



FLORIDA LEAGUE OF CITIES, INC.



Tuesday, January 9, 2007

[Who we are](#) | [I am a](#) | [I'm looking for](#) | [Member Database](#) | [Contact Us](#) | [Search](#) | [Site Inde](#)

Membership

- > Advertising
- > City Trivia
- > Civic Education
- > Conferences and Training
- > Festival Listings
- > Financial & Technical Assistance Book
- > International Relations
- > Publications
- > Quality Cities
- > Resource Library

Cooperative Achievement Elected Officials and Advisory Boards Work Together to Strengthen Their Cities

by Marilyn Crotty

One of the most common forms of citizen participation is the use of advisory boards. Every local government routinely appoints citizens to serve on these boards – sometimes without fully understanding the various benefits that can be attained, and the pitfalls that should be avoided.

Why bother with advisory boards? They take time, cost money, and can at times make the work of elected officials more difficult. On the other hand, advisory boards fill an important role in most communities:

- They allow for an in-depth examination of issues.
- They serve as a communication channel between elected officials and the community.
- They bring a broad range of ideas and expertise to public decision making.
- They assist in resolving conflicts.
- They provide training for new leaders.

Before determining if the establishment of an advisory board will be beneficial, careful thought should be given to the purpose of the board. Of course, there are statutory provisions, both federal and state, that require the use of advisory boards. However, in many instances, a city has wide discretion in the use of advisory boards.

Do you need to determine the popularity of an issue? Are you looking for substantive content input on a particular matter? Will an impartial, open-minded group be able to deal with a controversial or sensitive issue about building a constituency for an issue? Or is there a need for a "watchdog" group to oversee a particular project? Would there be value in establishing a committee of critics or a committee of advocates? Each of these questions should be explored as you determine the need for the advisory board. You must be clear about the purpose of the board before you create it. If there are things you do not want an advisory board to do, list them out in the ordinance establishing the board. Set a timeframe for committees that are convened for a specific purpose. There is nothing like a deadline to keep a group focused on its task.

The next step in assuring the success of an advisory board is appointing the right people to serve on it. Balanced committees that broadly represent your community will assure that a variety of viewpoints are heard. If you have difficulty identifying people in your community who are willing to serve on advisory boards, you might want to set up a "board bank." This registry of applications from citizens interested in serving on advisory committees should be promoted in every medium the city uses to communicate with its residents. Some jurisdictions actually establish a citizen committee to make recommendations to the elected officials about nominees to serve on boards. It is helpful to develop job descriptions for members of boards so appointees fully understand their roles and responsibilities prior to accepting appointment.

Local governments should assign appropriate staff to assist in and support the work of advisory boards. A too aggressive board can overtake a weak staff, while too strong a staff can overpower the members of a citizen committee. Staff and boards that achieve a balanced approach to their work tend to be most effective. Sometimes it is appropriate to use an outside facilitator to assist a committee in its work. This is especially important when the issue is controversial or sensitive, and the city must avoid any appearance of favoritism or control.

It is critical that members of advisory boards are given orientations and training in the specifics of their role. This should include information on "sunshine laws," roles and responsibilities, parliamentary procedure, and content-related topics. Encourage your boards to continue their education by sending them to appropriate training sessions.

conferences or workshops that keep them up to date on changes in the law or alternative approach dealing with the issues.

A mechanism for ongoing, two-way communication between an advisory board and the elected body should be established. At a minimum, annually schedule each advisory board on the agenda for a report on its activities. Of most importance is that elected officials listen to the advice of its citizen boards. Of course you may not always follow this advice, but if you constantly ignore it, you will probably face frequent complaints on your boards and skepticism in your community.

Once boards are established and members appointed, elected bodies have an obligation to periodically evaluate their performance. Are they functioning effectively? Are there things that could be done to increase board effectiveness? Is the board still necessary? You can use a variety of methods to evaluate boards. Ask the boards to complete an annual self-evaluation, and report their findings to the council. You may engage an outside, independent evaluator to review the work of your boards. Or, staff and the council may conduct regular evaluations of their advisory boards. These reviews should assess the work of the advisory board as a whole, and also look at the participation of individual members.

And finally, local officials must give meaningful recognition to their advisory boards. Many cities host appreciation events for their advisory boards and/or highlight them in newsletters and on Web sites. Be creative in finding opportunities to give sincere thanks to your board members. Whatever methods you use, make sure they are frequent, public, and acknowledge the hard work of your volunteer citizens.

