbey Island. Whether or not an earthquake produced this tsunami is unclear, but Native American oral accounts tell of a series of waves that wiped out a village on Gedney (Hat) Island.\(^{33}\) This event inundated an area close to Everett's port and its economic lifeline to global and transoceanic trade. Underwater or submarine landslides off the coast of Mukilteo could trigger another tsunami.\(^{34}\) The danger of Pacific Ocean-borne tsunamis generated by Subduction Zone earthquakes is of little or no consequence to Everett. The real risk is presented by tsunamis produced by landslides—submarine and subaerial—in and around Puget Sound.

**Exposure and Vulnerability**

**Population**

In general, the city lies on NEHRP C soils, but it also has some areas of D, E, and a minimal amount of F soils (See Figure 8 for details). The E soil areas are of most concern since they demonstrate a high level of potential liquefaction during earthquake events. In general, these soils are located along the Snohomish River floodplain and delta, and along the city's waterfront and port. Residential populations located on D and E soils are a concern. In Everett there are only forty-one residential structures located on E soils, but many homes are located on D Soils along the slopes to Everett's waterfront and along the eastern edge of the city, near the Snohomish River floodplain, as well as a narrow band that crosses the peninsula near its base. Also, the large number of creek ravines that separate areas of the city could potentially lead to isolation issues should a major seismic event occur.

Populations in hospitals and schools are especially vulnerable to a seismic event because of age and potential ambulatory limitations. The Providence Regional Medical Center Everett Colby Campus is the largest exposed facility and is located on C soils that are fairly stable in a seismic event. The Pacific Campus, which also includes some long-term elderly care, is located on the slightly more vulnerable D soils, making it potentially more vulnerable to impacts from an earthquake.

The time of day an earthquake occurs would determine how much of the total population is vulnerable. During daytime hours there is more activity in the downtown, commercial and industrial areas of Everett. An event that occurred during the day could affect much of the population in these areas. A nighttime event would place the greater populations in residential areas of Everett at a higher level of vulnerability.

**Property**

It is important to begin to identify properties located on E soils, which are the most vulnerable in a seismic event. Generally, the properties in Everett located on E soils are located along the Snohomish River floodplain and along the city's waterfront. Table 11 details the parcels exposed to various soils in Everett, while Table 12 details the parcels at liquefaction risk.

**Table 11: Value of Parcels on Less Stable Soils in Everett**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil Rating</th>
<th># of Parcels</th>
<th>% of Total Parcels</th>
<th>Improvement Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C – D</td>
<td>3,876</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>$839,994,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1,572</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>$572,696,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>$119,430,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>$18,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6,131</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$1,532,140,700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


TABLE 12: PARCEL LIQUEFACTION SUSCEPTIBILITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Liquefaction Risk</th>
<th># of Parcels</th>
<th>% of Total Parcels</th>
<th>Improvement Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>$420,144,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate-High</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>$87,475,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-Moderate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Low-Low</td>
<td>3,215</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Low</td>
<td>27,800</td>
<td>86.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>32,212</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$507,619,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Everett has a large amount, approximately 82%, of residential and commercial structures that were built prior to 1972, when the 1970 Uniform Building Code (UBC) went into effect. The UBC stipulated that all buildings be constructed to at least seismic risk Zone 3 Standards. Buildings in Everett built before 1972 are at higher risk during earthquakes, unless they were retrofitted to withstand expected ground shaking. Houses built after 1972 are more likely to be in compliance with the 1970 UBC and should experience reduced damage from seismic events. In 1994, seismic risk Zone 3 standards of the UBC went into effect in Western Washington, requiring all new construction to be capable of withstanding the effects of 0.3 times the force of gravity. More recent housing stock, which is mainly infill development, is in compliance with Zone 3 standards. In July of 2004, the state once again upgraded the building code to follow the International Building Code (IBC) Standards.

By selecting buildings that were constructed prior to 1972, it was determined that sixty-eight (68) of these are located on parcels with grade E soils. The total value of these parcels is relatively small at $21,720,900. Other vulnerable properties include single-width unreinforced masonry and concrete and tilt-up structures. Table 13 summarizes this data. No new data has been created in the last 5 years, so the numbers reflect the 2006 calculations.

TABLE 13: PARCEL LIQUEFACTION SUSCEPTIBILITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Parcels</th>
<th>Total Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre 1972 Buildings</td>
<td>$47,584,700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to structures built before 1972, at risk buildings include:

- Single-family and duplex structures: light frame wood-frame buildings constructed before 1976 and light frame wood buildings constructed prior to 1960
- Concrete structures lacking ductile connections
- Buildings located on unconsolidated fill sites
- Tilt-up concrete buildings with inadequate roof-wall connections constructed prior to 1997
- Critical structures (assembly occupancies, large structures, structures on compacted fill)
- Multiple story buildings with small floor plates constructed prior to 1976
FIGURE 7: PARCELS WITH PRE-1972 STRUCTURES AND LIQUEFACTION AREAS

Map Created By: City of Everett Public Works Utility Mapping; 10/11/2017
FOR REFERENCE USE ONLY
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CRITICAL FACILITIES
All critical facilities are exposed to seismic hazards, although those located on E soils may have a higher potential for damage due to their location. It is important to identify exposed facilities and to develop mitigation strategies for them in case of an earthquake event. Table 14 details exposed critical infrastructure on D-E or E soils.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Critical Infrastructure Categories</th>
<th>Number of Critical Infrastructure on D-E or E Soils</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Police Facilities</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Stations</td>
<td>1 (Navy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Facilities</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Facilities</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Utilities</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewer Utilities</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Utility</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tier II Facilities</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street Sections</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridges</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>312</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

STRUCTURES
The City of Everett applied seismic codes requiring the anchoring of structures to their foundations in 1972. There are only 31 structures built prior to 1972 in the city that are located on E Soils. The total value of these structures is $47,584,700.

Many offices within the city are older tilt-up buildings or were constructed of unreinforced masonry. The vast majority of residential buildings in the city are wood framed. Some commercial buildings are classified as fire-resistant buildings. There are quite a few downtown buildings that are single-width unreinforced masonry. The city of Everett has a limited number of structures located on E soils. Table 15 details the types of soils that all 59,156 structures in Everett are exposed to.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Class</th>
<th>Number of Dwellings</th>
<th>Percentage of Total Dwellings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City of Everett</td>
<td>59,156</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Class C to D</td>
<td>6,735</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Class D</td>
<td>2,567</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Class D to E</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Class E</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Class F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**UNREINFORCED MASONRY BUILDINGS**

Unreinforced masonry (URM) buildings typically have masonry walls (mostly brick) with no steel reinforcing bars embedded within them. The most common type of URM construction in the United States is brick wall facing with wood-frame floors and roof. Everett’s URM buildings were constructed from the 1880’s to the 1940’s, before west coast earthquake codes prohibited unreinforced masonry construction methods.

There are about one hundred URM buildings in downtown Everett and more distributed throughout the city in historic neighborhoods. Everett’s URM buildings have a higher likelihood of collapse during the next projected earthquake and pose three types of risk: injury, property damage, and loss of use.

Everett’s downtown central business district and historic neighborhoods have the highest concentration of URM buildings. The presence of URM buildings in Everett’s historic neighborhoods maintains the character and prominence of the neighborhoods, and their loss would significantly alter their historic significance. URM building damage and collapse poses a life safety risk to people in and near the buildings during and after an earthquake. Their collapse would also present physical barriers into and out of the downtown after an event. Prioritizing URM building retrofits therefore simultaneously reduces up to three types of risk.

**BNSF TUNNEL**

The Burlington Northern train tunnel, which travels beneath the downtown area of Everett, was built in the early 1900’s. It is constructed of unreinforced concrete, spans seven blocks between Marine View and Oakes streets. As an unreinforced concrete structure, it is vulnerable to collapse during a seismic event, which could damage the roadway and structures above, a number of which are URMs.

Figure 9a indicates the exposed parcels by land use and Figure 9b by total market value. Exposed parcels are any parcels within 150 feet of the rail tunnel.

**FIGURE 9: LAND USE OF PARCELS EXPOSED TO TUNNEL COLLAPSE (ABOVE) AND LAND VALUE OF PARCELS EXPOSED TO**
PORT, MARINA, AND THE WATERFRONT
The City of Everett is planning major redevelopment of port property over the next several years to help enhance its waterfront. Planned improvements include residential buildings, commercial centers, recreational areas, and a hotel. Most of these structures are low-rise, lightweight structures of a commercial or industrial nature that will be built on softer NEHRP E soils. The Naval Station in Everett, also on NEHRP E soils is generally self-sufficient. The Naval Station in Everett would be likely to act autonomously when responding to damage from seismic activity.

Another risk is the hazardous materials transported on the BNSF railroad. The NMFS Biological Opinion discussed in the Flood section of this document impacts Everett shorelands, and development would have to incorporate its requirements.

CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE
TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS

The principal routes servicing Everett, including Interstate 5 (I-5), US Highway 2, and State Route 529 (SR 529), cross softer soils and may not be functional following earthquake events, which could potentially lead to isolation of the city.

I-5, the major north-south route serving Everett, is more vulnerable to earthquake-induced blockage than other routes because of the large number of overpasses crossing I-5. Additionally, bridge failure throughout the city, as well as along I-5, SR 529 and US Highway 2, could isolate the city from other areas in the Puget Sound region.

Approximately four miles of I-5 are located on E soils north of the city. Should sections of the interstate fail during a major seismic event, it is possible that evacuation along these routes may be compromised to the north, and outside assistance and supplies from that direction would be cut off or delayed.

US Highway 2 also has a significant length of roadway constructed on E soils where it crosses over the Snohomish River delta east of the city. This trestled area is particularly vulnerable to damage or collapse, likely rendering this route unusable after an event.

SR 529 North, due to its age and partial utilization of older trestles, makes its vulnerability to route failure higher than that of I-5. US Highway 2 is also more at risk of a failure of its structure due to its traversing over soft soils, as opposed to a risk of blockage from overhead structures.

State Route 99 (SR) South does not include bridges, and there are several surface roads along the southern route that increase redundancy, making travel south more assured.

Everett has many bridges any one of which could fail during an earthquake and isolate a neighborhood from emergency supplies and assistance. There are also approximately 62 miles of Everett rail lines constructed on E soils that are at risk of disruption.
FIGURE 10: NEHRP SOILS IN EVERETT AND EXPOSED TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE
**WATER**

Water transmission lines cross E and F soils and their vulnerability is a major concern. Similarly many of the city’s water distribution lines serving both the commercial and residential areas of Everett and Snohomish County are vulnerable to seismic ground shaking.

Currently, none of the city’s water transmission and distribution lines have seismic shut-off valves. However, these lines have pressure sensors that would alarm staff that a break may have occurred, whereby a shutdown process could be initiated. Water loss would be the most immediate effect of a break. Depending on the number and severity of breaks, there could be an extended disruption of potable water to parts of the city and county.

The reservoir reserves for the city could provide three to four days of supply in an emergency. The City of Everett can also draw water from the dedicated southern water transmission line.

Public Works has pre-staged backup water transmission pipe sections to replace damaged pipe following a small failure.

Most of Everett’s reservoirs were built between the 1910s and the 1940s of reinforced concrete with flexible joints. Most of these older reservoirs are below-ground and have survived past earthquakes. Newer reservoirs are above-ground tanks and stand pipes.

The city’s water system has water mains exposed to Classes D, E, and F soils. Breaks along any of these mains could result in a temporary loss of service to many residential and commercial customers.

**WASTEWATER**

Sewer lines cross E soils and are vulnerable to earthquakes. A break along the city’s sewer lines could affect surrounding populations and natural areas. A sewer pipe rupture could temporarily leach sewage onto the ground or access ground water and surface water.

The City of Everett sewer system has pipes of varying ages and types of construction. There are sewer pipes in Everett exposed to D, E, and F Soil Classes.

The sewage treatment plant is located on Smith Island, northeast of the city. Nearly all structures at the plant are constructed on pilings on E soils of the Snohomish River floodplain. To help mitigate flooding impacts, the perimeter of the facility is surrounded by a dike system that meets the Army Corps of Engineers PL 84-99 program requirements.

**ELECTRICITY**

Transmission lines are vulnerable to ground shaking and liquefaction, especially those traversing E and F Soils. Landslides, ice loading and foliage also threaten transmission and distribution lines.

**SCHOOLS**

The current 2009 International Building Code (IBC) regulates the construction of new and substantially improved schools.

Since 1990, all the schools in Everett have been retrofitted to FEMA 178 standards or ASCE 31-03 standards. These are life safety standards designed to ensure the survival of building occupants, rather than standards for the long-term resilience of the buildings themselves. All new schools in Everett are built in accordance with updated local codes.
**IMPACT SCENARIOS**

An earthquake along the South Whidbey Island Fault, Seattle Fault or Cascadia Subduction Zone could have major impacts on Everett. Buildings could collapse, roads could become impassible and neighborhoods could become isolated.

A tsunami generated from a Seattle fault earthquake or from Possession Beach would cause further damage and isolation, as would landslides along the western shore.

Using FEMA generated software Hazus, a hazard modeling program, three earthquake scenarios were run to predict the possible effects in Everett. These include:

- A Cascadia Subduction Zone Event with an 9.0 magnitude
- A Seattle Fault Earthquake with a magnitude of 7.2
- A South Whidbey Fault Earthquake with a magnitude of 7.4

The effects of each are discussed below.

**9.0 CASCADIA SUBDUCTION ZONE EARTHQUAKE**

A Cascadia Earthquake would produce Mercalli Intensity PGAs of VI to VII.

**BUILDINGS**

From this event, HAZUS predicts that almost 70% of buildings are likely to experience no damage, with an additional 21% experiencing slight damage. Residential structures are the least vulnerable with just over 8% at risk of moderate to complete damage. Industrial, commercial, and government buildings are the most vulnerable. More than half (63%) of the unreinforced masonry structures could experience moderate, extensive or complete damage. An estimated 887 people will seek temporary shelter in this scenario.

**LIFELINES**

HAZUS predicts 20% of bridges within the city could have moderate to complete damage. Transportation networks throughout the region will experience damage that will impact resource movement and accessibility.
FIGURE 11: CASCADIA 9.0 SCENARIO PEAK GROUND ACCELERATION
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6.5 Seattle Fault Earthquake
A Seattle Fault earthquake could create Mercalli Intensity PGA values of V – VI (moderate to strong). Higher PGA values and more extensive damage would likely begin south of Edmonds, increasing southward to the fault line in downtown Seattle.

Buildings
No buildings in Everett are expected to see complete damage from a Seattle quake. Less than 1% of all buildings, including housing structures, are at risk of more than slight damage in this scenario. Less than 7% of unreinforced masonry structures are predicted to experience moderate or extensive damage.

Lifelines
No bridges or highways are predicted to lose more than 50% functionality or have moderate damage in this model. Multiple bridges in the Seattle area may have moderate to extensive damage and lose functionality, which could affect supply lines into Everett businesses and hospitals.
7.4 SOUTH WHIDBEY ISLAND FAULT EARTHQUAKE
The South Whidbey Island Fault scenario was recreated by the State of Washington using improved data on the extent and potential damage from an earthquake on the South Whidbey Island Fault. The scenario was developed using HAZUS-MH for a 7.4 magnitude earthquake. For Everett, an earthquake along this fault would cause extensive damage.

The peak ground acceleration values could range from Mercalli Intensity VII – IX (very strong to violent). The highest values would be in the southern parts of the city with the lowest values in the north. The middle portion of the city could experience high PGA as well, ranging between 34-65% gravity.

BUILDINGS
Wooden structures would likely fare the best compared to other building types.

Manufactured homes, precast buildings, and unreinforced masonry will face the most severe damage in the city. Over 95% of these buildings in the city are at risk of extensive to complete damage. This scenario predicts that 191 URM buildings, over half, could be completely destroyed. The probability for extensive damage ranges from greater than 80% for buildings in the northern census tracts of Everett to greater than 97% in the southern census tracts.

While just over 1% of single-family homes are at risk of complete damage, almost 22% of other residential structures, including apartment buildings, could be destroyed. Over 10,500 single family homes are at risk of at least moderate damage, or almost 45% of the single-family homes in the city. HAZUS predicts that 3,701 residents will seek temporary shelter.

ESSENTIAL FACILITIES
Hospital functionality will be at a reduced level following the disaster. The Colby campus of the Providence Regional Medical Center will experience less damage than its sister branch due to its location on more stable soils and being further from the epicenter of the earthquake.

LIFELINES
Most bridges in and around Everett, and in all directions, would be damaged. Most would be below 50% functionality on day 1 following the earthquake. No significant improvement would be expected within the first several weeks due to the extent of destruction. The bridge on Hwy 529 connecting the northern part of the city to Marysville would also likely be severely damaged or destroyed. The roads without bridges, however, are expected to remain usable, leaving routes heading south as the most viable means of transporting goods to and from the city.
**PROBABILITY OF OCCURRENCE**

**“PROBABILISTIC EVENT MODEL”**
A “probabilistic event” was modeled as a summary of each of the potential earthquake sources: crustal faults, subduction zone, and Benioff events. HAZUS was employed once more to produce this model. Each earthquake source is weighted by its probability of occurrence and all known sources are included in the model.

The probabilistic event model in HAZUS also produces outputs for 100-year and 500-year earthquake events. Snohomish County conducted a HAZUS run for these probabilistic events and predicted structural and content losses of $338,891,477 for a 100-year event, and structural and content losses of $1,514,133,487 for a 500-year event.36

**ISSUES**

As in each of the above scenarios, Everett is at risk of experiencing:

- Conflagration of wooden homes
- Collapse of critical and essential facilities
- Loss in functionality of key systems
- Isolation of neighborhoods, particularly within the western portion of the city
- Landslides along the western slopes
- Liquefaction along drainages and to levee and levee systems

---

FLOODING

DEFINITIONS

Flood: Defined by the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) as: “A general and temporary condition of partial or complete inundation of 2 or more acres of normally dry land area or of 2 or more properties (at least 1 of which is the policyholder's property) from: overflow of inland or tidal waters; or unusual and rapid accumulation or runoff of surface waters from any source; or mudflow; or collapse or subsidence of land along the shore of a lake or similar body of water as a result of erosion or undermining caused by waves or currents of water exceeding anticipated cyclical levels that result in a flood as defined above.”

Base Flood Elevation: Elevation of a 100-year flood event, or a flood, which has a 1% chance of occurring in any given year.

Floodplain: Any land area susceptible to being inundated by floodwaters from any source. FEMA has mapped these areas throughout the country, and most communities in the United States regulate development within them.

Floodway: A "Regulatory Floodway" means the channel of a river or other watercourse and the adjacent land areas that must be reserved in order to discharge the base flood without cumulatively increasing the water surface elevation more than a designated height. Generally speaking, no development is allowed in floodways, as any structures located there would block the flow of floodwaters.

Floodway Fringe: Lands that are in the floodplain but outside of the floodway. Some development is generally allowed in these areas with a variety of restrictions. FEMA contracted the Army Corps of engineers to map the floodplains, floodways, and floodway fringes. Figure 14 depicts the relationship among the three designations.

Zero-Rise Floodway: Area reserved to carry the discharge of a flood without raising the base flood elevation. Some communities have chosen to implement zero-rise floodways because they provide greater flood protection than the floodway described above, which allows a one foot rise in the base flood elevation.

Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM): Official map of a community on which FEMA has delineated the Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHAs), the Base Flood Elevations (BFEs) and the risk premium zones applicable to the community.

Low Impact Development (LID): A land use development strategy that emphasizes protection and use of onsite natural features integrated with engineered, small-scale hydrologic controls at the parcel and subdivision scale to manage stormwater and more closely mimic predevelopment development watershed hydrologic functions.37

Zone: A geographical area shown on a Flood Hazard Boundary Map (FHBM) or a Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) that reflects the severity or type of flooding in the area.

GENERAL BACKGROUND

Flooding is a natural geologic process that shapes the landscape, provides habitat and creates rich agricultural lands. Human activities and settlements tend to use floodplains, frequently interfering with the natural processes and suffering inconvenience or catastrophe as a result. Human activities encroach upon floodplains, affecting the distribution and timing of drainage, and thereby increasing flood problems. The built environment creates localized flooding problems outside natural floodplains by altering or confining drainage channels. This increases flood potential in two ways: 1) it reduces the stream’s capacity to contain flows; and 2) increases flow rates downstream. Climate change is impacting the timing, frequency, and severity of flooding worldwide. In the Pacific Northwest, early spring flooding may be reduced due to smaller snowpack, but later spring flooding may occur more often due to earlier snowmelt.38

Damages resulting from flood are the most common and widespread of all disasters within Washington State. Most communities have experienced some flooding, after spring rains, heavy thunderstorms, or winter snow thaws. Flooding for most west draining Cascade rivers, accompany late autumn or winter storm events and is enhanced when warmer

weather causes the snow to melt by contributing flows to the rain caused discharge. Cascade river reaches are short and flooding generally develops over a few days.

There are three types of floods that affect the county:

**RIVERINE FLOODING**
Most residents of Snohomish County are familiar with the annual conditions responsible for the potential of riverine flooding. "Flood season" begins in mid-November and continues to mid-February. The first element leading to a potential flood is a heavy, fresh snow in the mountains. If a weather front with warm winds, usually from the southeast and heavy rainfall follows the snow before it has a chance to settle and solidify, a flood potential exists. It is rare for rain to cause flooding without the other elements being present. High tides may be responsible for holding up the normal discharge of river runoff into Puget Sound, while low tides facilitate the discharge from the Snohomish and Stillaguamish River systems.

**TIDAL FLOODING**
The potential for flooding in low-lying coastal areas exists when unfavorable atmospheric conditions (i.e. very low pressure) occur simultaneously with periods of unusually high tides. No significant damage has been experienced in Snohomish County in the recent past due to tidal flooding. Storm surges, also known as storm tides, can affect a number of beachfront areas. Generally, storm surges are caused by an increase in the usual tide level by a combination of low atmospheric pressure and onshore winds. During a storm surge tides may run from two to four feet above the predicted tide level. Storm surges can usually be predicted up to 12 hours before occurrence; however, only an approximate height can be predicted because of the large number of variables. The effects of a storm surge generally range from salt-water inundation to the battering of beachhead property by water driven debris. Property most often damaged by storm surge includes beachfront homes and businesses, bulkheads, marinas, docks and ferry terminals.

**FLASH FLOODING AND SURFACE FLOODING**
Several factors contribute to flash flooding. The two key elements are rainfall intensity and duration. Topography, soil conditions, urbanization and ground cover also play an important role. Flash floods occur within a few minutes to a few hours of excessive rainfall, a dam or levee failure, or a sudden release of water held by an ice or log jam. They can roll boulders, tear out trees, destroy buildings and bridges, and scour out new stream channels. Most flood deaths are due to flash floods. Flash flooding can occur in the upper valleys and tributary systems of the Skokomish, Pilchuck, White Chuck and Sauk Rivers.

**REDUCING FLOOD DAMAGE**
The most effective method of reducing flood damage is through mitigation. Mitigation could include engaging in floodplain management activities, constructing barriers, such as levees, and purchasing flood insurance will help reduce the amount of structural damage to your home and financial loss from building and crop damage should a flood or flash flood occur.

**SNOHOMISH BASIN**
The Snohomish River flows twenty-three miles from the confluence of the Snoqualmie and the Skykomish Rivers at the city of Monroe to Port Gardner Bay in Everett. The drainage basin extends from an elevation of 8,000 feet in the Cascade Mountains to sea level at Everett. Tidal action affects river stages in the lower thirteen miles. The river's gradient is approximately one foot per mile. At bank full the width of the river channel varies from 35 to 500 feet.

**LOCATION**
The Snohomish River flows around the Everett peninsula draining into Puget Sound. Floodplains surround Everett on three sides. Land within the floodplain includes the Port of Everett and several industrial sites. The city began
development on multi-use residential and commercial areas along the east side of Everett and have elevated the development above the floodplain.

A majority of the frequently flooded area of the city would experience nuisance flooding where on average, water would only affect isolated areas. Most of the widespread flooding would occur in the lower lying areas of the waterfront and industrially zoned areas along the Snohomish River. With little or no residential parcels in these areas, the risk of widespread impacts is low.

**Past Events**
The history of flooding in Everett and Snohomish County is an important part of its identity. Historical records indicate that documentation of flooding along the rivers in Snohomish County dates back to the nineteenth century, when pioneers first settled in the area. This section describes the major historic flood events affecting Everett and Snohomish County. This section also provides a list of federally declared disasters in Snohomish County due to flooding, as shown in Table 16. These declarations represent the most severe flooding events since 1956 that have been compensated with federal money.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disaster</th>
<th>Type of Event</th>
<th>Date of Declaration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>185</td>
<td>Heavy Rains and Flooding</td>
<td>12/29/1964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>492</td>
<td>Severe Storms, Flooding</td>
<td>12/13/1975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545</td>
<td>Severe Storms, Mudslides, Flooding</td>
<td>12/10/1977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>612</td>
<td>Storms, High Tides, Mudslides, Flooding</td>
<td>12/31/1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>784</td>
<td>Severe Storms, Flooding</td>
<td>12/15/1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>852</td>
<td>Flooding, Severe Storms</td>
<td>1/18/1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>883</td>
<td>Flooding, Severe Storms</td>
<td>11/26/1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>896</td>
<td>High Tides, Severe Storms</td>
<td>3/8/1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100</td>
<td>Severe Storms, Flooding</td>
<td>2/9/1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1159</td>
<td>Severe Winter Storms, Flooding</td>
<td>1/17/1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1499</td>
<td>Severe Storms, Flooding</td>
<td>11/7/2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1641</td>
<td>Severe Storms, Flooding, Tidal Surge, Landslides, Mudslides</td>
<td>5/17/2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1671</td>
<td>Severe Storms, Flooding, Landslides, Mudslides</td>
<td>12/12/2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1682</td>
<td>Severe Winter Storms, Landslides, Mudslides</td>
<td>2/14/2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1734</td>
<td>Severe Storms, Flooding, Landslides, Mudslides</td>
<td>12/8/2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1817</td>
<td>Severe Winter Storms, Landslides, Mudslides, Flooding</td>
<td>1/30/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1825</td>
<td>Severe Winter Storms, Record and Near Record Snow</td>
<td>3/2/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4056</td>
<td>Severe Winter Storms, Flooding, Landslides, Mudslides</td>
<td>3/5/2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4168</td>
<td>Flooding, Mudslides</td>
<td>4/2/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4249</td>
<td>Severe Storms, Straight-Line Winds, Flooding, Landslides, Mudslides</td>
<td>1/15/2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Winter floods inundate most of the County’s floodplains every three to ten years. Flooding in Snohomish County is characterized by a combination of warm rainfall followed by heavy low-level snow. These storm patterns initially saturate the soil with the first rainfall and then cause flooding and property damage with the second storm. Flash flooding is typically caused by slow-moving thunderstorms or heavy rains associated with spring or early summer storm systems. Each River Basin has unique characteristics that contribute to different levels of flooding and damage.
1995-1996 Flooding

The classic ingredients for wintertime flooding are a heavy snow pack over nearly saturated or frozen ground, followed by a rapid rise in temperature and heavy rain. The wet and warm weather contributes to significant snowmelt, resulting in excessive runoff that often leads to flooding. These conditions resulted in large-scale flooding throughout the Pacific Northwest during December 1996.

Most of the precipitation fell during two distinct periods in November and December. The first period lasted from the November 16 until the December 13. By December 13, the six-week precipitation totals were five to fifteen inches above the mean in many areas of northwestern Washington.

Over the next ten days (December 14 to December 23), cooler and drier conditions dominated the Northwest, with the heaviest precipitation (2-4 inches) falling primarily as snow. This period was followed by a rapid increase in temperature and a return to excessive precipitation during the next ten days (December 24 through January 2), with 17 to 34 inches of precipitation falling on orographically favored areas and more than six inches falling elsewhere throughout the affected region. Due to the extremely warm weather during the period, much of this precipitation fell as rain. This wet and warm weather produced significant snowmelt at lower and middle elevations, resulting in severe flooding throughout the Northwest. During this period, the liquid water runoff (rainfall plus snowmelt) exceeded 11 inches in many areas.39

Exposure and Vulnerability

Population

The total improvement dollar value for residential parcels within the 100-year floodplain has been estimated at about $14,161,000.

Isolation

The probability that a large portion of the city’s population would be isolated during a major flood event is low, due once again to the elevation of the city in relation to the riverine floodplain. The city as a whole may experience isolation from areas east of the city, along US Highway 2.

Property

Tables 17 and 18 detail the properties vulnerable to flooding by land use and market value.

### TABLE 17: EXPOSED PARCELS IN THE SNOHOMISH RIVER FLOODPLAIN – PARCEL COUNT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parcel Count</th>
<th>Flood Zone</th>
<th>500-Year Flood</th>
<th>100-Year Flood</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land Use (Code)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential (100)</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing (200 &amp; 300)</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities and Transportation (400)</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services (500 &amp; 600)</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks (700)</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farming and Mining (800)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Space (900)</td>
<td></td>
<td>43</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>137</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>620</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

39 Halpert and Bell, 1996.
TABLE 18: EXPOSED PARCELS IN THE SNOHOMISH RIVER FLOODPLAIN – PARCEL VALUE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parcel Improvement Value</th>
<th>500-Year Flood</th>
<th>100-Year Flood</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land Use (Code)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential (100)</td>
<td>7,671,700</td>
<td>14,161,000</td>
<td>21,832,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing (200 &amp; 300)</td>
<td>29,630,200</td>
<td>31,767,000</td>
<td>61,397,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities and Transportation (400)</td>
<td>6,245,200</td>
<td>91,066,500</td>
<td>97,311,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services (500 &amp; 600)</td>
<td>364,758,600</td>
<td>30,183,800</td>
<td>394,942,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks (700)</td>
<td>7,668,900</td>
<td>118,553,200</td>
<td>126,222,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farming and Mining (800)</td>
<td>967,600</td>
<td>3,586,800</td>
<td>4,554,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Space (900)</td>
<td>23,792,200</td>
<td>57,759,600</td>
<td>81,551,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>440,734,400</td>
<td>347,077,900</td>
<td>787,812,300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NFIP-INSURED STRUCTURES
There are no repetitive loss properties in Everett. As of December 2017, there are 52 NFIP policies in Everett. There have been nine claims totaling under $230,000. There have only been two substantial damage claims in excess of 50% damage.
FIGURE 15: EXPOSED FLOODPLAIN PARCELS BY LAND USE TYPE

Land Use in the FEMA Designated Floodplain
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FIGURE 16: EVERETT 100-YEAR AND 500-YEAR FLOODPLAIN
CRITICAL FACILITIES
Industrial lands, parts of the Port of Everett, and the wastewater treatment facility on Smith Island are behind dikes but lie within the 100-year floodplain and are at risk from flooding.

CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE

TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS
Portions of State Route 2 and the BNSF Railroad are vulnerable to large floods due to the damming effect of supports. These may become weak or may suffer damage when exposed to heavy pressure from riverborne debris and high velocity waters. I-5 is also vulnerable where it crosses over the Snohomish River to the north of the city.

The BNSF Railway, as it crosses north around Everett, is vulnerable to flooding. The railway crosses more flood-prone lands as it heads east into the county. The Port of Everett is at risk from flooding from the Snohomish and from coastal flooding and tsunamis.

WATER AND WASTEWATER
Water transmission lines run from Sultan to Everett across streams, rivers and floodplains. The lines are protected by Snohomish County Diking Districts 1 and 6.

The wastewater treatment facility in Everett may be vulnerable to flooding damage. The treatment plant is located on Smith Island, northeast of the city. To help mitigate flood damage, the facility has a dike surrounding its perimeter as part of Diking District 5.

Wastewater may discharge into the river should the facility flood.

ENVIRONMENT
The Snohomish River is a recognized habitat area for native salmon and as such falls under section 167 of the Revised Code of Washington. RCW 167 states that all jurisdictions shall use the best available science in regulating critical areas with policies that protect and enhance the habitat.

Floods are generally accepted as part of the natural weather cycle common to western Washington. Detrimental effects to the environment are normally associated with the secondary hazards associated with and caused by flooding.

Since these waterways are part of a larger natural system they may be vulnerable to contamination wastewater releases, not only from local floods, but also from flooding that may occur upstream from Everett. The principal point source would include the open aeration ponds of the Everett Wastewater Treatment Facility.

IMPACT SCENARIO
Flooding could originate from Puget Sound or the Snohomish River. Other sources of flooding could include isolated stormwater flooding within Everett.

Each source of flooding brings different characteristics. Depending on the type of flooding that occurs, the impacts will be different. Riverine flooding is most likely to occur in the winter months due to fresh snowmelt and this type of flooding does not pose as much of a threat to the city. It does pose a threat to the industrial and commercial areas along the Snohomish River floodplain and coastal floodplain bordering Puget Sound.

Flash flooding may occur on the very short drainages along the Cities Western bluffs. This type of flooding is more likely to occur in summer and fall months.
**Probability of Occurrence**

Flooding (coastal, stormwater, and riverine) is as commonly associated with severe weather events. The probability of occurrence is similar to that of severe weather. Climate change will not shift traditional flooding patterns. Annual discharges may not change with Climate Change, but the frequency of intense winter storms will. There will be more heavy rains and onshore surf. Upland forests will be stressed and die-offs may occur reducing storage and increasing the rate of discharge. Development within the floodplain areas will also increase the frequency of flood damage since more people and property will become exposed unless mitigation actions are undertaken to reduce flood risk.

**Issues**

Everett is a mature city with relatively few vacant or open parcels. A desire to continue to grow has focused attention on the possibility of development in the low-lying areas in and around the floodplain.

Historically, the risk of flooding to Everett-area properties has been low, even though there are some properties within the floodplain. Continuing this low level of risk is dependent upon maintaining the low density and low value of projects built within floodplain areas, and upon constructing new projects in a way that mitigates their flood risk.
SEVERE STORMS

DEFINITIONS

Severe Storms: Includes windstorms, tornados, ice and snowstorms, and thunderstorms.

Tornado: Severe weather event characterized by funnel clouds of varying sizes that generate high speed winds. They can affect areas that vary in width and length. Tornadoes can come from lines of cumulonimbus clouds or from a single storm cloud. They are measured using the Enhanced Fujita scale, which ranges from EF0, beginning with winds at 73 MPH, to EF5, with winds over 322 MPH.

Ice Storms/Freezing Rain: Ice storms occur when rain falls from warm moist upper layers of the atmosphere into a colder, drier layer near the ground. The rain freezes on contact with the cold ground and accumulates on exposed surfaces.

Windstorms: Severe weather events that can arise from several sources: southwesterly winds are associated with strong storms moving onto the coast from the Pacific Ocean. Southern winds parallel to the Cascade Mountains are the strongest and most destructive winds. Windstorms tend to damage ridgelines that face into the winds. There is a common pattern that begins with a strong Pacific storm that approaches Washington from the southwest. As the storm passes west of Washington, strong east winds increase along the eastern foothills. As the storm begins to move north, the Cascade winds decrease, but then the southeast winds increase along the coast and the north interior. Lastly, the storm moves inland, due north between the Cascade and Olympic Mountain range. Wind speeds increase because of topography between Seattle and Bellingham along the I-5 corridor. This scenario is a common source of windstorms in Everett.

Snowstorms: Severe weather events that are more frequent in the higher elevations of the eastern part of Snohomish County, but can occur in the lower elevations as well. In general, the Cascade Mountain Range acts as a barrier to cold air developing in the eastern part of the state. However, cooler air can enter the valley through low points or advance downriver. When this occurs, it can cause snowstorms in even the lower elevations of the county.

Thunderstorm: The most common of severe weather systems. Typically twenty-five kilometers in diameter and lasting thirty minutes from birth to decay, thunderstorms are underrated hazards. Lightning, which occurs with all thunderstorms, is a threat to human life. Heavy rains dumped in a small area over a very short time can lead to flash flooding. Strong winds, hail, and tornadoes are also dangers associated with thunderstorms.

Hailstorms: Hailstorms occur when freezing water in thunderstorm-type clouds accumulate in layers around an icy core. Wind added to hail could batter crops and structures, and impact traffic on most of the city’s transportation systems.

GENERAL BACKGROUND

Severe weather has historically been the most frequent natural hazard affecting the Puget Sound. As seen in Table 19, every year of the past fifteen years has had at least one recorded severe weather event. Severe weather can bring heavy rain, high winds, snow, and ice and can lead to storm surges that flood low lying and coastal areas. Severe weather can lead to secondary effects such as landslides and flooding from streams and drainage systems. Severe weather can also lead to fires caused by either ruptured gas lines or downed electrical lines, or even wildfires caused by lightning and high winds.

Snohomish County and Everett are subject to various local storms that affect the Pacific Northwest throughout the year, such as wind, snow, ice, hail, and, potentially, tornadoes. Although rare, tornadoes are the most violent weather
phenomena known to man. Their funnel shaped clouds rotate at velocities of up to 300 mile per hour and can affect areas up to a mile wide and sixteen miles long. Since 1950, several tornadoes have been reported in Snohomish County, with the most recent one in May 2005. The nature of the tornadoes in Washington is not as severe as the major tornadoes in the Midwest. Washington twisters are generally short in duration, small in diameter, and may only affect a few blocks of urbanized areas. The highest F-Class (Fujita) of tornado recorded in the county was F-2, recorded in both 1970 and 1986, with winds ranging from 113-157 miles per hour (MPH).

Snow storms or blizzards, which are snow storms accompanied by blowing wind or drifting snow, occur occasionally both in Washington State and Snohomish County.

LOCATION
Storms impact the entire region, with consequences for all of Everett and its environs. Everett lies within the convergence zone of air coming from the Pacific Ocean and around the Olympic Mountains. This wind pattern makes the area especially prone to extreme weather events. Figure 15 illustrates the wind patterns bringing many storms to Everett.

FREQUENCY
The National Climatic Data Center has collected information about past severe weather events in Snohomish County since 1950. The storm events (not including flooding, debris flow, or landslide) in the zones for Everett and Vicinity or Snohomish County that caused injury, loss of life or property damage are listed in further detail in the table below.40 Severe storms are likely to become more frequent as the impacts of climate change increase the probability of extreme weather events.41 As the events become more powerful and more frequent, mitigation efforts to reduce the risks they pose will also become more complex and more expensive.