Advisory boards that serve a real purpose, consist of the right people, and are appropriately staffed, evaluated and recognized, can be a terrific asset to every community.

Advisory Committee Dos and Don'ts

DO:

1. Clearly define your charge to the committee. (Many committees flounder and fail because of the unclear charge or any specific charge at all.)
2. Give a specific time limit for single-purpose committees and discourage extensions.
3. Select the best possible members for your committees, considering factors such as sex, race, geographic area, expertise, and any others you deem important for proper balance.
4. Provide staff support if at all possible. In smaller communities and counties, citizen advisory groups sometimes have been successful without assigned staff support, but that pattern seems to be increasingly rare.
5. Define the relationship to the staff. Involve your manager in deciding how to structure the advisory committee, assign its work and staff it. Ask his or her recommendations on what to do about the committee's advice.
6. Provide orientation and training for new committee members. Unless they're already specialists, they need help in understanding their assigned subject area, and also will need to understand the relationship of the assigned field to the governmental agency as a whole.
7. Develop a work program for the committee for the term of its work, or have the committee prepare its own use and yours.
8. Evaluate the work of the committee (or the committee can do this).
9. Maintain good communications with all of your advisory committees. As part of this effort, each committee should send regular reports of its activities to the legislative body. Periodic meetings between the legislative body and the individual committees also can be quite helpful.
10. Abolish and thank committees when their work is done.

DON'T:

1. Neglect your advisory committees.
2. Ignore their advice on a regular basis, or good people will decline to serve on the committees.
3. Let the advisory committee capture the staff. In some jurisdictions, by default or evolution, the advisory boards dominate the staffs, thus removing them from the effective control of the central executive (that person is elected or appointed).
4. Go overboard in appointing large numbers of committees in the name of responsiveness and citizen participation. Some jurisdictions have established committees on practically every governmental function they could imagine. The usual result is conflict between committees with overlapping jurisdictions, a lack of adequate support to the committees, and a tendency for the legislative body to ignore much of the committees since it is simply overwhelmed with the volume of reports coming in.

*Marilyn E. Crotty is director of the Florida Institute of Government at the University of Central Florida. For more information, she may be contacted by phone at (407) 317-7745 or via e-mail at mcrotty@ma.
Reprinted from Quality Cities March/April 2004*

[Back to Top](#)

[Back to Quality Cities Resource Library Listing](#)

[Security and Privacy](#) [Terms of Use](#)



FLORIDA LEAGUE OF CITIES, INC.



Tuesday, January 9, 2007

[Who we are](#) | [I am a](#) | [I'm looking for](#) | [Member Database](#) | [Contact Us](#) | [Search](#) | [Site Inde](#)

Membership

- > Advertising
- > City Trivia
- > Civic Education
- > Conferences and Training
- > Festival Listings
- > Financial & Technical Assistance Book
- > International Relations
- > Publications
- > Quality Cities
- > Resource Library

Facilitation: A Key for Successful Advisory Boards and Committees

by Dr. Tom Taylor

Boards and committees are formed to perform a task or solve a problem and then are expected to do their work. Unfortunately, like most groups, many meet and continue to meet with limited results. A missing ingredient often is "good facilitation." The goal of facilitation is to produce practical, fair results that all can be proud of. This article offers suggestions for achieving the facilitation objectives, talks about how to facilitate and offers ideas for improving facilitation of your boards and committees.

Suggestions for Achieving Facilitation Objectives

Committee Purpose and Plan

The first step is shaping a mission that everyone can identify with. Often, elected officials or administrators give the committee a charge (and sometimes they don't). The key is to hear from all members about their expectations and those of the groups they represent. Identifying which expectations are common and which conflict also is helpful. This provides a basis for shaping a work plan and a schedule for addressing priority issues. Too often, groups have one meeting after another with little understanding of the status of their job. Similarly, agendas for each meeting need to be more than a long list of items. Meeting plans should also include facilitation techniques, background materials and worksheets.

Mutual Education

Many times, the chair or staff plan presentations based on their professional disciplines: law, business, science, etc. Long, boring, irrelevant presentations discourage continuing participation. It is helpful to have a consensus on the priority questions to be answered, the information needed to answer the questions, and who to bring in as experts. This will greatly improve the quality of the presentation and the attention it receives. It also is important to remember that everyone has a piece of the truth and that no one has all the truth. Committee members and others need to be able to ask questions and share insights. Different perspectives, expert and stakeholder, are critical for arriving at practical, creative solutions.