---

TABLE 19: STORM INCIDENTS IN SNOHOMISH COUNTY 1970-2016\textsuperscript{42}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mag.</th>
<th>Deaths</th>
<th>Injuries</th>
<th>Damage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Snohomish Co.</td>
<td>11/24/1970</td>
<td>Tornado</td>
<td>F2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snohomish Co.</td>
<td>10/26/1971</td>
<td>Tornado</td>
<td>F1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marysville</td>
<td>9/15/1996</td>
<td>Lightning</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynnwood</td>
<td>4/10/1997</td>
<td>Lightning</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanwood</td>
<td>5/31/1997</td>
<td>Flood</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlington</td>
<td>8/6/1997</td>
<td>Lightning</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snohomish Co.</td>
<td>8/6/2004</td>
<td>Heavy Rain</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brier</td>
<td>9/1/2004</td>
<td>Lightning</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlington</td>
<td>5/18/2005</td>
<td>Tornado</td>
<td>F1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everett</td>
<td>9/9/2005</td>
<td>Lightning</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edmonds</td>
<td>10/1/2005</td>
<td>Lightning</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snohomish Co.</td>
<td>3/8/2006</td>
<td>Strong Wind</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snohomish Co.</td>
<td>11/26/2006</td>
<td>Heavy Snow</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snohomish Co.</td>
<td>12/14/2006</td>
<td>High Wind</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$5,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snohomish Co.</td>
<td>1/5/2007</td>
<td>Strong Wind</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snohomish Co.</td>
<td>2/28/2007</td>
<td>Heavy Snow</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snohomish Co.</td>
<td>3/11/2007</td>
<td>Strong Wind</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snohomish Co.</td>
<td>10/18/2007</td>
<td>High Wind</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynnwood</td>
<td>12/3/2007</td>
<td>Heavy Rain</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edmonds</td>
<td>11/4/2008</td>
<td>Lightning</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snohomish Co.</td>
<td>12/17/2008</td>
<td>Heavy Snow</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snohomish Co.</td>
<td>12/20/2008</td>
<td>Heavy Snow</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snohomish Co.</td>
<td>12/21/2008</td>
<td>Heavy Snow</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snohomish Co.</td>
<td>3/15/2009</td>
<td>Strong Wind</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snohomish Co.</td>
<td>3/20/2009</td>
<td>Strong Wind</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snohomish Co.</td>
<td>10/23/2009</td>
<td>Strong Wind</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snohomish Co.</td>
<td>1/18/2010</td>
<td>High Wind</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snohomish Co.</td>
<td>3/16/2010</td>
<td>Strong Wind</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snohomish Co.</td>
<td>4/2/2010</td>
<td>High Wind</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snohomish Co.</td>
<td>5/3/2010</td>
<td>Strong Wind</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maltby</td>
<td>12/14/2010</td>
<td>Thunderstorm Wind</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snohomish Co.</td>
<td>9/25/2011</td>
<td>Strong Wind</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snohomish Co.</td>
<td>11/22/2011</td>
<td>Strong Wind</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cicero</td>
<td>6/23/2012</td>
<td>Thunderstorm Wind</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snohomish Co.</td>
<td>1/11/2014</td>
<td>Strong Wind</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snohomish Co.</td>
<td>11/29/2014</td>
<td>Strong Wind</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snohomish Co.</td>
<td>8/29/2015</td>
<td>High Wind</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snohomish Co.</td>
<td>11/17/2015</td>
<td>High Wind</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$3,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snohomish Co.</td>
<td>3/10/2016</td>
<td>High Wind</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snohomish Co.</td>
<td>3/13/2016</td>
<td>High Wind</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{42} NOAA. “Storm Events Database.” Accessed online on October 5, 2017 from https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/.
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**Warning Time**
A meteorologist can often predict the onset of a severe storm. This can give several days of warning time. However, meteorologists cannot predict the exact time of onset, location or the severity of the storm. Some storms may develop quickly and have little to no warning time.

**Past Events**
Six major windstorms occurred in Western Washington between 1940 and 1993. The Tacoma Narrows Bridge (1940) and Hood Canal Bridge (1979) were blown down during two of these storms. However, the most severe windstorm to affect this region was the 1962 Columbus Day storm. Sustained winds over 85 MPH were recorded; forty-six (46) people died and 53,000 homes were damaged throughout the region.

**January 20, 1993 – The Inauguration Day Wind Storm**
- Federal Disaster #981: Stafford Act disaster assistance provided – $24.2 million.
- Snohomish County and the western part of the Puget Sound region were heavily impacted. High winds of 67 MPH at Everett and 60 MPH at SeaTac caused tremendous destruction of public and private structures, power and telephone lines, and trees. Damages to Puget Power facilities were estimated around $17 million.
- At least 79 homes were destroyed, 581 suffered major damage and 1,702 experienced minor damage. The wind sustained speeds in the mid 60’s in the Puget Sound region. Power was out for about 750,000 customers in the Puget Sound Area. A state of emergency was declared in the cities of Auburn, Bellevue, Normandy Park, Renton and Seattle, and the counties of King, Pierce, Snohomish, Thurston and Wahkiakum. Five (5) people were killed in King County.
- The Evergreen Point Floating Bridge sustained $500,000 in damage, and both of the Lake Washington floating bridges were closed due to unusually high waves at the height of the storm. Total loss for the State of Washington was estimated at $130 million. The storm is one of the most costly since the Columbus Day storm noted above, which cost $40 million in 1962.

**February 1996 – Storm with Widespread Flooding, Snowmelt, Mudslides in Washington, Oregon, and Idaho**
- Federal Disaster #1100. Stafford Act disaster assistance provided – $113 million. Small Business Administration disaster loans approved - $61.2 million. One of the top ten weather events in Washington during the twentieth century, according to the National Weather Service, Seattle Forecast Office.
- Heavy rainfall, mild temperatures and snowmelt caused flooding and mudslides in several counties throughout the state. This storm caused major flooding on rivers of western and southeast Washington. It was the highest flood of record for many southwest Washington rivers, including the Chehalis, Skookumchuck, and Nisqually.
- There were three (3) deaths, ten (10) people injured. Nearly 8,000 homes were damaged or destroyed. Traffic flow both east and west, and north and south along major highways was shut down for several days. An avalanche closed Interstate 90 at Snoqualmie Pass. Mudslides in Cowlitz County and flooding in Lewis County closed Interstate 5. Damage throughout the Pacific Northwest was estimated at $800 million.

**December 1996 - January 1997 – Ice, Wind, Flooding, Snow Loading, Landslides**
- Federal Disaster #1159. Stafford Act disaster assistance provided $83 million. Small Business Administration approved $31.7 million in loans.
- This storm, referred to in the media as the Holiday Blast, was a series of three weather systems that included severe snow, rain and ice followed by quick melting and runoff that caused flooding and landslides. The system...
dropped twelve to sixteen inches of snow in many areas of Puget Sound, causing road closures, school closures, and the loss of many man-hours at work. The storm had effects as far south as Portland, Oregon.

- There were a total of twenty-four (24) deaths; $140 million (est.) in insured losses; and 250,000 people who lost power.

**DECEMBER 14-15 2006 – HANUKKAH-EVE WINDSTORM**

- Hurricane-force winds reaching sixty-nine (69) miles per hour at Seattle-Tacoma International Airport. Wind speeds of sixty-six (66) miles per hour were recorded in Everett.\(^43\)

- A State of Emergency declared for seventeen (17) western Washington counties. The storm left fourteen (14) people dead in Washington from the effect of the storm and from carbon monoxide poisoning as residents brought outdoor grills inside for warmth.\(^44\) Four (4) others died in Oregon and British Columbia.

- 1.5 million Customers were left without power, some for up to eleven days. Damages exceeded $217 million in Washington and $316 million in the Cascadia region. The windstorm was the most damaging since the 1993 Inauguration Day storm.

**SECONDARY HAZARDS**

The most significant secondary hazards to severe local storms are floods, landslides, and electrical hazards, which may cause fires from downed power lines. Power lines may be downed due to high winds and other services, such as water or phone, may not be able to operate without power. Strong winds have been recorded at 77 knots in King County.

Two major concerns for snowfall are dangerous roadway conditions and collapse of structures due to heavy snow load on roofs. Roads may become impassable due to ice, snow, or from a secondary hazard such as a landslide.

Landslides may occur when the soil on slopes becomes oversaturated and fails. Lightning can cause severe damage and can be deadly.

Rapidly melting snow combined with heavy rain can overwhelm both natural and man-made drainage systems, causing overflow and property destruction. Seattle-Tacoma International Airport reported 5.02 inches of rain in a twenty-four hour period.\(^45\) This caused flooding problems for several homes as well as the closure of some sections of road.

Severe weather is also a secondary hazard of climate change as it is expected to increase in frequency and intensity as climate change progresses.

**EXPOSURE AND VULNERABILITY**

**POPULATION**

The entire city and residential population of Everett is exposed to severe weather events. Some areas have greater exposure to high winds due to their elevation.
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The commuting population is also exposed to severe weather. Falling or downed trees can create severe hazards on roadways. Snowy and icy road conditions can cause low visibility and fatal crashes.

The most vulnerable populations in severe weather are those over sixty-five, the disabled, small children and those on fixed incomes. These populations face isolation and exposure during severe weather events. The distribution of vulnerable cohorts is covered in the Community Profile section of this document.

**PROPERTY**

All property could be vulnerable during severe weather events, but those structures in poor condition or in particularly vulnerable locations risk the most damage. Structures on higher elevations and on ridges are more prone to wind damage. Also, those located under or near overhead lines or near large trees may be vulnerable to falling ice or may be damaged in the event of a collapse. Additionally, Everett has experienced structure loss due to snow loading in the past, and the accumulation of snow on flat-roofed buildings remains a concern.

**ENVIRONMENT**

Severe weather events are generally accepted as a naturally occurring event. Natural habitats such as streams, ponds, and trees are exposed to the elements during a severe storm and risk major damage and destruction. Storm drainage runoff and a potential hazardous material release as a secondary hazard may contribute to the potential for environmental degradation in incidents of severe weather.

**CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE**

**TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS**

Local roads, particularly those on steeper slopes, are vulnerable to severe weather. These roads can become icy or impassable in severe weather events and may cause isolation issues for Everett. Snow or ice storms may delay local air traffic. Icy conditions on roads may cause traffic back-ups or street closures.

**ELECTRICITY AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS**

Power lines, phone lines, and cable lines are vulnerable to high winds, ice storms and tornadoes. Overhead lines that intersect with tree limbs are particularly vulnerable to damage in the event of high winds or ice if those limbs collapse or are blown down. Loss of power and heat can be secondary effects of these severe storms and may leave certain populations more exposed. This could also lead to isolation issues if residents are unable to call for assistance.

**IMPACT SCENARIO**

The impact of severe weather on Everett would be dependent upon the nature of the event. Typically severe weather events are more common, and therefore community and personal recovery tends to be easier. Although these events can cause widespread inconvenience and costly damage, they are, historically, less hazardous to human life.

The effects upon Snohomish County of a strong thunderstorm, tornado, windstorm, or ice storm are likely to be similar: fallen trees, downed power lines, interruption of transportation lifelines, and damaged homes and public buildings. Fatalities are uncommon in western Washington, but they do occur. The usual effects of a tornado are roads blocked by debris and extensive power outages. In the case of extremely high winds some buildings may be damaged or destroyed. Due to the typically short warning period, livestock are commonly the victims of a tornado or windstorm.

If a major tornado struck a populated area in Snohomish County, damage could be widespread. Businesses could be forced to close for an extended period or permanently, fatalities could be high, many people could be homeless for an extended period, and routine services such as telephone or power could be disrupted.
The effects of an ice storm or snowstorm are downed power lines and trees and a large increase in traffic accidents. These storms can cause death by exposure, heart failure due to shoveling or other strenuous activity, traffic accidents (over 85% of ice storm deaths are caused by traffic accidents), and carbon monoxide poisoning when outdoor heaters and generators are brought indoors.

**Probability of Occurrence**

The National Climactic Data Center (NCDC) for Snohomish County has recorded thirty-nine (39) storm events (not including flooding, debris flow, or landslide) in the zones for Everett and Vicinity or Snohomish County that caused injury, loss of life or property damage.

**Issues**

One of the major issues of concern in severe weather conditions is isolation due to impassability of bridges that lead to and from Everett. This could limit access for emergency personnel during these types of events.

Power supplies are also at risk during events. Their redundancy and the availability of generators could be important.

Older structures not built to code are more vulnerable to severe weather events, especially roof-collapse from snow accumulation and from windstorms.
CLIMATE CHANGE

DEFINITIONS

Climate Change: Changes in climate patterns at a local, regional or global scale.

Climate: Measures of temperature, humidity, precipitation, atmospheric pressure, and other meteorological conditions over a long period of time in a given area. This data is useful in establishing predictable patterns useful for organizing human endeavors in an area.

Weather: A short term or current measurement of meteorological conditions in an area.

Global Warming: A measured increase in global temperatures over the past decades.

Greenhouse Gas: A gas that absorbs and emits infrared radiation, including water vapor, carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, and ozone. These gases trap heat entering the earth’s atmosphere and warm the planet.

GENERAL BACKGROUND

Climate change is a term referring to a global shift in climate patterns that has been occurring for decades. Recently, scientific consensus on this phenomenon is that emissions of some greenhouse gases are accelerating due, in large part, to human activity. These gases trap heat in our atmosphere, leading to global warming. The excess heat in the atmosphere has led to numerous shifts in climate, and thus to shifts in weather patterns that lead to various smaller consequences, including increased evaporation and subsequent precipitation, earlier snowmelt, and shifting seasonal changes. Figure 18 shows the historical and projected changes in carbon dioxide, a greenhouse gas.

**Figure 18: Historical Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide Concentrations**

![Figure 18: Historical Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide Concentrations](https://climate.nasa.gov/evidence/)

---

46 NASA. "Climate Change: How do we Know?" Accessed on October 23, 2017 from [https://climate.nasa.gov/evidence/](https://climate.nasa.gov/evidence/)
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Figure 19 shows the historical change in three greenhouse gases.

The University of Washington’s Climate Impacts Group has identified several indications that the climate of Washington State is already changing, including increased average temperatures, the retreat of glaciers and decrease in snowpack over the last few decades. The Pacific Northwest’s temperature has increased about +1.3°F from 1895 to 2011.

Impacts of climate change are already being measured in the Pacific Northwest. Glaciers and snowpack in the Cascades have been in retreat in the last few decades. The map below shows changes in the measured snowmelt on April 1 from 1950 to 2002.

Climate change is a significant hazard for Everett, but its impact will be felt most as it influences the frequency and severity of other known hazards. The nature of climate change also makes it more difficult to predict the patterns of future climatic events.

**LOCATION**

---

Climate change may influence the location of other hazard events in Everett. For instance, increased temperatures may make the threat of fires more widespread. Increased severe weather events and heat waves will impact all of Everett.

**FREQUENCY**
The frequency of other hazard events that already impact Everett may be influenced by climate change. Seasonal events may shift in timeframe. Reduced snowpack and a shift from snow to rain in winter may reduce the incidence of rain on snow winter flooding events, but more flooding may occur later in the spring.\(^{51}\) Severe storms may occur more frequently.

**SEVERITY**
Climate change could influence the frequency and severity of other hazards mentioned in this HIVA, including severe storms and flooding. Increased summer temperatures will increase the risk of fires, especially wildfires. While it is difficult to predict the long-term severity of climate change impacts to Everett, it is likely that the nearest-term impacts will be through the increase in the frequency and severity of hazards as well as through the appearance of new hazards such as drought and heat waves.

**SECONDARY HAZARDS**
Increases in average temperatures expand the habitat range of invasive species, which could damage forests and spread diseases, including West Nile virus and Lyme disease. These changes in habitat may also change the influence of endangered or economically important local species, such as salmon.

One hazard not already introduced in this report that will increase with climate change is the hazard of heat waves. Temperatures will become higher for longer time periods during summer months. Heat waves kill more people than all other weather events, and the most impacted are the young, elderly, sick, or overweight individuals in a population.\(^{52}\)

In many ways, hazards such as severe weather, landslides, fire, flooding, and pandemics can all be considered secondary hazards to climate change. Severe weather will be more common and damaging due to the increased warming of the atmosphere (the input of energy into a system produces ‘work’, in this case in the form of weather). In the Pacific Northwest, most models predict summer will be drier on average and other seasons will have more rain. More frequent and intense event will increase in the area.\(^ {53}\) Increases in severe weather events mean more heavy downpours, which increases the risk of flooding and landslides. Fire risk is directly related to temperature and precipitation, and as droughts begin to appear in western Washington along with higher temperatures, fires will be more common. The weather conditions that facilitate the spread of disease and pandemics, such as those mentioned above, are also related to climate change.

**EXPOSURE AND VULNERABILITY**

**Population**


City of Everett 2018 Hazard Inventory and Vulnerability Analysis- 65
The entire population is exposed to risks posed by climate change. Those elements of the population most at risk from weather-related events, such as the young, the sick, and the elderly, are also most vulnerable to climate change impacts.

**PROPERTY**
Severe weather-related events are already a leading cause of property damage, and the amount of damage is expected to increase as the frequency and severity of events increases.

**CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE**

**WATER**
Everett obtains its water from reservoirs fed by snowmelt. As climate change reduces snowpack in the Cascade Range, the water system will be threatened. More water runoff will occur earlier in the year when it is in low demand and less will be available in the summer when demand is higher.\(^54\) A decrease in snowpack is already being observed throughout the Pacific Northwest.\(^55\)

**TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS**
Sea-level rise may impact the Port of Everett and endanger its facilities. Furthermore, increases in river peak flow will cause an increase in the sedimentation of the port area and necessitate additional dredging.

**HEALTH AND HEALTHCARE**
The potential increase in heat waves and other hazards will add stress to healthcare resources and increase the risk of already vulnerable elements of the population to hospitalization for weather and heat-related illnesses and injuries.

**ENVIRONMENT**
Climate change may unbalance delicate ecosystems, including salmon habitats. Increased temperatures will shift seasons, and may inhibit the growth and life cycles of native species and encourage the spread of non-native species to areas previously unsuited for them. Nutrient concentrations in oceans and lakes may shift, which further disrupts food supplies and habitats.\(^56\)

**IMPACT SCENARIO**
The July 2010 heat wave in Russia illustrated some of the complicated interaction between unpredictable or extreme changes in climate and the hazards they directly or indirectly impact. July 2010 was the warmest month in western Russia in the last 130 years. The heat and lack of rainfall created drought conditions that aided the spread of wildfires. The death toll from this event may have been as high as 15,000. There was an almost two-fold increase in the natural death rate for that time period, with deaths resulting from the fires, as well as from the poor air quality caused by those fires.\(^57\) While western Washington and western Russia have different climate conditions, increased heat waves may be expected to bring similar consequences if they occur in this area.

---

ISSUES

Our climate is changing and there is agreement among the scientific community that, as a result, the frequency of each of the following impacts is increasing:

- Higher intensity storms
- Hotter and dryer summers
- Increased coastal flooding
- Change in flood return intervals in watersheds
- Cascade drainages having higher winter and lower summer flows
- Additional stresses to biological natural systems, including forest regeneration
- Insects and disease vector introduction
LANDSLIDES, MASS MOVEMENTS, AND SINKHOLES

DEFINITIONS

Landslide: Sliding movement of masses of loosened rock and soil down a hillside or slope. Slope failures occur when the strength of the soils forming the slope cannot hold up to the pressure, such as weight or saturation, acting upon them.

Mass Movement: A collective term for landslides, debris flows, falls, and sinkholes.

Rotational-Translational slides: A type of landslide characterized by the deep failure of slopes, resulting in the flow of large amounts of soil and rock. In general, they occur in cohesive slide masses and are usually saturated clayey soils. These types of slides are sometimes referred to as ‘deep-seated’ landslides.

Rock falls: A type of landslide that typically occurs on rock slopes greater than 40% near ridge crests, artificially cut slopes, and slopes undercut by active erosion.

Mudslide, Mudflow or Debris Flow: A river of rock, earth, organic matter and other materials saturated with water.

Sinkhole: A collapsed depression in the ground with no visible outlet. Its drainage is subterranean and its size is typically measured in meters or tens of meters. It is commonly vertical-sided or funnel-shaped.

GENERAL BACKGROUND

Landslides are caused by one or a combination of the following factors: change in slope gradient, increase in the load the land must bear, shocks and vibrations, change in water content, ground water movement, frost action, weathering of rocks, or removal of or change in the type of vegetation that covers slopes.

“By geologic standards, Seattle’s (Puget Sound’s) landscape is very, very young. Just 14,000 years ago, the land the city sits on was still under 3,000 feet of ice, part of the Ice Age’s titanic Vashon Glacier, which extended from Canada to south of Olympia. When the ice melted, sea level rose 300 feet and filled the trough the ice had carved, creating Puget Sound. The region is still witnessing the erosion and settling that has followed that tumultuous episode.”58 In the Puget Sound lowlands, landslide events occur annually.

The soil covering much of the Puget Sound lowlands, including Everett, was left behind by the Vashon Glacier and is prone to slides. The top layer, Vashon till, is a stable mix of rocks, dirt, clay and sand that has the consistency of concrete and can be found to depths up to thirty feet. The next layer, Esperance sand, is a permeable mixture of sand and gravel. This sits upon an impermeable layer of Lawton clay, made up of fine sediments and large boulders. It is this boundary between the clay and sand in which sliding occurs; water percolates through the sand and runs laterally on top of the denser clay. “The build-up of water pressure floats the sand above the clay, creating lubrication for a deep-seated slide.”59

Landslide hazard areas occur where the land has certain characteristics that contribute to the risk of the downhill movement of material. These characteristics include:

- A slope greater than or equal to 25%, which is generally defined as an “environmentally sensitive area” (40% as defined in the Everett Municipal Code)

• Landslide activity or movement that occurred during the last 10,000 years
• Stream or wave activity, which has caused erosion, undercut a bank or cut into a bank to cause the surrounding land to be unstable
• The presence or potential for snow avalanches
• The presence of an alluvial fan, which indicates vulnerability to the flow of debris or sediments
• The presence of impermeable soils, such as silt or clay, which are mixed with granular soils such as sand and gravel

**LOCATION**

Four types of landslides can potentially affect Puget Sound lowlands, including Everett. They are: deep-seated, shallow, bench and large slides. Figure 21 indicates landslide hazard areas in Everett. Figure 22 and Figure 23 show facility, property, and infrastructure exposure to landslide hazards in Everett.

Figures 27a through 27d show the different kinds of landslides.\(^6\) Puget Sound’s shoreline contains many large, deep-seated dormant landslides. Shallow slides are the most common type of mass movement in Puget Sound. Occasionally large catastrophic slides occur on Puget Sound.

---

EVERETT LANDSLIDE HAZARD AREAS
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FIGURE 23: CRITICAL FACILITIES AND RESIDENTIAL PARCELS IN LANDSLIDE AREAS

Critical Facilities and Residential Parcels in Landslide Areas

Map Created By: City of Everett Public Works Utility Mapping. 10/27/2017
FOR REFERENCE USE ONLY
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FREQUENCY

Landslides are often triggered by other natural hazards such as earthquakes, heavy rain, floods or wildfires (through erosion), with heavy rain and other severe weather events triggering most of the landslides. The winter of 1996 and 1997 is a recent example of widespread landslides triggered largely by heavy rains.

This illustrates the very real possibility of widespread landslide hazards as triggered by severe storm conditions, a natural hazard with a high frequency. The frequency of landslides is highly variable, though there is an observable seasonal bias, with more events in the wetter winter and spring months. Storms and heavy rainfall (which becomes infiltrated groundwater) triggered significant numbers of landslides in 1972, 1986, 1990, 1996, 1997 and 2006. The railway on the west coast of Everett is often impacted by fallen debris or landslides, usually after periods of prolonged precipitation, causing interruption of transport and passenger service.
SEVERITY
Landslides can cause fatalities, as well as destroy property, infrastructure, and transportation systems. Slope failures in the United States result in an average of twenty-five to fifty lives lost per year, and an annual cost to society of over $2.0 billion annually.61

WARNING TIME
Landslides can occur either very suddenly or slowly. Land movement that occurs extremely slowly, with a low level of immediate impact, is sometimes referred to as creep. There is no way to predict when or where a specific landslide will occur, but it is possible to determine what areas are at risk during general time periods. Assessing the topography, geology, vegetation cover, and amount of predicted precipitation for a given area can help in making these predictions.

PAST EVENTS
In January 1997, a massive landslide in Snohomish County pushed five freight cars into Puget Sound and knocked out a hundred yards of track used regularly by Sounder, Amtrak, and Burlington Northern rail lines. The Woodway landslide moved some 100,000 cubic meters of material over the BNSF line, missing a 650-person Amtrak passenger car that had passed the area of the slide just two hours earlier.

In March 2011, a landslide in the Valley View neighborhood of Everett claimed two homes and at the time of this writing is endangering at least one more. The slide, near Burl Avenue and Panaview Boulevard, appeared after heavy rains weakened the already-unstable slopes in the at-risk area. Damages are expected to run into the hundreds of thousands of dollars.

On March 22, 2014, the SR-530 slide caused 43 fatalities in Oso, located along the Stillaguamish River of Snohomish County. It caused 43 deaths and is Snohomish County’s largest and most tragic landslide.62 The slide blocked SR-530, the primary access route for many communities along the Stillaguamish, restricting access for months.63

While the GIS analysis of landslide-prone areas in Everett is based on soil types and slope grades, it is also important to consider historic slides. For this reason, the following figures have been included as background material in this document. The Unstable Recent Slide and Unstable Old Slide categories are of special interest in profiling the landslide hazard. These maps also illustrate the heavily modified nature of the Everett coast.

---

FIGURE 25: A) LANDSLIDE PRONE AREAS – NORTH EVERETT

SECONDARY HAZARDS

Landslides can typically cause several secondary effects. They can block egress and ingress on roads, which has the potential to cause isolation for affected residents and businesses. Roadway blockages caused by landslides can also create traffic problems resulting in delays for commercial, public, and private transportation, which could result in economic losses for businesses. A landslide can also block the Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railroad, which could result in a release of hazardous materials, or an urban or wildland fire.

Other potential problems resulting from landslides are power and communication failures. Vegetation on slopes or slopes supporting power poles can be knocked over, resulting in possible losses of power and communication lines. This, in turn, creates communication and power isolation. Landslides have the potential for destabilizing the foundation of structures that could result in monetary loss for owners.

---

While they are a normal occurrence of geological processes, it is possible for landslides to have a major effect on ecological systems. Landslides can damage rivers or streams, potentially harming water quality, fisheries, and spawning habitat.

Additionally, landslides can cause fires if gas lines are disturbed or ruptured. Conversely, fires, and the loss of vegetation can trigger landslides, since the anchoring qualities of vegetation are lost when the plants are damaged.

**EXPOSURE AND VULNERABILITY**

**POPULATION**
The steep slopes found in Everett are primarily located along the edges of the city as the landscape slopes down to either the Puget Sound and Everett waterfront or the Snohomish River floodplain. For the most part, landslide-prone areas follow along the general location of the E soils, with the toe of the steep slopes located in E soils.

The vulnerable population in this case is the population living in homes at the toes of the slopes that are located on E soils, as well as the buildings located at the tops of steep slopes that could possibly collapse in the event of an earthquake or heavy rains. Figure 23 shows residential parcels, schools and other critical facilities located in landslide hazard areas.

**PROPERTY**
GIS analysis showed that there are 1,895 parcels that fall on or within a hazardous slope area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parcel Count By Land Use</th>
<th>Slope Class</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land Use (Code)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential (100)</td>
<td>687</td>
<td>1087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing (200 &amp; 300)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation and Utility (400)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services (500 &amp; 600)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks (700)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Space (900)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>737</td>
<td>1158</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Improvement Value By Land Use</th>
<th>Slope Class</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land Uses (Code)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential (100)</td>
<td>$209,105,600</td>
<td>$296,756,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing (200 &amp; 300)</td>
<td>$734,987,600</td>
<td>$27,396,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation and Utility (400)</td>
<td>$12,932,400</td>
<td>$18,372,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services (500 &amp; 600)</td>
<td>$111,554,600</td>
<td>$38,860,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks (700)</td>
<td>$528,800</td>
<td>$26,501,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Space (900)</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$210,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>$1,069,110,000</td>
<td>$408,099,100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to building structures in the hazard area, the transportation network is also prone to damage from landslide hazards. There are quite a few streets in Everett that are both exposed and vulnerable. Additionally, many segments of
the BNSF railway cross over landslide-prone area. Figure 22 illustrates the spatial distribution of streets, bridges, and arterial segments in Everett that are vulnerable to landslide hazards. The street and arterial groups are non-exclusive.

ENVIRONMENT
Landslides may pose a threat to the environment through a hazardous materials release due to a Tier II facility, road or railway being damaged during a landslide. Of the Tier II Facilities in Everett, nine (nearly 25%) are in landslide-prone areas.

CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE

TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS
Major transportation routes could be exposed to landslide hazards.

Along Possession Sound, the western edge of Everett, the BNSF rail line is exposed to landslide prone areas as it heads south out of the city. Additionally, the rail lines that cross the eastern section of the city, near the Snohomish River floodplain, are also exposed to the same landslide hazard.

Not only can a landslide disrupt service, but it can cause train derailments, which can lead to a secondary hazard of a hazardous materials release and fire. BNSF has had problems with slides for many years. They have installed landslide alarms on some sections of the track between Seattle and Everett. The alarms consist of two-strand wire fences that, when triggered, turn all the lights red on that section of track and stop the trains. Employees then physically check what triggered the alarm to determine whether it is safe to proceed. This system is designed to help prevent train derailments when a landslide occurs.

Critical systems, such as water mains, transmission lines, etc. are potentially vulnerable to damage as they cross over landslide-prone areas.

Figure 22 illustrates the spatial distribution of arterials in Everett vulnerable to landslide hazards. Table 22 details the street and arterial segments in Everett that are exposed and vulnerable to landslide hazards. Street and arterial designations are non-exclusive groupings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 22: Street Segment Exposure to Landslide Areas in Everett</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Street Landslide Exposure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Segments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Length (FT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Length (FT)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WATER AND ELECTRICITY
Utility transmission lines (both above and below ground) located in areas prone to landslides may make populations serviced by these transmission lines vulnerable to service interruption.

IMPACT SCENARIO

Everett’s steep slopes could be an issue if there was an earthquake in the area that caused slope failure or if there were heavy rains that caused the slopes to slump. Steep slope failure could cause significant damage to structures built at the toe of the slope, and also to transportation systems, creating isolation issues. Another possible scenario is a landslide that causes the derailment of a train carrying hazardous materials.
A more common scenario is a landslide that occurs during, or more likely a few days or weeks after, a severe storm that saturates the ground. This shallow slide could damage some homes and some underground infrastructure. Some roads are likely to be blocked.

A worst-case scenario is a large slide where a large mass of land fails along the developed bluffs of Everett, destroying homes, streets, and the railroad tracks. If it happens as a train is passing, this could also derail a train carrying hazardous materials, which could then be released into Puget Sound or the surrounding area. The prevailing winds would be likely to carry the chemical plume into downtown Everett.

**ISSUES**

The time of occurrence of a landslide is crucial in determining vulnerable residential populations’ level of risk. A landslide occurring at night could potentially have devastating effects on vulnerable populations.

Evacuation routes and isolation from landslide blockages of roads or rail lines are another major issue. Consideration must be given to the identification of multiple evacuation and response routes for the many areas of the city prone to landslides.

Landslides are dangerous secondary hazards to other events including earthquakes and severe weather. If climate change causes an increase in the number and severity of severe weather events, the probability of landslide occurrence will also increase.
HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

DEFINITIONS

Brownfield: Properties that are abandoned or under-used because of historic environmental contamination.

Extremely Hazardous Substances: List of substances deemed extremely hazardous under Section 312 of Title III (see below). It is based on the list of substances published in November 1985 by the Administrator in Appendix A of the “Chemical Emergency Preparedness Program Interim Guidance”.

Hazardous Materials: Sometimes referred to as ‘hazmat’, refers to materials that have chemical, physical, or biological natures that threaten life, health or the environment when released. There are several properties or qualities that make a material hazardous, including flammability, combustibility, corrosiveness, chemical reactivity, toxicity, radioactivity and explosive potential. Hazardous materials can also possess a biological agent threat.

Tier II Facilities: Facilities storing chemicals are required, under Section 312 of Title III (see below), to provide specific information about the chemicals that they are storing onsite to the State Emergency Response Commission, Local Emergency Planning Committees, and local fire department. The threshold levels for reporting chemicals stored onsite is either the Threshold Planning Quantity (TPQ) or 500 pounds at any one time, whichever is less for Extremely Hazardous Substances; or 10,000 pounds at any one time for Hazardous Materials.

GENERAL BACKGROUND

Hazardous materials can be released through spills, leaks, emissions of toxic vapors, or any other process that enables the material to escape its container and enter the environment. Hazardous material incidents that result in a release can cause significant damage to people, the environment and the ecosystem. The impact of hazardous materials incidents depends on the quantity and physical properties of the release, the type of incident that occurred, and its proximity to exposures. Concerns associated with a hazardous material incident include the evacuation of vulnerable populations, clean up and recovery efforts, and the short- and long-term economic impact on vulnerable spaces.

TITLE III

In 1986, Congress enacted the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA) as part of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA) as a result of public concern about hazardous material and chemical accidents. This act, known as Title III, establishes requirements for federal, state, and local governments as well as for industry regarding emergency response planning and the public’s right to know about hazardous chemicals in their community. The State of Washington has adopted the Federal Title III law and regulations (WAC Chapter 118-40). Title III requires that all facilities or businesses that have reportable quantities of certain chemicals must complete a Tier II Emergency and Hazardous Chemical Inventory report. Each facility does this for each type of Tier II chemical that is present. This must be given to the LEPC, the local fire department and the Washington Department of Ecology.

LOCATION

Everett has had a long history of industry, with early settlers moving to the peninsula to utilize the vast natural resources the Puget Sound offers. By the late 1800s and early 1900s, Everett's business practice dedicated its waterfront to industrial uses. With the presence of the railroad, the introduction of pulp and paper manufacturing in the early 1900s, the addition of Boeing manufacturing in the 1960s and the U.S. Navy Carrier Lincoln Homeport construction and designation in the 1990s, Everett now boasts a diverse economic base built on its early industrial heritage.
A hazardous materials release can occur from two sources:

- Fixed sites (facilities that process or store hazardous materials on site)
- Transportation related operations

Because of the industrial, commercial and manufacturing nature of Everett’s economic base, and the presence of practically every form of transportation network, the potential for a Tier II release or a transportation-related release of hazardous materials is high.

**EVERETT LANDFILL**

The Everett Landfill, closed since 1975, has recently been a site of several new developments. In 1998, after many actions to clean up potential leachate and the aftermath of several tire fires in the 1980s, the City of Everett received a grant from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency to evaluate the site for “Brownfield” redevelopment opportunities. New development in the tire fire area on the Simpson Mill site, but there are methane issues to consider with the capped landfill.

**INDUSTRY**

Everett is a city built on industry. The standard industrial practices of previous time periods have created enormous human and environmental costs for later generations.

As an example, arsenic, lead and other metals have contaminated an approximate 600-acre site located in northeast Everett. The contamination was caused by emissions from the Everett Smelter between 1894 and 1912, and by material left behind when the smelter was demolished between 1912 and 1915. Asarco purchased the Everett Smelter in 1904 and assumed operations at that time. The property was sold in various parcels between 1915 and 1936, and homes were built on many of the parcels.

A Washington State Department of Ecology Order, entered in Snohomish County Superior Court (June 2002), mandated the removal and cover of the most contaminated areas.

About 700 residential properties were potentially affected by smelter contamination in the Upland Area.

Cleanup is complete, or we have determined through sampling that no cleanup is needed, for 378 properties. American Legion Park was also cleaned up in 2015.

Washington State Department of Ecology will continue property cleanups as funding becomes available. Between cleanups, Ecology is sampling properties within the cleanup site boundary to determine the extent of contamination. They have sampled soil on 480 properties (including those that have been cleaned up)

**PORT OF EVERETT AND WATERFRONT**

The Port’s terminals include concrete decks and piers, a refrigerated warehouse, a log yard, an intermodal container facility and a 55,000-ton alumina storage dome. The large, chilled warehouse facility utilizes ammonia and other chemicals. Everett Marina, one of the largest small boat harbors on the West Coast, is a potential source of small (usually less than five gallons) fuel spills. The proximity of hundreds of small boats with varying fuel tank capacities presents the potential for frequent minor spills, as well as for a major marina fire.

The U.S. Naval Station at Everett is home port to a number of assigned surface vessels. The base has a Marine Spill Response Team that is capable of rapidly and effectively handling accidental releases on the facility.
UTILITIES AND TRANSMISSION LINES
There are two major pipelines crossing north-south through Snohomish County just east of Everett. The BP-Olympic pipeline carries gas, diesel, or aviation fuel. The Williams pipeline carries natural gas. Both pipelines cross under the Snohomish River which feeds into Port Gardner Bay on the north end of Everett.

TIER II FACILITIES
There are 91 Tier II facilities (46 with Extremely Hazardous Substances) within Everett’s city limits and over 380 (174 with Extremely Hazardous Substances) within Snohomish County. A list of Tier II Facilities is available through the Snohomish County LEPC (Local Emergency Planning Committee). Many of the Tier II facilities in Everett are smaller and do not pose a serious threat to a wide area.

Most transport of hazardous materials through Snohomish County and Everett is accomplished either by rail or by I-5, the major interstate route on the west coast.

The BNSF railroad travels along two separate routes through Everett. One line travels east-west through downtown Everett. This line travels through the BNSF tunnel between California Street & Hewitt Avenue from Oakes Avenue to West Marine View Drive and then west along Everett’s waterfront. The second line travels along the Snohomish River north, “around the horn,” with the line splitting, with one line going south to Seattle and one line going north to Canada. This second line intersects the first line at the Everett waterfront and then follows the Puget Sound shoreline to Seattle.

The Seattle Sounder uses the BNSF line through an agreement among Burlington Northern Railroad, Amtrak, Snohomish County and Sound Transit. The Everett Transit Station for the Sounder and Amtrak is located on 3201 Smith Avenue. This represents the main passenger terminal along the BNSF rail line in Everett.