Creative Problem Solving

Adversarial sessions get the adrenaline going, which shifts the mental functioning from the rational reptilian "fight or flight" mode. A key role of facilitation is to engage the group in exploring possibilities of arguing for their positions. Structured exercises and questions can quickly have an angry group laughing and surprising themselves with what they can come up with.

Consensus Decision Making

Majority voting at its best is simple and efficient. At worst, it makes half the group "losers" who watch back at the "winners" and who may not want to come back to a group that does not care about their concerns. Reaching consensus may take a little longer, but the dividends, including better results, commitment to implementation and improved relationships, are great. Consensus does not mean that everyone loves the outcome. It means that, at a minimum, they feel they have been heard and, they do not block actions desired by the group. In some cases, nobody is too happy, because everyone has to give a lot to get an acceptable agreement. Ranking the acceptability of options on a scale of 1 to 5 allows the group to focus discussions on items on which agreement is possible. This avoids wasting time talking about issues on which there already is agreement, or on those on which agreement is very

Conflict Resolution

We all have seen groups that spend endless hours arguing, or ones that avoid critical issues because they are controversial. Conflicts require different facilitation techniques from problem solving or consensus seeking. Like a mediator, the facilitator helps the parties understand each other's needs and helps them reach agreements that optimize their priority interests. If members still are not able to resolve differences, the facilitator can agree on next steps that may include getting an expert opinion, or asking the commission or an advisor to make the decision. It is best to include these procedures in the instructions to the board or commission.

Action Planning

All talk and no action leaves everyone frustrated. Every recommendation should specify who is responsible, set deadlines and discuss sources of resources. Without these practical necessities, people may buy into the pleasing platitudes, but implementation will be uncertain at best. If no answers are agreed upon, the group needs to specify tasks and responsibilities for gathering information, problem solving and getting commitments.

Trust and Commitment Building

Good facilitation allows people to express their deepest desires and uncertainties and feel accepted. When people see each other as whole beings, rather than villains and victims, trust builds. Allowing people to tell their stories, sort out commonalities and differences, and seek mutually acceptable solutions, build relationships and commitment to implementation. This level of commitment is stronger than what can be achieved by voting by adversaries.

Facilitation Roles

Good facilitation is a combination of art and science, learned skills and intuition. In most cases, the responsibility for facilitation falls to the chair or president. Sometimes there is a staff member or another facilitator. Having a neutral facilitator can pay big dividends. Often, it means the group can get much more done in less time, and it allows the chair to contribute to the discussion. Everyone in the group also contributes to a well-facilitated meeting. Anyone who understands good group process and facilitation techniques can ask the right questions and offer process suggestions that build shared understanding, solve problems and help reach consensus.

Building Facilitation Capacity

Facilitation skills are something we use every day, but most of us never get any instruction. The productivity of local government boards and committees could be doubled if chairs and staff could get facilitation training and support. Educational materials also can be provided. Some governments have a cadre of trained facilitators who are assigned to committees or special meetings as needed. Committee charters, rules of procedure and protocols can be written to encourage problem solving and consensus processes. Meeting and committee progress evaluations help achieve continuous improvement.

Conclusion

Advisory boards or committee chairs and members need to be informed advocates and to contribute to the group's productivity. It is a challenge to do both. Facilitation training and written guidelines are important. When possible, it pays to have a neutral facilitator. Efforts to assure good facilitation usually are rewarded in better-quality products, less time spent by members and staff, stronger commitment to implementation, and improved relationships.

Dr. Tom Taylor, is associate director of the Florida Conflict Resolution Consortium. He may be contacted by phone at (850) 644-7816 or via e-mail at ttaylor@fsu.edu.

When are Advisory Boards and Committees Appropriate?

When To Use Them

- The issue needs more study than is feasible in commission meetings.
- There is not obvious solution, and creativity is called for.
- There are conflicting groups and interests; complex negotiation is needed.
- Commitment from different groups is needed for implementation.
- There is adequate technical and logistical support available.

When Not To Use Them

- The purpose is to distract activists and keep them out of commissioners' hair.
- The decision already has been made.
- Critical interests are not invited or willing to participate.
- There is not a clear charge or scope of work from the commission.
- There is not adequate time to do a good job.
- There is not adequate technical and logistical support.
- There are not resources to implement the recommendations.
- The task would be better done by experts.