This east-west rail corridor is also the location of U.S. Route 2 as it travels east over the Cascade Mountains. BNSF and the LEPC have information on how much hazardous material is transported on this railway. This rail line is a major thoroughfare, taking materials from Everett to eastern Washington and beyond.

A significant percentage of the material hauled by rail into Snohomish County travels from Tacoma to Everett. The chemicals carried along this route include chlorine, caustic soda, anhydrous ammonia, and methanol. The most common materials shipped through Snohomish County include LPG, vinyl chloride, methanol, and motor fuel anti-knock compound. The east/west rail corridor typically carries lesser amounts of methanol, chlorine, and LPG. The I-5, US-2, SR-99 and SR-526 corridors handle most of the roadway hazmat transport in Snohomish County. However, much of this traffic may subsequently travel short distances along a few heavily used arterials serving the Port of Everett, Boeing, and other industrial areas.

There are two major rail yards in Everett. Delta Yard and the West Yard, located along Everett’s waterfront handle mixed traffic, which include cargo, passenger trains, and garbage. At the Delta yard, there are two large propane tanks. Hazardous materials that pass through the yards could pose a hazard to the surrounding area in the event of an accidental release.

Some of the other materials passing through the county via the highway system could potentially include low-level radioactive wastes, Class C explosives, blasting agents, corrosives, and other hazardous materials. Marine transport is responsible for a very small percentage of the county’s total tonnage of hazardous materials.

Transport of hazardous materials by air is essentially confined to the actual fuels and lubricating fluids carried on board aircraft as a normal function of flight operations. While Paine Field Airport does have a significant air traffic load, the total quantities of non-fuel substances are relatively small. Paine Field has 2.3 million gallons of aviation fuel stored on site to service the approximately 500 aircraft using the airport daily.
**FREQUENCY**
The probability of a hazardous materials release in Everett is higher than the rest of Snohomish County. Over half of the Tier II Facilities in the county are located in Everett. Additionally, the confluence of major transportation and rail lines in the city, as well as the location of the Port of Everett along Everett’s waterfront, increases the potential of a hazardous materials incident from a transportation related accident.

Within Washington State, Snohomish County’s Local Emergency Planning Committee (LEPC) jurisdictional area contains the second most Tier II reporting facilities (following King County).67 The Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) collects chemical release information for reporting facilities. In total for 2015, the latest date for which data is currently available, a total of 237,158 pounds of hazardous materials were released into the air and water by Snohomish County facilities. The top five chemicals released to air are methyl isobutyl ketone, toluene, styrene, certain glycol ethers, and phenol. The top three releases to water (accounting for only 40 pounds of the 237,158 pounds released in total) are copper, zinc compounds, and nitrate compounds.68

The closing of the Kimberly-Clark site, located in Everett, greatly reduced the release amount for the county.

**SEVERITY**
Hazardous material releases can be divided into three categories. These categories are based on the severity of the incident and the emergency response that is warranted by each.69

- A minor incident can be safely cleaned up and managed by one or two people.
- An isolated incident is one that only affects a single area but has to be handled by more than two people.
- A large-scale incident affects large areas and requires immediate response regardless of the quantity involved in the incident.

Hazardous materials releases can affect both human and ecological health. The severity depends on the type and amount of the chemical released and the effects range from minor to catastrophic.

**WARNING TIME**
Hazardous materials releases can occur at any time without warning. Once the release has occurred the potentially affected areas may have little or no warning time, depending on which chemical was released and the method by which the chemical will travel. The initial identification of specific hazardous materials types can increase response capabilities.

**SECONDARY HAZARDS**
Hazardous materials incidents can produce a variety of secondary effects. Fires resulting from hazardous materials releases are the most significant secondary hazard. Additionally, hazardous materials releases could be a secondary hazard of other natural hazards. This may include earthquakes, landslides, severe storms, flooding, and urban or wildland fires.

Hazardous materials incidents can have a significant effect on the environment. Releases into the environment have the potential to significantly damage soils, water quality, wildlife habitat, and vegetation. Harm to protected areas and
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streams, as well as critical habitat for threatened or endangered species is likely. Processes to clean up hazardous materials releases are often costly and time consuming, resulting in severe environmental and economic impacts.

**Exposure and Vulnerability**

**Population**
In the event of a hazardous materials exposure, there could be several populations that would be at risk, depending on the type of event that initiated the exposure:

- In the event of a train derailment in the city, several blocks of downtown and the north end of the city could be vulnerable to exposure. The time of day would be a significant factor in determining the impacts to the population.

- An event at a Tier II facility along the waterfront could not only affect Everett, but potentially many other areas along the Puget Sound. Because most of Everett’s waterfront is dedicated to industry, residential exposure may be limited. The potential redevelopment and inclusion of residential structures in the marina may force planning efforts to consider the future risk associated with these populations, as well as small, populations living on boats in the marina.

- An event that impacts pipelines (such as a natural gas line) may have widespread effects, but not necessarily on any specific population. Most damage would be to the environment and the exposed population in the immediate vicinity of the event.

- If a Tier II facility or hazardous materials pipeline is located upstream from a particular residential cluster, the population downstream may be at a higher risk of exposure from water-borne contaminants.

- Populations upwind (generally east of the Puget Sound) from a potential airborne chemical release may be at a higher risk as the airborne plume moves outward from the source.

The most vulnerable populations are those located in relatively close proximity (quarter mile) to Tier II facilities, although once pollutants are introduced to natural systems, such as air and water, they can be spread to surrounding areas very quickly. Furthermore, those populations living on or near facilities situated on poor soils are at an elevated level of risk.

Populations located downstream and downwind from Tier II facilities are more vulnerable to the effects of hazardous materials.

**Property**
It is difficult to assess the vulnerability of properties located near Tier II facilities without knowing what kind of hazardous material is being released and in what quantity, although it can be assumed that those located closer to Tier II facilities would incur the most damage. In determining vulnerability, we generalized that the area within a quarter-mile radius from the source of the event would incur the highest level of exposure. While hazardous materials releases can vary in the means of emission, quantity, and timing, this planning tool was used to set geographic limits on the planning and response efforts for future mitigation plan development.

For the 2011 update, the number of parcels within a quarter mile of a Tier II facility or railway was confirmed, and the total land value was updated.

There are 6,612 parcels located within a quarter mile of the railroad that runs through Everett. The structures on the vulnerable properties and their inhabitants could be exposed to a higher risk of effect from hazardous materials at the time of release. Table 23 details the potential number of properties at risk to hazardous materials.
TABLE 23: PARCELS WITHIN ¼ MILE OF TIER II FACILITIES IN EVERETT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>¼ Mile Distance from Tier II Facility or Railway</th>
<th>Number of Parcels</th>
<th>Improvement Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11,804</td>
<td></td>
<td>$8,786,197,915</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CRITICAL FACILITIES**

Transportation infrastructure such as Interstate 5, the Port of Everett, and the BNSF railway are used to transport hazardous materials. Therefore these facilities are vulnerable to potential disruption in the event of a materials release.

**CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE**

**TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS**

Exposed transportation infrastructure includes local roads, major roads and the rail tracks that pass through the city. In the event of a chemical spill or leak, this could lead to closure of transportation routes to and from the city, especially in the event of an accident that may physically block the routes.

**WATER**

Water-related utilities could be exposed to a chemical release in the event of both an earthquake related hazardous materials release or a traffic related incident.

**ENVIRONMENT**

Prevailing winds flow from west to east. An airborne hazardous materials event could expose a major portion of the city.

The environment is highly vulnerable to hazardous materials spill events. Since the city is located along the Puget Sound, the spread of any chemical release may affect other areas of the Puget Sound in a short amount of time. Additionally, if fuel transmission lines serving Everett are broken, adjacent drainages may be affected. Subsurface spills that follow groundwater movement may expose downstream populations and natural areas.

Consideration must also be made of the tidal influence of the Pacific Ocean, via the Puget Sound, on the Snohomish River. A high tide may potentially change the flow of the river east (normally upstream) and cause a longer period of exposure to a hazardous material spill in this area.

Since the BNSF railroad follows closely along the Snohomish River floodplain and delta, it exposes the watershed to potential hazardous materials spills. This is not only true for Everett, but for any point upstream along the Snohomish River, and its tributaries. Additionally, an earthquake could expose the city to a chemical release from one of the Tier II facilities located upstream from the city along the Snohomish River.

**IMPACT SCENARIO**

A possible chemical spill in Everett could have a variety of impacts. They range from an isolated leak to a large-scale spill with catastrophic effects on the surrounding environment. These types of hazardous materials releases could have impacts that span jurisdictional boundaries as well as have long lasting impacts for many years to come. This is a major concern for Everett and the surrounding area.

A hazardous materials release in the waters around Everett would potentially have a wide area of impact, depending on the volume and type of material released. As mentioned earlier, coastal cities face many incidents of marine-based pollution.
**ISSUES**

An issue with hazardous materials is that the city has little direct control over their location. The city cannot change the path of the BNSF railway or the location of major facilities that use hazardous materials.

There is also the issue of isolated land uses that may cause contamination during flooding such as fertilizer leaks from surrounding farms.
EPIDEMICS/PANDEMICS

DEFINITIONS

**Epidemic:** Increase, often sudden, in the number of cases of a disease above what is normally expected in that population or area.\(^{70}\)

**Pandemic:** An epidemic that has spread over several countries or continents, usually affecting a large number of people.\(^{71}\)

**Virulence:** A measure of the severity of the disease an organism is capable of causing.

**Mode of Transmission:** How the disease is passed from person to person.

**Influenza:** A contagious respiratory illness caused by influenza viruses that infect the nose, throat, and lungs causing mild to severe illness or even death.

GENERAL BACKGROUND

Epidemics are defined as a higher than normal occurrence of a disease, while pandemics are worldwide epidemics of a disease. One common epidemic is the annual influenza, which circulates both locally and worldwide. As a result of “genetic drift,” or the influenza virus constantly changing its genetic make-up, many flu strains may be circulating at any given time. Because of this, new flu vaccines must be developed each year to help protect individuals against the current flu strain. Though the flu generally exists as local epidemics, certain conditions may lead to a worldwide pandemic. If the genetic make-up of the flu virus shifts suddenly and dramatically, a novel strain could develop. Because of its novelty, many people may not be naturally immune to such a strain, and because of its sudden development, vaccine development may not be fast enough to protect a population. If a novel strain is easily transmitted from person to person, it could quickly spread throughout the world and cause a pandemic, the effects of which include serious illness, hospitalizations, and death. However, most novel viruses are not easily spread and are usually identified in and confined to a few individuals.

Other diseases with epidemic and/or pandemic potential include Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA), West Nile Virus, Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS), measles, hepatitis, tuberculosis (TB), E-Coli, Lyme disease, Hantavirus Pulmonary Syndrome (HPS), HIV/AIDS, and leptospirosis.

In some areas, global climate change has the potential to increase the frequency and severity of epidemic and pandemic disease. In the cases of zoonotic transmissions, when a disease spreads from animals to humans, a changing climate and changing landscape means that humans are coming into contact with wildlife, insects, and other creatures that have moved closer to human settlements.\(^{72}\) Warmer climates are more hospitable to insects like mosquitoes, which can carry malaria, the West Nile Virus, and other illnesses. Deforestation and other forms of habitat destruction force the creatures that lost their habitat into closer contact with humans. Movement of climate change “refugees” may increase population density, which in turn leads to closer human contact and can contribute to unsanitary conditions.\(^{73}\) As will be discussed below, dense and/or unsanitary living conditions increase the risk of disease transmission.
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PAST EVENTS

The city of Everett has directly experienced the effects and risks associated with pandemics in the 2009 H1N1 response and mass vaccination. H1N1, a novel type A influenza virus, began affecting significant numbers of people worldwide during the spring of 2009. The number of infections led the federal Department of Health and Human Services to issue a nationwide public health emergency declaration. As the typical fall/winter flu season approached, Snohomish County began planning for a potentially widespread flu infection. Preparations included continuity of operations plans, information dissemination to citizens about preventing infection and spread of infection, and information gathering on how the medical community could stop or decrease infection rates in the Snohomish County population.

Snohomish County proclaimed a State of Emergency in October 2009 in order to detect, confirm, and combat the H1N1 virus, to prepare the county for reception and distribution of the H1N1 vaccine, and to meet Washington State guidelines for vaccine administration.

The H1N1 flu escalated to epidemic proportions quickly and affected high-risk populations more severely than other populations. The Snohomish County Mass Vaccination Steering Committee, with medical community participation that was chaired by a local doctor, decided to provide vaccination clinics in order to protect the community, especially those members of high-risk populations.

A total of nineteen vaccination clinics took place during two days in October. These clinics targeted high-risk groups, including pregnant women, children, teachers, and childcare workers. Approximately 28,000 vaccines were administered over the two days, and additional shipments received in November 2009 were distributed to established medical providers. Restrictions on vaccines were lifted in December 2009, and anyone in Snohomish County who wanted the vaccine could get it.

The Snohomish County/Everett 2009 H1N1 response was a remarkable success. The response involved cooperation between many different entities, including the City of Everett Office of Emergency Management, Snohomish Health District, Snohomish County Department of Emergency Management, Boeing, multiple school districts, multiple medical facilities and clinics, various communities within Snohomish County, Everett Community College, the American Red Cross, and Community Emergency Response Team members.74

LOCATION

There are a few physical and social characteristics that put certain localities at higher risk for spread of disease and higher potential for epidemic development. These include areas with:

- High levels of poverty
- Dense population
- Poor sanitary conditions
- Reduced access to health care75

**FREQUENCY**
The most common epidemic is the annual spread of influenza viruses. Flu epidemics occur almost every year and generally during the winter months. The frequency of other disease epidemics varies with specific disease and the presence or absence of certain conditions. For example, high rates of unprotected sexual encounters or drug abuse in a particular population or community can put it at higher risk for disease epidemics.

**SEVERITY**
The severity of annual flu strains varies by strain and demographic factors. The very young, the elderly, pregnant women, and those with pre-existing chronic health problems are more likely to contract the flu and may experience more serious effects of the disease. On average, influenza epidemics in the United States result in approximately 12,000 – 56,000 deaths, 140,000 – 710,000 hospitalizations, and 9.2 – 35.6 million cases per year.76

**WARNING TIME**
Because most influenza strains circulate worldwide at roughly the same time of year, there is adequate warning time for the development of vaccines. In a normal year, the three or four flu strains circulating around the world are previously identified and are similar to other recently circulating strains. Due to these characteristics, each year a vaccine for the most common and worrisome flu strains is developed and made available to the general public before the flu season begins.

**SECONDARY HAZARDS**
Secondary hazards of epidemics include indirect health effects and slowing of, or damage to, economic productivity and services. For example, common complications of the flu include pneumonia, bronchitis, sinus infections, and ear infections. These complications generally affect high-risk populations such as young children, elderly, and those with pre-existing chronic health conditions. There may be damage to or a slowing of production levels in industrial and light-industrial factories and other office situations due to worker sick days.

**EXPOSURE AND VULNERABILITY**

**Population**
Risk of exposure to a disease is tied to its mode of transmission. For example, the average person generally has a higher chance of contracting a disease transmitted through a sneeze or cough than one contracted through direct fecal-oral transmission. Individuals with high risk of exposure to epidemic and/or pandemic disease include those who work and travel in foreign countries, those who live in previously undeveloped natural areas, health-care workers, and other first responders. Individuals who travel globally are at higher risk of being exposed to diseases they may not have been exposed to previously and thus may not be immune to, and also have a higher potential to spread disease as they travel from place to place. Living in previously undeveloped natural areas can put urban residents in contact with wild animals, which can carry and transmit diseases. Health-care workers and other first responders have higher risks of exposure simply due to their proximity to ill individuals.

The demographic groups at higher risk of contracting and feeling significant effects of diseases include the very young, the elderly, pregnant women, and those with pre-existing chronic health conditions. In addition, individuals who have not received vaccinations, individuals living in unsanitary conditions, individuals who have poor personal hygiene, and
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individuals who engage in high-risk sexual behavior and drug use have higher levels of vulnerability, as these conditions and behaviors can negatively affect the immune system and overall health.

Other populations with higher vulnerability to the direct effects of a disease and potential complications include those with limited financial resources, those without easy access to health-care facilities, individuals who live alone or lack competent caretakers, populations with limited English-speaking abilities, and communities that may be isolated from health-care facilities in the event of a compounding hazard (i.e. severe flooding during an epidemic flu season).

**Critical Infrastructure**

**Commercial Facilities, Government, Critical Manufacturing, and Transportation Systems**

Many businesses, industries, and services are vulnerable to the effects of an epidemic or pandemic. These effects include loss of productivity, service, and employee work hours due to illness. Every public and private agency is vulnerable to the direct and indirect effects of an epidemic or pandemic. However, businesses that are able to allow employees to work from home may be less vulnerable, as employees can avoid transmitting or contracting diseases in the workplace.

**Impact Scenario**

The potential impact of a pandemic is massive. The Center for Disease Control and Prevention estimates that in the event of a very severe pandemic, in the United States alone, between 64 million and 96 million people will be infected; between 32 million and 48 million individuals will require outpatient care; between 7.7 million and 11.5 million individuals will be hospitalized; and between 1.3 million and 1.9 million thousand people will die.77

![Figure 28: WHO Phases of Pandemic](image)

**Probability of Occurrence**

Though not every year is an influenza epidemic year, worldwide populations are exposed to flu strains every year. Influenza pandemics occur every few decades. Probabilities of epidemics and/or pandemics of other diseases vary by disease, disease virulence, and mode of transmission.
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ISSUES

Beyond the hospitalizations and deaths possible in an epidemic or pandemic situation, loss of productivity and profit due to the effects of disease is arguably the largest threat to Everett. Everett is home to many high-profile industrial and service operations, including Boeing, Naval Station Everett, Providence Medical Center, Snohomish County Government, City of Everett Government, Everett Community College, and the Everett Marina. Epidemic or pandemic situations resulting in widespread illness would lead to significant shortages in work hours and service levels.
URBAN AND URBAN INTERFACE FIRE

DEFINITIONS

Wildland fires: Uncontrolled burning of grasslands, brush or woodland areas.

Urban Interface fires: Conflagrations in an area susceptible to fires as a result of wildland vegetation and urban or suburban development occurring in close proximity to each other.\(^78\)

Urban Fire: Conflagrations caused by or coming from a building or other urban related source.

GENERAL BACKGROUND

Events that cause fire outbreaks can be natural, such as lightning, or human-induced. Humans can directly cause uncontrolled wildland or urban fires with careless outdoor fires, kitchen fires, or inappropriate disposal of lit cigarettes. Downed electric lines during windstorms can also cause fires. Fire is a serious threat as a secondary hazard following an earthquake. Ruptured gas lines as well as the failure of electrical utilities can cause fires as a result of earthquake or landslide activity. Additionally, the water supply may be interrupted, making fire-fighting efforts much more difficult.

Fires are influenced by the amount and condition of available fuel, slopes, wind, and ambient temperature. Fires advance by transmitting heat through conduction, convection, and radiation. During the day, fires generally travel uphill. Convection currents and heat radiation ahead of the fire preheat the fuels and air upslope, allowing the fire to expand rapidly. Radiation has an extreme impact when the fire enters a “chimney” or a v-shaped area on a slope, such as a drainage gully. South and west facing slopes tend to be warmest and driest. The combination of heavy dry fuels on a southwest-facing slope with “chimneys” on a hot day can create near explosive expansion of the fire. Wind can strengthen and spread a fire, and large fires can also generate their own wind. The heat rising from a large fire will create a thermal column that can rise hundreds or thousands of vertical feet. These vertical columns carry burning embers that are often picked up by prevailing winds and spread. At night, the fire will slow and travel downhill, driven by the cooling airflow.