Types of Committees and Other Involvement Options

- Permanent committee
- Temporary or ad-hoc committee
- Facilitated workshop or series of workshops
- Charrette (a one- or two-day design or problem-solving session)
- Mediation between groups in conflict
- Contract with a consultant/expert
- Public meeting
- Focus groups
- Request for written comments on draft recommendations
- Public survey

Your Action Plan for Enhancing the Success of Citizen Advisory Boards and Committees

Check all that will enhance your effectiveness:

Review current committees' structure and performance.

- Compile a database on membership, terms, meeting times and place, appointment method, progress and products.
- Conduct a satisfaction survey of committee chairs, members and staff.

Create or refine a policy for committees and boards.

- Assign to staff.
- Hire a consultant.
- Create a committee.

Provide appropriate training for the following:

- Commissioners on creating, picking, giving a charge to and managing committees.
- Chairs and members on subject area knowledge.
- Chairs, staff and facilitators on process planning and facilitation.

Establish a mechanism for supporting and monitoring committees.

- Designate committee-commission liaisons.
- Create a cadre of trained facilitators.
- Require quarterly or annual reports for appropriate committees.
- Identify a person or office to be responsible for monitoring and supporting committees.

Further Readings

- *Facilitator's Guide to Participatory Decision-Making*, Sam Kaner, et al., (Gabriola Island, BC: New Publishers/Canada, 1996). Provides clear guidance for thinking about group dynamics and the open discussion and structured facilitation techniques needed to enable (not control) group productivity.
- *Managing Public Disputes*, Susan L. Carpenter & W.J.D. Kennedy (San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1998). This is an excellent guide for anyone involved in large-scale community disputes.
- *How To Make Meetings Work*, Michael Doyle and David Straus, (New York: Jove, 1976). This is

practical guide for mediators and facilitators. It provides a general approach for group processes and descriptions of specific techniques.

- *Collaborating: Finding Common Ground for Multiparty Problems*, Gray, Barbara, Jossey-Bass,
 - *Great Meetings! How To Facilitate Like a Pro*, Kelsey, et al., Hanson Park Press, 1999.
 - *The Skilled Facilitator*, Schwarz, Roger, University of North Carolina, 1994.
 - *Breaking the Impasse: Consensual Approaches to Resolving Public Disputes*, Susskind and Cr
- Basic Books, 1987.

Web Sites

[Florida Conflict Resolution Consortium](#)

[The Planning Commissioners Journal](#)

[Montgomery County \(Md.\) Committee Materials](#)

[Calackamas County \(Ore.\) Committee Handbook](#)

[Longboat Key \(Fla.\) Committee Materials](#)

[Washington State Board Roles](#)

[Most Complete Source on Conflict Resolution](#)

[Group Facilitation Discussion Group](#)

[International Association for Public Participation](#)

[Association for Conflict Resolution](#)
(see "Environmental Public Policy" section)

Reprinted from Quality Cities March/April 2004

[Back to Top](#)

[Back to Quality Cities Resource Library Listing](#)

[Security and Privacy](#) [Terms of Use](#)



FLORIDA LEAGUE OF CITIES, INC.



Tuesday, January 9, 2007

[Who we are](#) | [I am a](#) | [I'm looking for](#) | [Member Database](#) | [Contact Us](#) | [Search](#) | [Site Index](#)

Membership

- > Advertising
- > City Trivia
- > Civic Education
- > Conferences and Training
- > Festival Listings
- > Financial & Technical Assistance Book
- > International Relations
- > Publications
- > Quality Cities
- > Resource Library

How To Succeed with Advisory Boards An Interview with Steve Meisburg

by Mandy Rogers

Municipal advisory boards are made up of dedicated citizens who devote time, energy and expertise to issues or causes that affect their city.

However, advisory boards without a clear mission or purpose not only are ineffective, but waste the time of board members, elected officials and city employees.

Former Tallahassee City Commissioner Steve Meisburg has firsthand knowledge of what makes advisory boards work. In 1999, he helped create Tallahassee's Community Neighborhood Renaissance Partnership.

The partnership's mission is to rebuild the community's most distressed inner-city neighborhoods, address both the physical and social needs of neighborhoods by using a holistic approach.

Apalachee Ridge Estates, an at-risk south-side Tallahassee neighborhood, has been the first to receive the benefits of the partnership. One of the cornerstones of the neighborhood's revitalization is the Teaching and Learning Resource Center, which has opened a new world to many residents who do not own a car or have Internet access. (For more information on this partnership, see the May/June 2003 issue of *Quality Cities*.)

The next Renaissance community, Providence, has a series of problems, such as crime and poverty, that need to be addressed as part of its revitalization.