Fire experts attribute the generally worsening fire risk to increases in the presence of dry, hazardous fuel. Fires are most likely to occur between mid-May and October but can occur at any time during the year—any particularly dry period can increase vulnerability. The probability of a fire in any one locality on any particular day depends on fuel conditions, topography, the time of year, the past and present weather conditions, and the activities (debris burning, land clearing, industrial activities, etc.) that take place in the vicinity. Fires, in general, can range from severe events to isolated burns affecting less than a few acres.

The volume and humidity of fuel loads, as well as slope and aspect, affect the exposure and vulnerability of any given site to fire hazard. The type of ignition (man-made or natural) should be discounted in evaluating the risk. If the conditions are right for a major fire, any source of ignition (whether natural or human-caused) will bring about the same end results.

From a risk management standpoint, the most effective objective is to reduce or eliminate the vulnerability of the community to fire hazards—since the exposure to fire hazard can come from so many possible sources.

LOCATION
Everett is an urbanized city and is generally not susceptible to wildland fires. Everett does not have any extensive urban interface areas, but does contain steep ravines filled with natural growth that are adjacent to extensive residential and commercial properties. Additionally, Everett contains industrial and manufacturing areas, which may increase the potential of an urban fire. Both of these land uses have a greater exposure to fire hazard than most other areas in the city, increasing the vulnerability of the surrounding areas to fire hazard.

New developments and subdivisions in more forested areas expand the areas at risk of fire.

SEVERITY
Fires can burn vegetation and cause loss of life and personal property. The primary concern associated with fire hazard is the threat to human life and safety. Property and environmental damage are also important, though prioritized below life safety. Loss of vegetation due to fires may increase the potential for erosion and mudslides. Fires may also cause the release of hazardous materials and damage utility transmission lines.

Generally, the risk of fire increases with drought, and the risk of a large fire grows with the addition of factors such as steep slopes and wind. One of the impacts of climate change is the increased frequency and intensity of drought, and therefore also the risk of fire.

WARNING TIME
The onset of a fire can be sudden, with little warning time. The warning time is dependent on the extent of the fire and the speed the fire is traveling. A warning system, or lack thereof, is also a factor affecting warning time.

PAST EVENTS
Historically, fires in Everett could be characterized as mill-related incidents, and have had localized impacts in the highly urbanized areas typical of Everett. The county, though, has had several wildland fire incidents in the past.

Since 1979, Snohomish County has experienced two forest fires of 100 acres or more. During the El Niño summer of 1997, a 750-acre fire in the Marblemount area is attributed to a lengthened growing season, warmer-than-normal temperatures and heavy windfalls from the previous year’s storms. There is no record of any large wildfires (greater than 1500 acres) occurring in the county since 1900. The Washington State Department of Natural Resources has records of over 900 wildland fire incidents since 1970.79

SECONDARY HAZARDS
In steep slope areas, erosion after a fire is a risk that may potentially lead to landslides. During a fire, the protection and stability provided by foliage and organic matter is removed, leaving the soil exposed to wind and water erosion.

EXPOSURE AND VULNERABILITY
In the case of fire, exposure is unpredictable. There are certain factors, such as the presence of gas lines or proximity to an industrial area, which may increase the probability of exposure to fire hazards. Structures near the industrial areas of Everett and urban interface areas have a greater exposure to fire hazards. It is important to note, however, that the exposure to fire hazard comes from so many possible sources that mitigation and prevention efforts should be

concentrated on reducing vulnerability. Additionally, Everett does not have any extensive urban interface zones, so wildland fire risk is low.

Structural vulnerability to fire hazards is based in part on steepness of slopes, the density and moisture content of the fuel load, construction materials, and the proximity of neighboring wooden structures. Fires can spread to homes or businesses, and also block roads or other lifelines. This type of hazard can create significant economic and environmental damage if fuel loads and vegetation are not properly maintained.

Many buildings in the north end are wooden structures. Wood homes in close proximity to each other are especially vulnerable to fires. In downtown and the north end of Everett, there is a concentration of wood homes on lots smaller than 5,000 square feet. Throughout the city there are many wood structures on lots smaller than 10,000 square feet. Smaller lot zones are at greater risk of fire spreading rapidly through the neighborhood, especially during windy conditions. The state has a rigorous program for boiler inspections for commercial and government buildings, so these are less of a concern than residences. Many of the new “view homes” on high slopes were built on larger lots and should be less vulnerable to fire. The availability of water to fight fires is another potential vulnerability. Fortunately, in Everett there is a good distribution of water lines and fire hydrants. In the case of fire as a result of earthquake, the fire-fighting capability may be diminished by a ruptured water line.

Table 24 illustrates the number of vulnerable wood structures on smaller lots in Everett, as well as the assessed value of the improvements on the site.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parcel Sizes</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Area (SF)</th>
<th>Improvement Value ($)</th>
<th>Total Value ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wood structures on parcels &lt;5,000 SF</td>
<td>4,032</td>
<td>14,254,180</td>
<td>$529,268,700</td>
<td>$977,561,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood structures on parcels &lt;2,500 SF</td>
<td>687</td>
<td>1,251,727</td>
<td>$97,366,100</td>
<td>$157,610,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood structures on parcels &lt;1,250 SF</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>77,700</td>
<td>$11,768,500</td>
<td>$17,512,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Issues**

The following steps could be accomplished to preclude major loss of life due to fire in Everett:

1. Enhance fire prevention education and enforcement programs. Since humans often trigger urban fires and wildfires, this could significantly reduce the threat of fire in the city.

2. Continue development of enhanced wildfire detection systems (such as infrared cameras or wireless sensor networks in areas of concern) and emergency communications capabilities. The importance of immediate reporting of any fire events must be impressed on local residents and users of forested lands in and around Everett.

3. Expand upon existing warning systems such as AlertSense and the Emergency Alert System to quickly alert local residents in case of fire.

4. Detail primary and secondary escape routes with an evacuation plan for major transportation corridors. Land use planning criteria could ensure that adequate escape routes are provided for new developments in forested areas.
5. Implement fire-safe development planning and appropriate wildfire mitigation strategies for the city as a whole, as well as individual property owners, including:

- Requiring the use of fire-resistant roofing materials
- Requiring the maintenance of defensible “clear zones” around residential structures
- Requiring ingress, egress and turnaround provisions for emergency response units
- Requiring the adequate water supply to support fire response
- Developing local ordinances (including enforcement) to control hazardous practices (trash burning, campfires, fireworks, etc.)
- Ensuring home addresses are clearly visible
**VOLCANIC ERUPTIONS**

**DEFINITIONS**

**Stratovolcano:** Also known as composite volcanoes, typically steep-sided, symmetrical cones of large dimension built of alternating layers of lava flows, volcanic ash, cinders, blocks, and bombs and may rise as much as 8000 feet above their bases. The volcanoes in the Cascade Range surrounding Everett are all stratovolcanoes.

**Pyroclastic Flows and Surges:** Avalanches of hot (570-1470° F) ash, rock fragments and gas that move at high speeds down the sides of a volcano during explosive eruptions or when the edge of a thick, viscous, lava flow or dome breaks apart or collapses. Speeds of pyroclastic flows range from 20 to more than 200 MPH.

**Lava Flows:** Normally the least hazardous threat posed by volcanoes. Cascades volcanoes are normally associated with slow moving andesite or dacite lava.

**Tephra:** The ash and the large volcanic projectiles that erupt from a volcano into the atmosphere are called tephra. The largest fragments (2½ inches) fall back to the ground fairly near the vents, as close as a few feet and as far as six miles. The smallest rock fragments (ash) are composed of rock, minerals, and glass that are less than an eighth of an inch in diameter. Tephra plume characteristics are affected by wind speed, particle size, and precipitation. When ash is trapped in the clouds it affects climate over a global area.

**Lahars:** Rapidly flowing mixtures of water and rock debris that originate from volcanoes. While lahars are most commonly associated with eruptions, they can also be triggered by heavy rains, debris accumulation, and even earthquakes. Lahars are commonly termed debris or mud flows.

**Debris Flows:** Dense mixtures of water-saturated debris that move down-valley, looking and behaving much like flowing concrete. They form when loose masses of unconsolidated material are saturated, become unstable, and move down slope. The source of water varies but includes rainfall, melting snow or ice, and glacial outburst floods. While lahars are debris flows, not all debris flows are lahars.

**Debris Avalanches:** Volcanoes are prone to debris and mountain rock avalanches that can approach speeds of 100 MPH.

**Volcanic Gases:** All active volcanoes emit gases. These gases may include steam, carbon dioxide, sulfur dioxide, hydrogen sulfide, hydrogen, and fluorine.

**Volcanic Ash:** Small particles of volcanic material that are produced by explosive volcanic eruptions.

**Lateral blasts:** These are explosive events in which energy is directed horizontally instead of vertically from a volcano. They are gas-charged, hot mixtures of rock, gas and ash that are expelled at speeds up to 650 MPH.

**GENERAL BACKGROUND**

A volcano is a vent in the Earth from which molten rock (magma) and gas erupts. There are a wide variety of hazards related to volcanoes and volcanic eruptions. With volcanic eruptions, the hazards are distinguished by the different ways in which volcanic materials and other debris flow from the volcano. The molten rock that erupts from the volcano (lava) forms a hill or mountain around the vent. The lava may flow out as a viscous liquid, or it may explode from the vent as solid or liquid particles.
LOCATION
The Cascade Range is a thousand-mile long chain of volcanoes, which extends from northern California to southern British Columbia. Everett does not lie within any basin that would drain lahars or mudflows from the nearby volcanoes. Nonetheless it would be affected by tephra or an ash fall from either a Mount Rainier or Glacier Peak eruption.

FREQUENCY
Eruptions in the Cascades have occurred at an average rate of one or two per century during the last 4,000 years. Many of these volcanoes have erupted in the recent past and will erupt again in the foreseeable future. USGS classifies Glacier Peak, Mount Adams, Mount Baker, Mount Hood, Mount St. Helens, and Mount Rainier as being potentially active Washington state volcanoes. Mount St. Helens is by far the most active volcano in the Cascades, with four major explosive eruptions in the last 515 years. More recently, Mount St. Helens underwent an eruption series from 2004-2008, though it had few impacts on the surrounding region.

SEVERITY
A one-inch deep layer of ash weighs an average of ten pounds per square foot causing a danger of structural collapse. When wet, ash can weigh twice as much. Ash is harsh, acidic, gritty, and it has a sulfuric odor. Ash may also carry a high static charge for up to two days after being ejected from a volcano. When an ash cloud combines with rain, sulfur dioxide in the cloud combines with water to form diluted sulfuric acid that may cause minor, but painful burns to the skin, eyes, nose, and throat.

WARNING TIME
Constant monitoring of all active volcanoes means that there should be more than adequate time for evacuation before an event. Since 1980, Mount St. Helen's has settled into a pattern of intermittent, moderate and generally non-explosive activity, and the severity of tephra, explosions, and lava flows has diminished. All episodes, except for one very small event in 1984, have been successfully predicted several days to three weeks in advance. However, scientists remain uncertain as to whether the current cycle of explosivity has ended with the 1980 explosion. The possibility of further large-scale events continues for the foreseeable future.

PAST EVENTS
The most famous of past eruptions for Mount St. Helens occurred May 18, 1980. In this eruption, the elevation of Mount St. Helens dropped dramatically from 9,677 feet to 8,364 feet; 23 square miles of volcanic material buried the North Fork of the Toutle River to an average depth of 150 feet. A total of 57 human fatalities resulted from the blast.

Table 25 summarizes the eruptions in the region:

83 Brantley and Myers, 1997, Mount St. Helens -- From the 1980 Eruption to 1996: USGS Fact Sheet 070-97
EXPOSURE AND VULNERABILITY

POPULATION
In the event of a volcanic eruption in the Cascade Range, particularly from Glacier Peak, the entire population of Everett is vulnerable to the low severity but widespread effects of a volcanic eruption, such as ash fall. This population would be vulnerable to the damaging effects of volcanic ash fall that contains sulfuric acid and can cause damage to skin, eyes, nose and throat. The most vulnerable populations would be the elderly, very young and those that already experience ear, nose, and throat problems.
PROPERTY
All property in Everett is vulnerable to damage from a volcanic eruption, particularly from ash fall. Depending on the quantity received, the accumulation of ash on rooftops, especially when combined with rainfall, could lead to excessive weight and, in rare cases, structural collapse. Again, the severity of a volcanic event in Everett would be low, with effects generally being inconveniences associated with fallout material.

CRITICAL FACILITIES
All critical facilities in Everett would be exposed to ground shaking and ash fall. These would include all emergency facilities located in the downtown area of Everett, as well as facilities such as the wastewater treatment plant in the southwest section of the city and the water treatment plant located east of the city. All of the schools located within Everett would be exposed. While a major eruption of Glacier Peak probably would not impact Everett directly with a debris flow, it could still have indirect effects on transportation and medical systems. Ash clouds could impact the flight schedules from local airports.

CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE
All infrastructures would be exposed to the effects of a volcanic eruption. This includes all utilities and transportation networks in Everett. Underground utilities would be exposed to ground shaking related to a volcanic blast, and overhead utilities would be exposed to the related ash fall from a volcanic eruption. Transportation routes could potentially be blocked by ash fall.

TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS
All transportation routes in Everett would be exposed to damage from a volcanic blast. Ash accumulation on roads could create hazardous driving conditions as well as limit visibility for drivers. Transportation routes could be strained by traffic from those evacuating from, or responding to, nearby volcanic eruptions. Ash clouds could impact travel to and from local airports. Ash has significant impacts to motor vehicles and their filtration systems and could make it difficult or impossible to operate.

WATER, WASTEWATER, ELECTRICITY, AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS
All utilities are exposed to ash fall from a volcanic blast. Most vulnerable are overhead utility and telecommunication lines, although it is not likely that they would accumulate ash fall or collapse under its weight. Additionally, ash is conductive when wet and could electrically short out exposed utility power. One significant impact of volcanic ash fallout on a sewer/drainage system is the clogging of catch basins, pipes, and treatment plant basins with the ash that is washed into the system by rainfall and street cleaning. Proper disposal of ash is important so it is not washed into storm drain systems.

ENVIRONMENT
The environment is highly exposed to the effects of a volcanic eruption. Even if the related ash fall from a volcanic eruption were to fall elsewhere, it could still be carried to Everett by the surrounding rivers and streams. The sulfuric acid contained in volcanic ash can be extremely damaging to area vegetation, wildlife, and water and air quality.

IMPACT SCENARIO
In the event of a volcanic eruption at Glacier Peak, the closest volcano to Everett in the Cascade Range, there could potentially be ash fall accumulation in the City. Property, critical facilities and infrastructure would be vulnerable to ashfall. Ash accumulation on rooftops could lead to collapse of older buildings and those that are not reinforced.

ISSUES

In the event of a volcanic eruption in the Cascade Range it is very likely that a very large area will be affected. Even if Everett is not directly affected, it will most likely feel the impact from the surrounding area.
**CYBER INCIDENTS**

**DEFINITIONS**

**Significant Cyber Incident:** An event that is likely to cause, or is causing, harm to critical functions and services across the public and private sectors by impairing the confidentiality, integrity, or availability of electronic information, information systems, services, or networks; and/or threaten public safety, undermine public confidence, have a negative effect on the economy, or diminish the security posture.\(^\text{85}\)

**Hacker:** Individual who seeks to illegitimately breach target systems.

**Dos/DDos:** Denial of Service (DOS) and Distributed Denial of Service (DDoS) are attacks on a network with the goal of congesting the network or server to make it inaccessible.\(^\text{86}\)

**Phishing/Vishing/Smishing/Pharming:** The use of unsolicited email, text messages, and telephone calls purportedly from a legitimate company requesting personal, financial, and/or login credentials.\(^\text{87}\)

**GENERAL BACKGROUND**

Cyber threats are becoming more common and more complex as technology advances and systems become more automated and network dependent. Cyber incidences come in a variety of forms resulting in virtual and/or physical damage. The target of cyber threats is often to gain valuable data, such as consumer, financial, medical, intellectual property, and government data. Cyber criminals can use this data to gain access to networks and can cause physical damage by interrupting or modifying system operations.

Cyber incidents can be human-caused (intentional and unintentional) but could also occur as a result of infrastructure damage from other hazards (earthquake or severe storm). Cyber incidents caused by individual hackers often include collection of information on user accounts, theft of personal or financial information, theft of intellectual property, exploitation of sensitive company information, or general disruption.\(^\text{88}\)

Groups of hackers also collaborate to achieve certain objectives. These groups, often called “hacktivists,” usually have apparent political motivations. Cyber terrorism involves using cyber attacks as a weapon. These attacks can involve disrupting government functions, destroying or stealing sensitive government information, disruption critical infrastructure, or cause loss of life.\(^\text{89}\)

**PAST EVENTS**

An unintentional introduction of malware, software intended to damage or disable computers and computer systems\(^\text{90}\), resulted in power outages across the Northeastern U.S. and parts of Canada when it disrupted the power grid in 2003.

Anthem and Premera Blue Cross both lost hundreds of millions of dollars in labor, equipment, and credit monitoring services when their systems and close to 100 million members’ personal data was comprised.\(^\text{91}\)


\(^{86}\) Washington State EMD. Hazard Profile – Cyber Threat. October 2015


\(^{88}\) Washington State EMD. Hazard Profile – Cyber Threat. October 2015

\(^{89}\) Washington State EMD. Hazard Profile – Cyber Threat. October 2015
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**FREQUENCY**
In 2016, Washington State ranked 10th in number of reported cyber crime incidents. Cyber incidents and other suspicious activity are an hourly occurrence. Small incidents targeting individuals occur frequently while larger scale attacks on large organizations or systems happen less often.

**SEVERITY**
Individual cyber attacks can have a limited impact but large attacks, like a breach of critical public or private networks, could diminish or destroy basic public utilities, fuel, health care systems, emergency services, communications, and governance to large portions of the population. Common incidents of cyber attack are emails that carry infected software and/or malicious internet links. These emails can be crafted in such a way to convince the recipient that it is a legitimate message. Opening the attachments or clicking the links can compromise the network, allowing the cyber criminal to access it. Depending on the incident and cascading effects, impacts could continue for several days.

**WARNING TIME**
Many cyber incidences are not apparent until they are underway. Early detection can help to reduce secondary effects. Suspicious emails or attempts at gaining confidential information should be addressed early on in an attempt to prevent further compromise.

**SECONDARY HAZARDS**
An attack on utility systems for wastewater or sewage processing could result in environmental and health effects. If the power grid is impacted, widespread power outages would have a negative impact on people's ability to heat homes, store food, and/or continue critical functions. Other secondary hazards could include wide area services outages, disruption to power or water, transportation congestion, and infrastructure security.

Another form of cyber incident is Denial of Service (DoS). This happens when the network or server is congested making it inaccessible. Without access to the network, systems or operations could be interrupted or damaged.