Meisburg, the chairman of the Partnership Board, said advisory boards are important to cities or parishes but often are overlooked or don't receive the attention they deserve.

Meisburg commented, "These boards are invaluable." He stressed that he is concerned when he learns that an elected or appointed official is unaware of a particular board or its work.

Meisburg said there are several factors that made his advisory board successful, and that can be used to help new and existing boards.

Communication

The most important factor that keeps an advisory board running smoothly is regular communication. Everyone involved with the board – members, city staff and elected officials.

As chairman of the Partnership Board, Meisburg worked with numerous organizations that offered expertise to the effort. Included in the partnership are organizations such as Florida State University (FSU), Florida A&M University, Tallahassee Community College, Arvida Corporation, the City of Tallahassee, the Leon County Board of County Commissioners, the Leon County School Board, AmSouth Bank, Capital City Bank, the United Way of the Big Bend, the Apalachee Ridge Estates Neighborhood Association and Covenant Partners.

Forming the partnership took almost a year, but Meisburg said the most effective way to reach so many people, and to get them excited about a cause, is through direct and personal contact.

"For the Renaissance Partnership, I knew we could benefit from FSU's vast resources, so I called the university's president and met with the council of deans to let them know what the partnership is all about," Meisburg said. "If cities can build advisory boards around the same philosophy, they will be successful."

Meisburg said one of the worst scenarios is when advisory boards work for months – or even years – making recommendations that never get to the city commission, or are totally rejected.

"The outcome is a bunch of frustrated people who gave a lot of time and energy, and their voices were not heard," Meisburg said.

He said that if advisory boards have regular communication in some form with the elected body, it is more likely that they will learn sooner rather than later if they are going in a direction that cannot be supported.

"At such time, they will have clear choices: continue in the same direction, alter the course, change membership, or disband," Meisburg said.

Clearly Defined Roles

Not all board members are appointed at the same time. Meisburg said it's important to help orient new members, and to make sure each knows what is expected. Also, occasionally making sure existing members know what they are supposed to be doing can be beneficial.

"Every board should have some type of bylaws that defines what people's roles and responsibilities are," Meisburg said. "When people come on board, they need to know what to do and what not to do, or else a person is wandering astray, somebody needs to let them know."

Each committee has a chairperson who leads the group. The person should be well informed, have good leadership skills, tactful and organized, Meisburg said. "Leadership is critical."

Clearly Defined Goals

It is important to have long-range goals that are assessed on a regular basis. "If your goals are clearly defined, it gives both the board and the government a sense of what the board is and where it's going," Meisburg said.

Having a clear mission and attainable goals also gives the board members a sense of importance. "A clear mission allows the members to know there is an important reason for being on that board," Meisburg said. "This also gets members fired up and lets them know what they're doing has a purpose."

Elected Official Participation

It is important for elected officials to be involved somehow in the work of advisory boards. However, elected officials cannot sit on boards, and should not try individually to steer boards in a certain direction.

"It is meaningful to board members when elected officials make appearances at meetings and acknowledge their effort," Meisburg said.

He said elected officials with an interest in the topic being addressed by a particular advisory board naturally align themselves with that board. The participation of elected officials not only helps advisory boards stay on the right path, but also makes board members feel as if their work has meaning.

"Recognition is key – somehow the city and the elected officials need to convey appreciation to board members for the work," Meisburg said. "Let people know they're important and that their faithfulness to the city and government is a great service."

A Sense of Purpose

Hot-button advisory boards usually are in the spotlight more than boards that have an ongoing mission. It is important for all advisory boards to have a sense of importance.

"Although an advisory board's topic may never be controversial, it works just as hard as boards with controversial issues that are in the spotlight," Meisburg said. "No matter what the issue, the board needs to give the city and government a reason to get its arms around the problem."

Meisburg recommends appointing a knowledgeable spokesperson for the board who can convey the goals, mission and, eventually, its recommendations for the city.

Summing It Up

Based on the success of the Partnership Board, Meisburg's methodology worked, and his suggestions can be applied to any city advisory board or committee.

"All these things made this project successful," Meisburg said. "Most importantly, I made sure every board member always knew what was going on and made sure each person was included in the process. I drew on those people for advocacy and resources and gave them credit."

Mandy Rogers is a publications specialist with the Florida League of Cities.

Reprinted from Quality Cities March/April 2004

[Back to Top](#)

[Back to Quality Cities Resource Library Listing](#)

[Security and Privacy](#) [Terms of Use](#)