**IMPACT SCENARIO**
The potential impact of a cyber incident ranges from individual nuisance to catastrophic depending on how widespread the incident is, what systems it affects, and what the secondary impacts are. In the case of an incident that destroys or limits the availability of power or other utilities, many people and businesses will be impacted. This could lead to health and safety concerns which would further negatively impact a large population.

**PROBABILITY OF OCCURRENCE**
Cyber incidents of different scales happen continuously. While small incidents are certain to occur on an individual basis, larger and system-wide incidences occur less often. The probability of cyber incident increases as systems are more automated, networks become more complex, and hackers develop new schemes for gaining access. At the same time, building redundant systems, increasing cyber security, and individuals staying vigilant can reduce the likelihood of an incident causing major damage or interruption.

---
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**TSUNAMI AND SEICHE**

**DEFINITIONS**

**Tsunami:** A series of traveling ocean waves of extremely long wavelength generated by seafloor disturbances associated primarily with earthquakes. Underwater volcanic eruptions and landslides, and very rarely large meteorite impacts, can also generate tsunamis.

**Seiche (say-sh):** A series of standing waves in an enclosed or partly enclosed body of water.

**GENERAL BACKGROUND**

**TSUNAMI**

In the deep ocean, a tsunami’s length from wave crest to wave crest may be a sixty miles or more, but with a wave height of three feet or less. They normally cannot be felt aboard ships nor can they be seen from the air in the open ocean. In the deep ocean, tsunami waves can travel unnoticed at the speed of a commercial jet plane. They can move from one side of the Pacific Ocean to the other in less than a day. Scientists can predict when a tsunami will arrive since the speed of the waves varies with the square root of the water depth.

Tsunamis arrive in near shore waters as a series of successive “crests” (high water levels) and “troughs” (low water levels). These successive crests and troughs usually occur ten to forty-five minutes apart but can range anywhere from five to ninety minutes apart. Tsunamis travel much more slowly in shallow, coastal waters because the wave energy begins to “feel bottom;” at the same time, their wave heights increase dramatically. Offshore and coastal features affect the size and impact of tsunami waves. Reefs, bays, entrances to rivers, undersea ridges, and the slope of the beach all help to modify a tsunami as it attacks the coastline. When the tsunami reaches the coast and moves inland, the water level can rise more than fifty feet for tsunamis of distant origin and over 160 feet for tsunami waves generated near an earthquake’s epicenter or a volcanic blast. The first wave may not be the largest in the series of waves. Because of arrival angles and shoreline geography and geometry, one coastal community may see no damaging wave activity while in another nearby community destructive waves can be large and violent. The flooding can extend inland a mile or more, covering large expanses of land with water and debris.

Not all earthquakes produce tsunamis. To generate a tsunami, an earthquake must occur underneath or near the ocean, be very large (approximately Richter magnitude 7 or greater) and create vertical movement of the sea floor. All oceanic regions of the world can experience tsunamis, but in the Pacific Ocean there is a much more frequent occurrence of large, destructive tsunamis because of the many great earthquakes along the margins of the “Pacific Ring of Fire” (so called because 75% of the world’s volcanoes are located at the edges of the Pacific). Despite the great size of the Pacific, covering more than a third of the Earth’s total area, tsunami waves can traverse the ocean’s entire basin in twenty to twenty-five hours. However, people living in regions where large earthquakes occur may find that the tsunami waves will reach their shores within minutes of the earthquake. For these reasons, the tsunami threat to many areas (Alaska or the U.S. West Coast, for example) can be immediate for tsunamis from nearby earthquakes that take only a few minutes to reach coastal areas, or less urgent for tsunamis from distant earthquakes that take three to twenty-two hours to reach coastal areas.

Submarine volcanic eruptions also have the potential to produce truly enormous tsunami waves. The great Krakatau Volcano eruption of 1883 generated giant waves reaching heights of 130 feet above sea level, killing tens of thousands of people and wiping out numerous coastal villages.
Tsunamis affecting Washington State may be induced by geologic events of local origin, or by earthquakes originating as far away as Alaska or South America. Typical signs of a tsunami hazard are earthquakes and/or sudden and unexpected rise or fall in coastal water. Coastal flooding and a quick recession of the water often precede the large waves.

Aside from the tremendous hydraulic force of the tsunami waves themselves, floating debris carried by a tsunami can endanger human lives and batter inland structures. Ships moored at piers and in harbors often are swamped and sunk or are left battered and stranded high on the shore. Breakwaters and piers collapse, sometimes because of scouring actions that sweep away their foundation material, and sometimes because of the sheer impact of the waves. Railroad yards and oil tanks situated near the waterfront are particularly vulnerable, and, frequently, the resulting oil fires are spread by the waves.

Port facilities, naval facilities, fishing fleets, and public utilities are often the backbone of the economy of the affected areas, and these are the resources that generally receive the most severe damage. Until debris can be cleared, wharves and piers rebuilt, utilities restored, and the fishing fleets reconstituted, communities may find themselves without fuel, food, and employment. Wherever water transport is a vital means of supply, disruption of coastal systems caused by tsunamis can have far reaching economic effects.

**SEICHE**

Seiches are normally caused by earthquake activity or by above or below-water landslides and can affect harbors, bays, lakes, rivers and canals. In the majority of instances, earthquake-induced seiches do not occur close to the epicenter of an earthquake, but hundreds of miles away. This is due to the fact that earthquake shock waves close to the epicenter consist of high-frequency vibrations, while those at much greater distances are of lower frequency, which can enhance the rhythmic movement in a body of water. The biggest seiches develop when the period of the ground shaking matches the frequency of oscillation of the water body.

Seiches create a “sloshing” effect on bodies of water and liquids in containers. This primary effect can cause damage to moored boats, piers, and facilities close to the water. Secondary problems, including landslides and floods, are related to accelerated water movements and elevated water levels.

**DECEMBER 26, 2004 TSUNAMI IN SOUTH ASIA**

On the morning of December 26, 2004 a magnitude 9.3 earthquake struck off the northwest coast of the Indonesian island of Sumatra. The earthquake resulted from a complex slip on the fault where the oceanic portion of the Indian Plate slides under the island of Sumatra, part of the Eurasian Plate. The earthquake deformed the ocean floor, pushing the overlying water into a tsunami wave. The tsunami wave devastated nearby areas where the wave may have been as high as eighty feet tall, and killed nearly 300,000 residents of nations in the region and tourists from around the world. Cost in dollars was in the hundreds of billions. The tsunami wave itself also traveled the globe, and was measured in the Pacific Ocean and many other places by tide gauges. Measurements in California exceeded sixteen inches in height, while New Jersey saw water level fluctuations as great as thirteen inches. Eyewitness accounts, photos, and videos provided unprecedented documentation of the event.

**MARCH 11, 2011 TSUNAMI IN JAPAN**

A 9.0M undersea mega-thrust earthquake off the coast of the Oshika Peninsula in the Tohoku prefecture in Japan triggered destructive tsunami waves of up to 124 feet that struck almost immediately following the earthquake, and that traveled up to six miles inland. Tsunami warnings were issued along with evacuation orders along the Japanese Pacific coast and in many other countries, including the United States. The earthquake resulted in nearly 15,000 deaths with over 10,000 still missing as of April 20th, 2011. The vast majority of the deaths were by drowning. According to the Japanese government, the combined cost from the earthquake and the tsunami could reach $309 billion, making it the most expensive natural disaster in history.
The tsunami demonstrated the extreme impact of such an event on even a well-prepared country. Approximately 181.5 square miles of land were inundated in Japan, where entire towns were destroyed. The tsunami traveled across the Pacific Ocean and began hitting coastlines through Asia, Australia, and the Americas. Damage in the United States included the destruction of the Crescent City, California harbor, and much of its fishing fleet, by 8-foot high waves, costing tens of millions of dollars in damages. The advanced warning provided to coastal American cities and the flood of devastating images from Japan helped reinforce the importance of evacuation, although there was only one reported death in the United States.

**IMPACT ON THE WEST COAST OF UNITED STATES**

Tsunamis are a threat to life and property to anyone living near the ocean. From 1895 to 1995, 454 tsunamis were recorded in the Pacific Basin. Ninety-four of these tsunamis killed over 51,000 coastal residents during the past century. Recent tsunamis have struck Nicaragua, Indonesia, Chile, and Japan, killing several thousand people. Property damage due to these waves was nearly one billion dollars. Historically, tsunamis originating in the northern Pacific and along the west coast of South America have caused more damage on the west coast of the United States than tsunamis originating in Japan and the Southwest Pacific. For example, the 1960 Chile Earthquake generated a Pacific-wide tsunami that caused widespread death and destruction in Chile, Hawaii, Japan and other areas in the Pacific. In contrast, the tsunami generated by the 1883 eruption of Krakatau Volcano in Indonesia caused more than 30,000 fatalities and the 1886 tsunami on the Sunriku coast of Japan killed about 26,000 people, but neither of these events was destructive outside their immediate locales.

Here in the Northwest, a tsunami hit the Washington coast after the great 1964 Alaska earthquake; in places wave heights reached 4.5 meters. No deaths were reported in this state but it caused $115,000 in damage (Harold Mofjeld, 2001). This same tsunami killed eleven people and caused $7.4 million damage in Crescent City, California. Scientific studies indicate that local tsunamis generated off the northern California, Oregon and Washington coast could reach Washington shores within three to thirty minutes after the earthquake is felt. The March 2011 9.0M earthquake and tsunami that hit Japan spawned eight-foot high waves along the west coast of the United States, destroying harbors and coastal structures and causing, for example, $20 million damage to Crescent City, California. The waves destroyed boats moored in the Crescent City marina, incapacitating the city’s fishing and recreational fleets, as well as the local economy.

The waves, though of a greater magnitude, had impacts similar to what could happen to the Everett marina and port should it be hit with a tsunami or seiche. Dock structures would be destroyed and boats mangled among the debris. Even if Everett received minimal impacts from the earthquake itself, as a port-dependent city the effects of a tsunami could be especially challenging.

**THE NATIONAL TSUNAMI HAZARD MITIGATION PROGRAM**

The National Tsunami Hazard Mitigation Program is designed to reduce the impact of tsunamis through hazard assessment, warning guidance, mitigation and a strong public awareness and outreach program.

Recent revelations about the potential for a great subduction zone earthquake off the Washington, Oregon, and Northern California coastlines have led to several studies about the effect of a local tsunami generated in this source area. Local tsunami waves may reach nearby coastal communities within minutes of the earthquake with little or no time to issue formal warnings. The states feel that they do not have the support or the proper tools to educate coastal residents or alert them of this hazard, and that they need a comprehensive mitigation program to fill the void. FEMA estimates that a Cascadia Subduction Zone earthquake/tsunami could cost $25-125 billion in damages to the region. If one assumes that the tsunami would cause 5% of these losses, then the tsunami losses would total between $1.25 and $6.25 billion. Even more significant, is the population directly at risk from a Cascadia tsunami. About 300,000 people live...
or work in coastal regions that could be affected, and at least as many tourists travel through these areas each year. Some tourism and financial corporations already plan for and educate employees about tsunamis. Others are interested but do not know where to begin and are unaware of the potential losses in terms of lives, operations, and clients.

**Tsunami Warning Systems**
Destructive tsunamis need to be quickly detected and warnings issued as soon as possible because orderly evacuation of many coastal communities requires several hours. Since it is known that the speed of tsunamis varies with water depth, the prediction of tsunami arrival times at coastal locations is possible once the epicenter has been determined. But it is not yet possible to predict the wave height at a specific coastal location. Another indeterminable feature of a tsunami is how many successive waves there will be in the series, although there is rarely only one.

The Tsunami Warning System (TWS) in the Pacific, comprised of twenty-six (26) participating international Member States, monitors seismological and tidal stations throughout the Pacific Basin. The Pacific Tsunami Warning Center (PTWC) is the operational center of the Pacific TWS. Located in Honolulu, Hawaii, PTWC provides tsunami warning information to national authorities throughout the Pacific Basin. In this system, a tsunami watch is a message that an earthquake, which could cause a tsunami, has occurred in the Pacific Basin. A tsunami warning warns that a tsunami is spreading across the Pacific Ocean. Estimated times of arrival at various locations are included in the alerts.

There are also regional warning systems for coasts in or close to areas capable of producing tsunamis. Due to the rapid arrival of waves following generation, these warnings must be issued on the basis of earthquake magnitude and location alone. The devastation associated with the 1964 Alaskan earthquake and tsunami led to the creation of the Alaska Regional Tsunami Warning System in 1967. It serves as the regional warning center for Alaska, British Columbia, Washington, Oregon and California. This system was intended to detect, locate and calculate the magnitude of earthquakes in the Pacific Northwest region as quickly as possible and issue warnings to communities close to the epicenter.

**Location**
Tsunami waves could be generated anywhere by ruptures along the Seattle Fault. They could also be created by a subduction zone event along the Cascadia Subduction Zone. There is no record of generation from a South Whidbey Island Fault event, although secondary landslides on Possession Beach could cause a seiche.

The most exposed and vulnerable location is the Port. Following an earthquake, evacuation could be difficult due to liquefaction and prolonged ground shaking.

**Frequency**
Tsunami or seiche events are infrequent since they require a major release of energy from an earthquake or landslide to initiate. They are most likely to occur along with an earthquake event, although a landslide brought about by severe weather or another event on Possession Beach increases the likelihood of a seiche occurring independently of an earthquake.

**Severity**
Computer models indicate that locally induced tsunamis from the Cascadia Subduction Zone could generate waves of six to sixty-five feet in height along the Washington Coast. A particular concern is the possibility of a tsunami generated by a subduction zone earthquake in which the triggering fault could be located at a sufficient distance (i.e., offshore from northern California or northern British Columbia) that Washington coastal residents and tourists would not feel ground shaking. One computer model suggests that a tsunami generated by a subduction zone earthquake with a magnitude of R8.5 would only be six to sixteen inches in height when it reached Seattle. For the subduction zone earthquake, there is a general consensus that a subduction zone earthquake tsunami would not have a dramatic impact to Everett due to the
buffering effects of Whidbey Island. It is possible that waves from this event would go unnoticed in Everett in all but the highest tide.

Based on the data from a tsunami caused by an earthquake along the Seattle Fault, however, it is expected that wave elevations will be between 2.5 to 5 feet. This is expected to be the most severe event scenario; however, it is also the least likely due to the low frequency of earthquakes along the Seattle Fault.

A seiche caused by a landslide in the active landslide area of Possession Beach on Whidbey Island could also be generated, although there is not a sufficient column of water to cause a major tsunami.

The tides have a major impact on the potential severity of a tsunami or seiche event. At lower tides, there may be little to no impact from any event scenario, though with extremely high tides, the impact would be greater.

**WARNING TIME**

Tsunamis generated by major subduction zone earthquakes along the Strait of Juan de Fuca would have travel times of over ninety minutes to reach Everett. The Olympic Peninsula and the Puget Sound islands shield the central and southern Sound from extreme tsunami heights.

An event generated by a Possession Beach landslide would provide tens of minutes to evacuate, which is likely to be enough time to evacuate the port area should those in the area be aware of the need to move to higher ground following any ground shaking.

A Seattle Fault event would potentially provide the least warning time (minutes), but enough time that people in the port area who are fit and aware would have time to evacuate to higher ground. The key element of preparedness for this event is awareness that, should prolonged (greater than two minutes) ground shaking occur, residents must use this as their warning and leave for higher ground immediately.

**PAST EVENTS**

Within Puget Sound, no written records exist of damaging waves. However, verbal accounts among the Snohomish Tribe reported by Colin Tweddell in 1953 describe a great landslide induced wave caused by the collapse of Camano Head at the south end of Camano Island around the 1820s-1830s. The slide itself is said to have buried a small village, and the resulting tsunami drowned “...men and women, and some of the children...” who may have been clamming on Hat (Gedney) Island, two miles to the south. Bathymetry between Camano Head and Hat Island could have contributed to the size and destructive power of the wave.

Geologic evidence of tsunamis has been found at Cultus Bay on Whidbey Island and at West Point in Seattle. Researchers believe these tsunami deposits are evidence of earthquake activity along the Seattle Fault or other shallow crustal Puget Sound faults.

Puget Sound has experienced seiches in historical times. In 1891, an earthquake near Port Angeles caused an eight-foot seiche in Lake Washington. Seiches generated by the 1949 Queen Charlotte Islands earthquake were reported on Lake Union and Lake Washington. The 1964 Alaska earthquake created seiches on fourteen inland bodies of water in Washington, including Lake Union where several pleasure craft, houseboats and floats sustained minor damage.

---

EXPOSURE AND VULNERABILITY

PEOPLE
Of particular concern is the Everett waterfront, because of the U.S. Naval Station and the Everett Marina. Navy personnel living and working aboard ship and live-aboards at the Marina are at risk in the event of a Puget Sound tsunami. Residents of Hat Island are vulnerable to waves generated within the Possession Sound basin, by any cause.

PROPERTY
Most areas in Everett will be above the tsunami elevation. The impact in Everett will be largely limited to areas at the Port and along the river. The areas along the river are mostly undeveloped. The marina will be adversely impacted, as will be the Port and Navy base. The Navy base will face the most severe impacts with wave elevations of 1 meter to 1.75 meters. As the Port of Everett continues to plan for the possible development of waterfront areas, however, the inventory of at-risk areas, property, and people will likely increase and should be mitigated.

Large containers of hazardous chemicals, delivered by rail and stored at various locations along the Everett waterfront, also represent a source of potential tsunami vulnerability. Snohomish County may also be susceptible to seiches caused by earthquakes. Larger lakes such as Spada, Stevens, and Goodwin could resonate with a major quake to produce

---
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damage along their shores. Additional vulnerabilities include water storage tanks and containers of liquid hazardous materials, which can also be affected by the rhythmic ground motion.

**Critical Infrastructure**

**Transportation Systems**
Although the Burlington Northern/Santa Fe Railroad represents a relatively small segment of the county’s commercial/industrial supply network, the location of the rails along the vulnerable coastline would ensure that a large tsunami would interrupt this transportation system. Damage to tracks and/or rolling stock would have significant short-term economic impact.

**Issues**

Early warning, coupled with education of the affected populations, proper zoning, and suitable structural design can aid in reducing the disastrous effect of this natural hazard. If warning is received early enough (two to five hours), which is possible for tsunamis generated at a distance, hasty preventive action can be taken: people can be evacuated, ships can clear harbors or seek safer anchorage, planes and railroad rolling stock can be moved, buildings can be closed, shuttered, and sandbagged. For tsunamis generated by local earthquakes or landslide events, however, the time from initiation of a tsunami to its arrival at shore can be less than a minute. Residents in areas susceptible to tsunamis should be made aware of the need to seek high ground if they feel strong ground shaking. Coastal communities should identify evacuation routes even if they do not have good information about potential inundation areas.

Because Everett is most vulnerable to tsunamis produced by local earthquakes and landslides, comprehensive educational programs that keep the public informed of the dangers and steps to be taken for personal protection are especially important. Given the scenario of a shallow tsunamigenic quake occurring in Puget Sound, there may not be enough time between the triggering event and the arrival of the first wave for effective warning.

Seiches that occur in the Puget Sound or inland bodies of water have the potential to cause property damage and casualties. Public education on seiches is normally included in disaster preparedness classes as a subset of earthquake damage. Although much work has been done on disaster preparedness for the public, local governments, emergency planners and citizens need to recognize the danger of seiche as part of earthquake hazards. For Everett, the risk of a seiche caused by a landslide in the Possession Beach area of Whidbey Island (an active landslide area) exists. If such an event were to occur, the low-lying areas by the marina would likely be inundated. Furthermore, a Possession Beach landslide-caused seiche could be more severe than a tsunami caused by earthquakes; however, its severity is difficult to predict.
NEIGHBORHOOD RISK PROFILES

Everett’s greatest vulnerability, the potential isolation of areas throughout the city, can be overcome by the strength of its neighborhoods. During a disaster, the ‘islands’ that are created by impassable roads and terrain will force residents to rely on the people and resources in their immediate area. After a disaster, communities often come together to support their neighbors and rebuild the areas in which they live. The following section is a summary of the risks and opportunities in each neighborhood.

Each neighborhood profile includes a count of residents who have graduated from Community Emergency Response Team (CERT) training as of October 2017. CERT is a program where community members are trained by authorized first responders in disaster preparedness, disaster fire suppression, basic disaster medical operations, and light search and rescue operations. CERT members can give critical support of first responders and provide immediate assistance to victims. CERT members can also help with non-emergency projects that help improve the safety of the community.

There are currently 421 CERT graduates in Everett, plus 227 that live elsewhere.

The neighborhoods profiled are:

- Bayside
- Boulevard Bluffs
- Cascade View
- Delta
- Evergreen
- Glacier View
- Harborview- Seahurst- Glenhaven
- Holly
- Lowell
- Northwest Everett
- Pinehurst
- Port Gardner
- Riverside
- Silver Lake
- South Forest Park
- Twin Creeks
- Valley View
- View Ridge-Madison
- Westmont

FIGURE 31: NEIGHBORHOODS OVERVIEW MAP

2018 UPDATE
Numbers of CERT members increased in all neighborhoods and new development information was added. Everett Mall South neighborhood became Twin Creeks.
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EARTHQUAKE HOUSEHOLD DISPLACEMENT

Using HAZUS-MH, the project planning team ran four earthquake scenarios, as detailed in the HIVA: Cascadia subduction zone, Benioff, Seattle Fault and South Whidbey Island Fault. The first three scenarios projected minimal to no damage of single-family and other housing structures. The South Whidbey Island Fault scenario, however, projected that hundreds of homes could experience extensive to complete damage.

The planning team then broke down the number of displaced households by census tract. The census tracts do not directly correlate with neighborhood boundaries, but it does provide an idea of the possible shelter requirements for each area. The information could also help neighborhoods decide how to prioritize mitigation programs such as structural retrofits.

One of the limitations of this type of analysis is that the Seismic Soil Class of each census tract is averaged, and steep slopes are not considered. As a result, the eastern neighborhoods were predicted to have lower displacement rates than the rest of the city; this contradicts the fact that more vulnerable Seismic Soil Classes D and E, combined with neighborhoods near steep slopes, is likely to result in extensive to complete damage of several homes.

Figure 32 shows the household displacement by census tract, overlaid with general neighborhood areas.
Bayside neighborhood contains a portion of downtown Everett, some single-family and multi-family residential areas, a large section of the port, and much of the naval base. While most of the residential areas are on stable soils, the industrial and port facilities lay on unstable site classes D and E. There are 4 Tier II facilities in the south part of the neighborhood and one in the waterfront area. Furthermore, the BNSF railway runs along the bluff. The railway tunnel, an unreinforced, unvented concrete structure, runs through the southern section of the neighborhood. Portions of the downtown also contain unreinforced masonry structures that are likely to be damaged in an earthquake. The port areas are exposed to tsunami/seiche inundation, although the residential areas would not be impacted by this hazard. A steep bluff divides the residential and port areas, leaving this area at risk from landslides.

While sections of the neighborhood are at risk from the aforementioned hazards, the most populated residential areas are relatively secure. The greatest threat to the residential areas comes from potential hazardous material spills and earthquakes due to the number of houses built prior to the imposition of the 1972 building codes.

Bayside currently has thirty-nine (39) CERT graduates.
**BOULEVARD BLUFFS**

Steep slopes and large swaths of site classes C and D soils dominate the Boulevard Bluffs neighborhood, located in southwest Everett. The BNSF railway runs along the bluff next to the water. The soil classes and the existence of steep slopes make this area especially susceptible to landslides and earthquakes. The BNSF railway and the 7+ Tier II facilities lying just beyond the southern boundary of the neighborhood also leaves the area at risk from hazardous materials spills. Finally, the area has relatively few major streets, which, combined with the steep slopes and older bridges, increases the likelihood of isolation in the event of a hazard such as an ice storm or earthquake. There are several planned and existing pedestrian trails in the area that provide alternatives; however, a lack of nearby commercial business centers means that, even should the trails be passable, there would be few places to go.

A majority of the residential structures in Boulevard Bluffs were built prior to the 1972 building codes. Also, most of these structures are located in the steep slope areas. It is likely that homes in this area would be susceptible to damage following a major earthquake, especially given their proximity to the South Whidbey Island Fault. Furthermore, many of these slopes are heavily forested, increasing the risk of wildfire.

There are currently nineteen (19) CERT graduates living in the neighborhood.
FIGURE 34: NEIGHBORHOOD HAZARD MAP – BOULEVARD BLUFFS
CASCADE VIEW

Cascade View is one of several south-central Everett neighborhoods with a lower hazard risk than other neighborhoods. Although approximately half of the residential structures were built prior to 1972, the area does not lie on steep slopes or unstable soils. Because of this, the earthquake damage risk is low even though it is closer to the South Whidbey Island fault running south of Everett. The greatest potential risk is its proximity to Tier II facilities and transit routes, especially I-5 and SR 527. These transit routes also reduce the risk of isolation, as does the proximity of large numbers of commercial facilities, although both I-5 and SR 527 have a number of bridges and overpasses that may become impassable following an earthquake.

There are currently twenty-one (21) CERT graduates living in the neighborhood.
The Delta neighborhood, lying at the northern end of Everett, has a slightly lower risk from earthquakes due to its greater distance from the South Whidbey Island fault. Most of the older structures are on stable soils, with only a few on site class C to D. The area does contain a large number of unreinforced masonry buildings, which are known to fare badly during earthquakes.

Due to its proximity to the train yards, rail lines and a three Tier II facilities, one of which lies on site class D to E soils which are prone to enhanced shaking. Most of this area is undeveloped and includes few residential buildings. The rail tunnel, which runs under several downtown blocks, may carry trains with hazardous material cargo that could be released if an earthquake causes a tunnel collapse.

The neighborhood benefits from the existence of large numbers of major streets and few bridges, combined with no steep slope areas, which reduces potential isolation. There is also a significant number of nearby commercial facilities, as well as several pedestrian trails.

There are currently twenty-four (24) CERT graduates in the neighborhood.
Evergreen is a central Everett neighborhood with a low hazard risk. Although many of the residential structures were built prior to 1972, few structures outside of the 75th street corridor lie on steep slopes or unstable soils. Transportation routes reduce the risk of isolation, as does the proximity of large numbers of commercial facilities, though they are concentrated mainly in the eastern portion of the neighborhood. Although no Tier II facilities are located within the neighborhood boundary, there are several nearby. This, along with the high number of pre-1972 structures, constitutes the greatest source of risk for the neighborhood.

There are currently fourteen (14) CERT graduates living in Evergreen.
FIGURE 37: NEIGHBORHOOD HAZARD MAP – EVERGREEN
**GLACIER VIEW**

Glacier View is a central Everett neighborhood with a low hazard risk despite the large number of pre-1972 structures. It lies on major arterial routes, including Highway 99 and I-5, and its steep slope areas are mostly undeveloped. There are some site class C to D soils along the edge of the neighborhood near the slope areas that would be likely to face the most damage during an earthquake event, but there are few older structures built in those areas. The neighborhood is exposed to hazardous materials through three Tier II facilities and its proximity to I-5, though this also offers benefits of protection against isolation, along with Evergreen Way.

There are currently six (6) CERT graduates in Glacier View.
FIGURE 38: NEIGHBORHOOD HAZARD MAP – GLACIER VIEW
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Harborview-Seahurst-Glenhaven

Steep slopes and large swaths of site classes C and D soils dominate the Harborview-Seahurst-Glenhaven neighborhood in southwest Everett. The BNSF railway runs along the bluff next to the water. The soil class and the existence of steep slopes make this area especially susceptible to landslides and earthquakes. The BNSF railway, one Tier II facility in the north part of the neighborhood, and the several Tier II facilities lying just beyond the southern boundary of the neighborhood also leaves the area at risk from hazardous materials spills. The area has relatively few major streets, and this, combined with the steep slopes and older bridges susceptible to collapse, increases the likelihood of isolation. There are several planned and existing pedestrian trails in the area that provide alternatives; however, there are virtually no commercial businesses in the immediate vicinity.

There are currently twenty-nine (29) CERT graduates in the neighborhood.
Holly is a south Everett neighborhood with a low hazard risk. Less than half of the residential structures were built prior to 1972, and the area does not lie on steep slopes or unstable soils. Because of this, the earthquake damage risk is low even though it is closer to the South Whidbey Island fault running south of Everett.

The greatest potential risk is from its proximity to several Tier II facilities and transit routes, including I-5 and Paine Field. The transit routes also reduce the risk of isolation, however, as does the large number of nearby commercial facilities.

There are currently twenty-two (22) CERT graduates living in the neighborhood.
LOWELL

The Lowell neighborhood contains large swaths of agricultural land in the east and pre-1972 structures along its western boundary, between I-5 and the railway. This location, between two potential hazardous material transit routes and near one Tier II facility, places the area at risk of hazardous materials exposure during disasters. Site classes D and D to E soils dominate the entire area, with most of the development in site class D to E areas. New residential and commercial development is being planned and has begun in the northern part of the neighborhood. Though in the floodplain, the structure have been elevated. Other developed area lies along a steep slope area, leaving the neighborhood vulnerable to landslides. This combination of steep slopes, older buildings, hazardous facilities routes, and poor soils means this neighborhood faces elevated risk from major hazards, especially earthquakes.

Large sections of Lowell also lie within the Snohomish River floodplain. While these areas are generally open space or farmland, there are some areas of manufacturing, utilities, and residential uses that are also exposed. This exposure may increase as FEMA continues to update local floodplain designations.

Finally, the combination of hazards to which the neighborhood is exposed puts it at risk of isolation. Floods and landslides could cut some areas off from the rest of the neighborhood and the city, potentially stranding people. Workers at manufacturing and utility sites in the area would be most at risk. Much of the housing in the area is cut off from neighboring areas by steep slopes.

There are currently ten (10) CERT graduate living in Lowell.
FIGURE 41: NEIGHBORHOOD HAZARD MAP – LOWELL
NORTHWEST EVERETT

The Northwest Everett neighborhood contains large amounts of pre-1972 structures, single family residential areas, the college, a large section of the port, and much of the naval base. While most of the residential areas are on stable soils, the industrial and port facilities lay on unstable site classes D and E. The residential structures lying along the steep sloped bluff (next to the BNSF railway) are at risk of landslides and are on site class D soils. This railway also carries hazardous materials, creating the risk of exposure from an event here. The port areas are exposed to tsunami/seiche inundation, though the residential areas would not be impacted.

While the neighborhood is at risk from seven Tier II facilities, landslide, tsunami, and earthquake, the most populated residential areas are relatively secure. The greatest risk to the residential areas is from earthquakes due to the majority of buildings constructed prior to 1972 building codes.

The area faces a relatively low risk of isolation due to the abundance of major streets and the small numbers of steep slopes. TA new pedestrian overpass connecting the waterfront to the neighborhood above is set to be completed in late 2018. While providing access to reduce the risk of isolation, the overpass will also carry storm drainage and sewer pipes across a roadway and railroad to make them more accessible for inspection and maintenance.

The northern portion of the neighborhood is at risk of flooding; however, most of this area has few buildings.

There are currently thirty-six (36) CERT graduates living in the neighborhood.
PINEHURST

Pinehurst is one of several south-central Everett neighborhoods with a low hazard risk. Although almost all of the residential structures were built prior to 1972, the area does not lie on steep slopes or unstable soils. The greatest potential risk is its proximity to I-5, a hazardous materials transit route. There are two Tier II facilities in the neighborhood. Transit routes also reduce the risk of isolation, as does the proximity of large numbers of commercial facilities. The western portion of the neighborhood may be more secure than the eastern portion, since it is closer to existing commercial facilities and further from I-5 and steep slopes.

There are currently twenty-seven (27) CERT graduates living in Pinehurst.
PORT GARDNER

Port Gardner is a larger neighborhood stretching east-west through the middle of Everett. Steep slopes, rail lines, and Port Gardner Bay border its west, and rail lines and I-5 border it on the east. It contains a large amount of forested area in the southwest. There are two Tier II facilities within the neighborhood and several nearby. Most of the construction is pre-1972, including potentially large numbers of unreinforced masonry structures in the downtown. Much of the area is covered by site class C to D soils, with additional site class D in the steep slope and river areas and site class D to E in the floodplain. There is also site class E soil in the port area. New residential and commercial development is being planned and has begun in the northern part of the neighborhood. Though in the floodplain, the structure have been elevated.

The combination of these factors indicate that the area is at risk for: landslides in the steep slope areas; earthquakes in those areas with poor soils, on or around steep slopes, or with older structures and unreinforced masonry; tsunami in the port area; hazardous materials spills from I-5, the railroad, and the Hazardous Materials facility; flooding in the Snohomish River floodplain and Port Gardner bay; and wildfire in areas bordering forested slopes. While the number of potential hazards is great, the risk is concentrated in the western half of the neighborhood with its poor soils and greater landslide risk, and in the areas with unreinforced masonry, which are always more susceptible to earthquake damage. The rest of the neighborhood would be relatively secure, as it is built on better soils and is not at risk of isolation.

There are currently fifty (50) CERT graduates in the neighborhood.
RIVERSIDE

Riverside is a large neighborhood in eastern Everett. It benefits from stable soils in most of the area and little exposure to steep slopes, while several riverside parcels with docks, shipping, and other industrial activity are exposed to the Snohomish River floodplain. These activities are conducted on poor, site class D to E soils. With the major transportation thoroughfares of I-5, U.S. 2, and the railroad extending into the neighborhood, the area could be at risk from hazardous materials incidents. These and other arterial streets also reduce the area’s risk of isolation. There are four Tier II facilities located in the neighborhood.

Although the neighborhood is dominated by pre-code construction, those areas are not exposed to any particular seismic, slope, or other related hazard. Without any additional exposure, pre-code housing that is not secured to its foundations remains especially susceptible to earthquake damage. Should these structures be retrofitted to secure their foundations, it would limit the potential impact from a major disaster in the neighborhood.

There are currently thirty-one (31) CERT graduates residing in Riverside.
Silver Lake

Silver Lake is a very large neighborhood in southeast Everett. It is dominated almost entirely by post-1972 construction, which will help reduce its earthquake risk even though it is one of the closest areas to a potential South Whidbey Island fault event. It has some construction in the steep slope and forested areas along its east edge, which exposes some homes to risk of landslide and wildfire, although these homes are not built on the slopes themselves. The land is relatively flat and there are several arterials, but disaster response following an earthquake may be a concern due to the curvilinear streetscape and subdivision character. It is possible that some parts of the neighborhood may become impassible if one or two streets are blocked. If the eastern and western portions of the neighborhood are cut off from one another, only the western portion will have access to commercial businesses for supplies. There are nine Tier II facilities located in the neighborhood.

There are currently fifty-three (53) CERT graduates who are residents of Silver Lake.
**SOUTH FOREST PARK**

South Forest Park is a central Everett neighborhood that is situated around Forest Park. It faces a moderate amount of risk from steep slope construction, pre-1972 structures, and the possibility of wildfire in Forest Park. There are some site class C to D soils along the edge of the neighborhood, bordering the slope areas that are likely to face the most damage during an earthquake event, but there are relatively few older structures built in those areas. The neighborhood also benefits from proximity to commercial businesses along the 99/Evergreen Way corridor, which helps to reduce the risk of isolation, as does the low number of bridges along major roadways. In the case of a fire, earthquake, or landslide event, homes situated in and around the Forest Park area would be at risk of isolation. Furthermore, visitors to Forest Park could be trapped there if there is no time to evacuate before a disaster.

There are currently nineteen (19) CERT graduates living in the neighborhood.
TWIN CREEKS

Everett Mall South is another one of the south-central Everett neighborhoods with a low hazard risk. Less than half of the residential structures were built prior to 1972, and the area does not lie on steep slopes or unstable soils. Because of this, the earthquake damage risk is low even though it is closer to the South Whidbey Island fault running south of Everett.

The greatest potential risks are its proximity to four Tier II facilities and transit routes, especially I-5, and the possibility of severe earthquake damage to the mall, which could cause large numbers of people to be trapped or injured in the event of building failure. The transit routes reduce the risk of isolation, as does the proximity of large numbers of commercial facilities.

There are currently thirteen (13) CERT graduates in the neighborhood.
VALLEY VIEW

Most of Valley View is exposed to site class C to D or site class D soils and there is substantial construction on steep slope areas.

As noted, census tract-level analysis does not take these factors into account, and therefore HAZUS-MH’s prediction of low amounts of household displacement is likely inaccurate.

Although bordered by I-5 to its west, there is only one street that goes into the neighborhood, meaning that it is especially prone to isolation. Emergency access through the sound wall has been established to address the risk of isolation. The neighborhood’s isolation risk is well recognized by Everett emergency management officials and its landslide risk has been repeatedly demonstrated. Following a major event such as an earthquake, the area would likely become inaccessible and face significant damage due to landslides, as well as isolation.

There are currently ten (10) CERT resident graduates in the neighborhood.
FIGURE 48: NEIGHBORHOOD HAZARD MAP – VALLEY VIEW
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VIEW RIDGE-MADISON

The View Ridge-Madison neighborhood stretches from the waters of Possession Sound down to south-central Everett. It is bordered on three sides by steep slope areas, many of which contain site class C to D and site class D soils, and by the BNSF railway at the north end of the neighborhood, which could expose it to hazardous materials events. The area is also dominated by structures built prior to the introduction of the 1972 building code. With substantial forested areas, there is a risk of wildfire. Due to the relatively small number of major streets and large number of steep slopes, much of the neighborhood is at an elevated risk of isolation.

The risk varies widely throughout the neighborhood. Those areas on steeper slopes—northwest, northeast, and north—face the greatest risk from wildfire, landslide, earthquake, and isolation. The southern portion of the neighborhood has access to major streets and commercial business, and does not border steep slopes or poor soils. Much of the poorer soil in the south is not built upon.

There are currently thirty-four (34) CERT graduates residing in the neighborhood.
FIGURE 49: NEIGHBORHOOD HAZARD MAP – VIEW RIDGE MADISON
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Westmont

Westmont is a southwest Everett neighborhood with few risks beyond a chance of exposure to hazardous materials release due to its proximity to Paine Field. The area has few pre-1972 structures, a low risk of isolation, no steep slopes, good soils, and little forested area. There are more than 15 hazardous materials facilities in or near the neighborhood. Its location in south Everett means that it is closer to a potential South Whidbey Island fault event, so the potential for a release from these facilities is elevated, even though they are built on good soils.

There are currently nine (9) CERT graduates in Westmont.
FIGURE 50: NEIGHBORHOOD HAZARD MAP – WESTMONT
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